Fighting crime: high cost, unforeseen results

EDITOR'S NOTE: This is the first of 15 articles exploring "Crime and Justice in America." They constitute the textbook for a Courses by News-paper program sponsored by Oakland University and Observer & Eccentric Newspapers. The class will meet Modays from 6:30-10 p.m. in the Birmingham Center for Continuing Education, 146 Purdy. Enrollment may be accomplished at the first session. Copyright 1977 by the Regents of the University of California.

By JEROME H. SKOLNICK

By JEROME H. SKOLNICK
Americans are upset about crime.
We are understandably angry and frustrated when we camnot safely walk down city streets or take the kids on a camping trip for fear the house will be robbed in our absence.
We are morally outraged when we down that businessmen and governousled in the control of the

YET FOR MANY reasons, we can-YET FOR MANY reasons, we can-not count on the criminal has alone to work perfectly, to prevent crime cnitrely. First, not everyone reverse criminal law, or not in the same way. By pass-ing a law, we may even make the pro-hibited conduct more popular. President Herbert Hoover's Wicker-ham Compilies which we middle the

President Herbert Hoover's Wicker-sham Commission, which studied the effects of Prohibition on the nation during the 1928, concluded that a new institution—the speakeasy—made drinking fashionable for wide seg-ments of the professional and middle classes who had previously not expe-rienced the sinful delight of recrea-tional boraire.

renced the simul delight of recrea-tional boozing.

It is evident that the passage of law, especially criminal law, does not always work out the way those who advocated passage foresaw.

SECOND. CRIMINAL law reflects through political advocacy different and conflicting views—and so it changes. Teetotalers scrupiously obeyed the Prohibition laws; drinkers do not. Drinkers changed the law. During the 190s. laws prohibiting marijuana use amounted to a new Prohibition. People over 40—who drank whiskey—compiled with the law and were offended by younger people who who with the law and were offended by younger people with the law and younger people with the law

hibition. People over 40—who drank whiskey—compiled with the law and were offended by younger people who smoked marijuana. As younger people are becoming successful politicians, penalties for smoking marijuana continue to diminish and may eventually disappear.

We could introduce criminal penalties for manufacturing defective automobile brakes, which kill and maim thousands. But we don't because in recent years the automobile manufacturers' looby has braken for cloud than Ralph Nader, who proposed such laws in the Congress.

By JESSE PITTS
Oakland University
A major purpose of the course
should be to develop the capacity to
the student to see through special
pleading, sophistry and just plain
Foreign to be the seed of the student to
the seed of the better thinkers in
the field of criminology, but he is also

fomia) is one of the better thinkers in the field of criminology, but he is also on the liberal left side, just as Prof. James Q. Wilson (Harvard University) is on the conservative side. Being aware of Skolnick's political bias—which, like any bias, may lead him to profound nisights as well as to grievous errors—may permit the student to ready himself for a discussion next Monday night of the following roints:

BRIGHTON MALL Grand Reservation

Prof. Pitts comments. . .

Jerome H. Skolnick is director of the Center for the Study of Law and Society at the University of California, Berkeley, where he has been a professor of criminology since 1970. In 1983-9 he served as director of the Task Force on Volent Aspects of Protest and Confrontation for a national commission on violence. He has also rought of Chicago and Vale.



Several years ago, Nelson A. Rockefeller, then governor of New York,
proposed as an answer to street crime
that harsh sentences, up to life imprisounent, be imposed for drug traffiching, and that sterner enforcement and
heavier punishment be imposed
against drug users, many of whom are
engaged in street crime.

The "lock" en-up" approach seemed
sensible and hardheaded to many New
Yorkers Tearful of walking the city
streets and to numerous law enforcement officials.

ment officials.
Yet a recently conducted New York
Times survey of 100 New York City
judges, reported on Jan. 2, 1977, found
that the new, very tough narcotics law
failed to deter illegal drug use in the

lailed to deter illegal drug use in the City. Purthermore, more than half the judges believed the laws had worsened the situation because youngsters— immune from the harsher provisions— had been recruited into the drug traf-fic. This is an unexpected social cost of punishment. There are many others.

ment officials

Maybe that, too, will change.
Other crimes—serious street crimes such as murder, rape, assault and robery—are almost universally condemned. It is these crimes that are the

demmed. It is these crimes that are the focus of proposals to "solve" the crime problem by increasing the severity and certainty of punishment. Why, then, not simply enforce these laws more rigorously and punishment which was the swiftly and surely those found guilty of violating them? Many people—including some prominent criminologists—have advocated this seemingly simple and therefore attractive solution to the problem of American crime. But such a solution is not so simple. A criminal justice system can increase risk for a criminal—but not by much, and at higher cost than many people believe.

THE SOCIAL and economic costs of punishment are often underestimated, it is easy to call for a major expansion of law enforcement resources; it is less easy to pay for it. Policemen, courts and prisons are expensive. It is cheaper to send a youngster to Harvard University than a robber to San Quentin Prison. And the average San Francisco policeman mow draws, with pension, more than \$25,000 per year, to say nothing of his police car, support equipment and facilities.

facilities.

The recent experience of a "law and order" administration that poured billions of dollars into the war on crime is exemplary and sobering. While violent crime rose 174 per cent from 1963. 3, local spending for law enforcement multiplied more than seven times—and LEAA poured in \$3.5 billion between 1969 and 1974.

THE WAR ON crime looks more and

THE WAR ON crime tooks more and more like the war in Vietnam. Those who pursue it are largely ignorant of what motivates the enemy.

Of course the threat of punishment deters. But nobody is clear about how much threat deters whom with what

effect.
For example, millions of presum-ably rational human beings are not deterred from smoking cigarettes even though the probabilities of pun-ishment through cancer, emphysema and heart disease are clear and horand neart disease are clear and nor-rible. People often believe that present benefits or pleasure outweigh future costs or threats of pain. Heavy punishment programs can also incur unexpected social costs.

kill and maim thousands."

3 The statement that it is cheaper to send a youngster to Harvard than a robber to San Quentin.

4. Is spending on crime less effective than spending on education? Where the spending gone to: more policemen? more court-appointed lawyers?

5. How relevant is a comparison between the risks of the regular cigarette smoker to get lung cancer and the risks of the regular lawbreaker to get arrested?

PARTICULARLY FOR young people, being a criminal may even have advantages over working in a boring and unrewarding job. One can earn far more stealing cars than wash-

earn far more stealing cars than washing them.

Even the risk may prove advantageous. In some circles, a "jolt" in prison offere an affirmation of manhood—as well as advanced training in criminal skills and identity. Thus, the administration of justice can generate criminality as well as deter it. Actually, the most promising targets of deterrence are white-collar criminals—business executives and professionals—who have the most to lose by conviction for a crime and are more likely to weight the potential costs of committing crime against its benefits.

committing crime against its benefits.

THERE ARE NO easy prescriptions for crime in America. It has become an intrinsic part of life in this country as a result of fundamental contradictions in American society.

We maintain an egalitarian ideology amidst a history of slavery and contemporary unemployment. We say we are against organized crime, but millions of us enjoy and consume its goods and services—drugs, gambling, prositution, pornography.

We demand heavier punishment—longer prison terms—yet fail to appreciate the social and economic costs of prisons. We support the Constitution and its protection of individual liberies—yet criticize the judges who insist the police conduct themselves in accord with constitutional protection.

Our legacy of slavery, immigration and cutture conflict combined with

accord with constitutional protection.

Our legacy of slavery, immigration and culture conflict, combined with the ideologies of free enterprise and constitutional democracy, is unique in

the world. As David Bayley's recent work comparing high American with low Japanese crime rates shows, we are not strictly comparable to Japan or, for that matter, to any place else. Although politicians— as well as some scholars and police spokesmen—will try to sell us on apparently simple solutions to the American crime problem, we should remain skeptical. In the past, simple solutions have not worked.

Unless we understand why, the

orked.
Unless we understand why the uture will prove comparably unsuconders we understand why, the future will prove comparably unsuc-cessful. We have to know what doesn't work to find out what might. The reasons for past failure and pos-

DISCOUNT AUTO PARTS

sible remedies will be further explored in later articles in this 15-part series on institutional crime, street crime, the Immits of the criminal law, the administration of criminal justice and the organization of punishment.

The views in Courses by Newspaper The views in Courses by Newspaper are the authors' only and do not necessarily reflect those of the University of California. the funding agencies (National Endowment for the Humanities and the Center for Studies of Crime and Delinquency of the National Institute of Mental Health), Oakland University or this newspaper. Next week: White-collar crime.



San Quentin Prison, in California, can hold many criminals, but prisons also have high economic and social costs.

GARAGE DOOR OPERATORS GENER 450D CRYPTAR II DIGITAL CONTROLS Genie 450D

\$185⁰⁰ installed 1 Year Warranty — Any Suburb ALLIANCE AUTOMATIC DOOR CO. SOUTHFIELD



Man's dress Quartz watch. Yellow gold-filled case with mineral crystal.

Man's yellow gold-filled Quartz watch with mineral crystal, strap, \$325,00. Also available in 14K gold. \$575,00

The beautiful timepieces above were made with The healthful timeprees above were made with the same care and experience. Omega brings to the split-second timing of WORLD PRO SKHNG, Like all Omegas, these are backed by the famous international warranty, bonored in 156 countries throughout the world.

George Ohrenstein Jewelers Ltd.

ative Jewelers Diamonds
Precision Time Pieces HARVARD ROW MALL







★ STANDARD SIZE FRAMES

★ UNFRAMED PRINTS 651-2750 115 S. Livemois Rochester Livernois & Walton Campus Corners Mon. Tues. Wed. Sat. 10-6 Thurs. & Fri. 10-9

626-2750 6445 Orchard Lk. Rd. West Bloomfield Orchard Lake & Maple in Orchard Mall



. Huntington Woods, Coolidge at 101/2 Mile Rd., Phone 545-8020