Commentary

Are x-rays safe to use?

(Editor's note: Dr. Leon Herschlus is chairman of the Southfield Board of Health. In the following guest com-mentary, be discusses the harmful effect of diagnostic x-rays, fluoridated water and biased criticism.)

By DR. LEON HERSCHFUS

By DR. LEON HERSCHFUS There have been charges and allega-tions that an important contributing factor to the high incidence of cancer and leukemia in our country is the indistriminate use of x-rays by both the medical and dental professions. Cited as a specific example of this abuse is the overnillization of dental x-rays with some "experts" stating that solve per cent of these have no diago notic value. One of these experts, Dr. Robert Mendésiont, in replying to an inquiry about the harmful effects of dental x-rays on women of child-bearing age, confirmed the woman's apprehension and far by stating that patients are den so much smarter than doctors. It equoted a certain Dr. Morgan who set, "Na far as tradiation is con-cerned, the worst offender has been be medical profession, particularly dents."

the medical profession, particularly derists." As an aside, the same Dr. Men-delson also attacked the safety and efficiency of fluoridation by quoting from National Fluoridation News, an avowed anti-fluoridation news particular control and the same wel-come. Indeed, so are the criticisms of syndicated columnists, provided that information released is unbiased and particular to scientific research printic attaction of the medical differ-tions criticism of the medical differ-tions criticism of the medical differ-tions criticism of the medical differ-tions errors no useful purpose and processary health care because of the ear of the alleged harmful effects of xrays. A more appropriate and prucket

fear of the alleged harmful effects of krays. A more appropriate and prudent saver to the question of whether den-tial xrays are really dangerous cannot be an unequivation as an x-ray used applicationally and as an x-ray used duggerous. Futhermore, it is recog-nized that the public is exposed at all times to natural radiation and that even tow dose denial x-rays can con-ribute to the total amount of radiation. However, if there is a real indication and need for an x-ray study, then the benefits outways the optimal hazard and an x-ray should be taken. An emphasis on real indication and real read cartails over use and abuse of x-

emphasis on real indication and real medications over use and abuse of x-rays. To calm the public's fears, pre-cationary measures to reduce over-expose to denial x-rays would be the use of a high speed film to minimize with a lead aprovement of the speed with a lead aprovement of the speed x-ray machine periodically. An impor-ant diagnostic tool such as a dental x-ray should not be shelved and dis-carded by scare criticism. It is high time that the public—our patients—get the facts and not mis-leading opinions regarding the effects or diagnostic x-rays and fluoridated water and that the real hazards and criticism of misinformed "experts."



