

Businessman's response surprises: he's for 'D

His answer really surprised me. In fact, it star-

His answer really surprised me. In fact, it startied me.

But I should have been prepared. This guy is your bona fide independent thinker.

The question was sort of tongue-in-check: "You casually told me a week ago that you were going to vote for the 'fisch ammendment. I kind of thought you were kidding. Now I'm asking, are you really going to vote for Tisch?"

"Yes:," he replied. And he wasn't being flip.

That simple reply brewed a bevy of questions in my mind, and I couldn't wait to get his responses. So the bowl of chili and glass of milk in front of me were quickly forgotten, and I forged ahead. It had been an offbeat noon—30 minutes of racqueball, then lunch. Sittly across from me at Herc's on Plymouth Road was a successful industrialist, 55, with a firm in Livonia doing nearly \$30 million in anneal sales in 48 states.

WE AGREED I would not use his name, which you probably would quickly recognize. He was raised in Detroit. During his first marriage, he lived in Birmingham. He took over the family business shortly after finishing college nearly 30 years ago. It has flourished under his leadership, just as it did under his dad and his grandfather.

But this isn't a business story. Rather it's what one businessman thinks about the state of financial affairs Michigan and our nation have gotten them-selves into.

"Tisch (Proposal D) is extreme," he said, "It would hit (state) services. But things have gone to such an extreme that we must do this to check government spending. It's like the old analogy, 'Hit the with a two-by-four to get his attention.

"A political system precludes correcting itself. We have to go to extremes." Voting for Propoisal D is the only way, he feels.

THIS FELLOW IS no newcomer to the concept of tax reform. In 1976 and '78, he worked with forces supporting the Headlee tax limitation amendment. That legislation tried hard, but didn't fully do the job, he said.

Then his conversation turned to heavyweight economists: Milton Friedman, Paul McCracken. William E. Simon. "(They) all feel that the one basic cause of inflation is government spending more than it takes in."

If this cycle isn't stopped, he said, in 12 to 15 years the monetary system of the U.S. will collapse or suffer a painful reorganization.

My friend suggests citizens read "A Time for

Truth" by Simon, who says such things as:
"Federal spending had flown out of control. It
had increased 232 percent from 1961 to 1975
grown faster by far in the past 20 years than
the private economy that supported it, rising
nearly 400 percent. State and local spending;
had mushroomed 520 percent."

SO NOW WE HAVE the opportunity to act, my racquetball and luncheon partner said.

"What about the result of påssing Tisch? Won't that eventually mean more taxes on business, on you?" I asked.

"Yes." he said. "but if Tisch doesn't pass, in the

long run business would be in a lot worse situation unless steps are taken to change things, to put a curb on state spending. In the short term, business will get it in the people.

will get it in the neck."

So there you have one businessman's opinion.

Tax reform and better schools in one package

been more common than companies about whengan winters.

And lately, complaints about the quality of public
school education have been epidemic.

Yet this year, when voters have a chance to dramatically remedy the system of financing public
schools, very few want to consider the cure.

Proposal A on the Nov, 4 ballot would cut property taxes on homes and shift much of the burden of
school financing to the income tax.

Tagged the Smith-Bullard proposal — for its legslative backers, state Reps. Roy Smith and Perry
Bullard — it essentially proposes a minimum fianacial standard for districts across the state.

In other words, the proposal's backers seek to establish a minimum standard for school expenses.

The proposal also provides an option for local taxpayers to vote separate millage for enrichment.

THE PROPOSAL'S goal is one that has been talked about for years —— equal funding in educa-

talked about for years —— equal funding in education. School financing under the property tax systemical school districts which lack a lucrative industrial and commercial tax base and/or expensive residences. Districts lacking a high tax base perpupil either have poorer school systems or extraordinary taxes for a good system. The result is a wide discrepancy in school financing, as much as a \$1,500 per-pupil difference between school districts. Granted, districts in outstate areas, particularly in the Upper-Peninsula, pay lower salaries in areas where the cost of living is lower.

lower.

But for the most part, it's easy to see that wealthy districts, such as Livonia's with a large industrial base, or Bloomfield Hills with high residential property values, have more to offer their students. That "more" translates into special classes, better equipment and often smaller class sizes than poorer districts.

poorer districts.

The districts without such amenities must plead



for increases in property tax rates with residents who often cannot afford to pay more for their

PROPOSAL A would change that. It would pro-viding a statewide minimum per-pupil expenditure to be distributed through the state. And there's the

rub.
School officials who have bemoaned their financial fates for years all of a sudden decided that they prefer "local" funding and "local control" and they "like things the way they are."
Those same officials never have mentioned local control when they went to Lansing for state aid for 40 years.

'Their response to Proposal A is a short-sighted vision of losing local control.

Behind the Smith-Bullard critics lurks another motive for opposition — each school district and municipality wants to protect its own. When the bottom line is drawn, each government is in there battling for its own turf. Suddenly no one wants to talk about equal education for children.

When a change is offered, they start talking about how the property tax system is "equitable." By failing to support true tax reform, they're forcing disgruntled taxpayers into the enemy Tisch's camp.

gruntled taxpayers into the enemy Tisch's camp.

DISGRUNTLED TAXPAYERS are fed up with soaring property taxes on the their homes. They're fed up with both state and local officials who have failed to come up with an answer to their problem. They seem to prefer the answer proposed by Shiawassee County Drain Commissioner Robert Tisch — slash property taxes in half and let the state cut programs to assure local school funding. Officials worried about local control might consider: The higher education system in Michigan will be gutted if the Tisch proposal is adopted. Proposal A can solve another problem that the Headlee tax limitation amendment failed to address. Assessments on houses are rising faster than assessments on business property simply because houses change hands oftener and can be reassessed. When a tax rate rollback is calculated under Headlee, it applies to an entire community. In a

es, the homeowner gets no benefit.

Proposal A would equalize property by class momes in one group, businesses in others. When an inflationary housing market kicks up house prices and assessments. He homeowner would get a break on his property tax rate — the kind of break Headlee intended but failed to achieve.

PROPOSAL A has many supporters—the League of Women Voters, the Michigan State Police Troopers Association, the Inter-Faith Information and Action Council, the Public Interest Research Group in Michigan, the Michigan Townships Association (MTA) and the Michigan Education Association (MTA) and the Michigan Education Association (MTA).

ation (MTA) and the Michigan Education Associa-tion (MEA).

MTA is backing the proposal because it has something in it for them — the potential to increase township millage without a vote. School millage competes with township millage, and shifting to fit-come tax is another positive attribute for town.

come tax is another positive actitude to its whites.

If Michigan as a state is to move forward and provide equally for its residents. Proposal A is, a step in the right direction.

It's a chance to begin tax reform that will strive for an equal educational opportunity for all children and place a more nearly equal burden on those who must pay the bill.









Other Shops Tell You What to Buy-You Tell Us What You Want At...

ON ALL WINES & CHAMPAGNES

Haagen-Dazs ICE CREAM \$1.09 pt. Choc. w/Choc. Chip \$1.29 pt.

MR. & MRS. "T" **BLOODY MARY** MIX

3 for \$1

BREYERS OF

CORK **PULLER** Reg. SALE \$1.99

"The Wonderful Screwpull" This year's #1
GOURMET ITEM



SANDWICH SPECIALS ★ Try our Famous Sandwiches at our Deli Counter—Carry Out Only* Buy 1 - Get 2nd one at ½ Pricel

Carriage-Corned Beef & Swiss Cheese Big Wheel-Corned Beef, Swiss Cheese & Salami The Hub-Corned Beef, Ham & Swiss Cheese Suburban-Pastrami & Swiss Cheese Above Sandwiches Include Relishes and

Choice of Breads at 188 N. Hunter Store Only-With This Coupon

SALE ENDS OCT. 31

99 full quart **Waiter Wine**

Reg. **SALE \$8.99**

AMATISTAS NATURAL
AMATISTAS MADURO.
MEDITATION NATURAL
MEDITATION MADURO
MONTECRUZ
No 220 SUNCEPOUR \$21.00 box \$22.27 box No. 265 SUNGROWN. .\$20,25 box

★ CIGAR SPECIALS ★

"If you don't know your wines...Know Your Wine Merchant"



8535 TELEGRAPH MAPLE & TELEGRAPH NEXT TO FRANK'S NURSERY 646-6484

TE AMO

188 N. HUNTER HUNTER & MAPLE 646-6553

Items already on sale not included. We reserve the right to limit quantities • Package Liquor Dealer