a division of Suburban Communications Corp.

## oral quarrel

23352 Farmington Road Farmington, MI 48024 (313) 477-5450

This week's Oral Quarrel question asked readers whether a law requiring persons to wear seatbelts would save lives and whether government should pass laws to protect people who don't want to be protected. Following are the responses.

let us alone.

Yes, the government should proeve the yes, the government should proeve the yes, the government should probe the yes, the yes the yes the yes the yes the yes of yes,

They should be free to wear them or
not wear them. I know of another person that was hurt because of a seat
belt.

belt.

I don't think we should have lo
wear seat belts. I think it should be our
own decision. The government is stepping on our toes too much already telling us what to do. Next thing they will
want us to do is wear fire-retardant
suits while we are in the car. The whole
there stimes.

sults while we are in the car. The whole thing stinks.

• The answer is no, and you can tell Ruth to stick her seat belt in her ear.

• The government should pass rules to protect lives because they do already for businesses. Businesses are required to have laws for seat belts and they are trying to pass air bags. Mandatory seat belts would not hurt a thing.

they are trying to pass air bags. Mandatory seat belts would not hurt a thing.

• Yes, definitely. If we enact laws to save lives, the relatives of suiciders cannot collect on lawsuits.

• Well, I don't like the government making that decision for me, and I think it should be left up to the individual and, furthermore, I am a seat belt wearer but I don't want the government to tell me when and how to do it.

• I am definitely opposed to the government letiling me I have to wear a seat belt although I usually wear one.

• I think there are too many laws that are presently on the books that create problems for honest everyday clitera. I don't think that we need another law to make criminals out of innocent people. This is exactly what happens when you get a ticket, you become a criminal and this is taking away my right to decide what I want to do with my life.

• I've been a Republican for 60 years in Clarenceville, Farmington and Farmington Hills, and I don't want that of the State of St

a tree, maybe you're locked in your lor. Who says verything has to be pressettly in these deals? I think it is infringing on my personal rights.

• The \$10 civil line for violaters for not wearing a seat belt is a tax designed for the police department to pick up money. Those fellows should be in the alley earning their money so that our homes aren't ripped off, not sitting in the driveway looking for guys not wearing a seat belt.

• I don't think they should be mandatory especially for people who have a phobia of being hermord in.

• I think the gall of Ruth heckmee, our reg in office, is lust going to farmed in.

• I think the gall of Ruth heckmee, our reg in office, is lust going to farmed the properties of the properti

ABSOLUTELY NO law to wear seat belts. It's up to the individual, and I

don't think the government has any right to get involved.

• Yes, definitely. There are adults that have to be treated like children and told what to do to save their own lives.

. Yes, definitely, the seat belt law

lives.

• Yes, definitely, the seat belt law should be passed. It certainly will save lives. It's been looked into many times, and it takes place in Ontario and it's working will there so it definitely should be put into the control of the property of the control of the contr

driving in Michigan safer, the first thing they should do is rescind the law that allows people to turn on the red light. It has caused more trouble than anything else going.

• I don't think the government should interfere. I believe there should be seat belis in the ear, but I think it should be up to the individual person as to whether they want to wear it or not. I don't think the government should make any law requiring it.

• Yes, definitely.

• Absolutely not. The time has come when we must stop mandaling people's lives into a regulatory existence or very soon big brother will have us all in his clutches.

• Absolutely, it should be installed. I have us all in his clutches.

• Absolutely, it should be as the inmense cost to all of its. Direct medical expenses for people who are injured and have to all of its. Direct medical expenses for people who are injured and have to have medical care, and if they had seat belis they probably wouldn't have this lojury and these costs are passed on to you.

TERT DELTS.

TO MAKE THEM MANDATORY?"

Ym TU

I'D BE LOST WIT

much stuff now that they know nothing about. If Congress is going to design our automobiles, no wonder nobody will buy them.

• I think front seat belts should be ilves or not, but I don't want the government to interfere in my life anyinges on my rights because I must

## Lobbyist rules state's 3rd branch

URING A RECENT disagreement between Livenia Mayor Edward McNamara and state Rep. Jack Kirksey over who should have been doing what to save the city of Livenia's share of race track revenue, McNamara complained that neither Kirksey nor state Sen. R. Robert. Geake, R-Northville, had informed him Gov. William Milliken had to lind out, he said, from looby-list James Karoub, whose film is retained by Livenia to represent its interests in Lansing.

Interests in ling.

The fact is, no-body in state government or local government or local should be surprised that Karoub is the firstest

KAROUB, 53, is a former Highland Park politician and Jaycece young man of the year (1964) who served two terms in the state Legislature (1962-63, 1987-68) before being knocked out in a 1988 Democratic primary, which pitted him against six Poles.

Most defeated politicians pack up their troubles and go back home, but Karoub was never known for giving up easily.

their troutes an eye best.

Karoub was never known for giving up easily.

Of the myriad of lobbyists who have tried to influence state legislators, including a few dozen former legislators, Karoub stands head and shoulders above the rest. This is true even though Karoub makes Jim Blanchard look tall. However short and slight he is, Karoub is always intense. He is even flerce and intimidating when he wants to be, which is most of the time, particularly when he has his mind set on accuring passage of certain legislation or blocking passage of other legislation.

or blocking passage citon.

Karoub's lobbying firm has the biggest stable of clients in Lansing includ-

ing Blue Cross and several associations related to racing. Most recently he was given credit for engineering the redection of Sen. William D. Faust, D. Westland, as Senate majority leader over the UAW's darling, Gary Corbin, D-Cilo.

D-Cilio.

Sen. Basil Brown, D-Highland Park, gave this reason for voting for Corbin and against Faust: "If it's true, and I think it is, that one of 'cm's the UAW's stooge and the other one is Jimmy Karoub's stooge, then it's a matter of whose stooge you prefer. I prefer the UAW's stooge."

FOR MANY YEARS, Karoub's most important ally in the Legislature was Sen. Bill Hulfman, D-Madison Heights, who was long-time vice chairman of the Senate Appropriations Committee and, for a number of reasons, almost ran the committee. Hulfman (who relired this year to become a lobbyist with none other than James Karoub) could get Just about anything he wanted out of other legisla-

tors because of the committee control over appropriations. As he used to say, "Sooner or later, they all come to me. If all this gives you an indication that there is something wrong with state Legislature, so be it.

Actually there are at present three branches of government involved in lawmaking: 1) the executive, 2) the Legislature and 3) the lobbyists and pressure groups — with Karoub at the

The hidden branch of government is able to exert political influence far beyond what is reasonable simply because it makes the bulk of political contributions to legislative election campaigns.

One thing the Michigan Legislature could do with its time during the next legislative year is to determine a bet-ter method of financing election cam-paigns — the possibility of public fi-nancing should be considered.















