Area reps split on milk price supports

MILK — By a vote of 165 for and 244 against, the Rouse rejected a amendment to attack the problem of milk over-production by lowering federal price supports for dairy farmers.

The vote occurred during

The vote occurred during consideration of a new ve-year farm bill (HR 2100), which remained in chate.

Activate. It was a defeat for the Reagan Administration, which praised the amendment as a cost-cutter that would give free-market forces more of a role in regulating supply and demand.

But it was a victory for farm-state legislators and others who said the farm economy is too fragile to withstand cuts in federal income supports. The amendment sought to lower the present milk price-support level of \$11.60 per hundred weight by 50 cents annually until it reaches \$10.10, the estimated price at which it so longer would be profitable for farmers to sell their surpluses to the government.

ment.

Left intact by the vote was the House Agriculture
Committee plan to control production through the
Incentive of paying farmers to thin out their herds.

Price supports would rise aligntly as surpluses are

Eachers said the committee plan would "cull Backers said the committee plan would "cull cows" while the amendment would "cull farmers." Sponsor Robert Michel, R-III., said his amend-ment gave members a choice between "interven-tion through a cartie-like system, or faith in mar-kets and freedom."

kets and freedom."

Opponent Arian Strangeland, R.Minn, said the
amendment "would drive countiess family farmers
out of dairying by repeatedly importing would
price support reductions until dairy supply and demand...are in balance."

Members voting yes favored lowering dairy
price supports. Voting yes were Republicans Carl
Pursell of Plymouth and William Broomfield of
Blimningham.

Person of 1 symbol and 1 symbol

SUGAR — The House rejected, 142 for and 263 against, an amendment to reduce the federal support of raw cane sugar by one cent each year below the present level of 18 cents per pound, to a floor of 18 cents.

In pressure the supports, which are tied to cane supports, also would have been lowered.

The amendment was backed by the Reagan Administration. It was proposed to the new farm bill (above), which would continue the 18-cent level and allow it to rise but not fall during the life of the localisation.

allow it to rise but not fall during the life of the legislation.
Supporter Stephen Solars, D-N.Y., said the existing federal sugar program, which limits imports and subsidizes growers, "is costing consumers \$3 billion in order to help 13,000 producers."

"Opponent Bill Schnette, B-Mich, called the amendment, 'quite simply a bleeprint for the demolition of the American sugar industry, Members voing yes wanted to lower sugar prices supports.
Voing no: Pursell, Hertel, William Ford, Levin, Broomfield.

SENATE

SUPERFUND — By a vote of 85 for and 13 against, the Senate passed and sent to the House a Bill (IRR 2005) to extent the Superfund for five more years, at a cost of \$7.5 billion. The money is to be used by the Environmental Protection Agency to clean up some of America's sens of thousands of shandoned toxic dumps. The money is to be raised by taxes on businesses rather than from general revenue.

Some \$5.4 billion of the outlay would be raised by a new, broadly applied excise tax on producers and importers of certain raw and manufactured goods. The remainder would come from the tax on chemical raw materials that has financed the Superfund size and the superfund alive and expand its scope. Michigan Democrats Carl Levin and Donald Regie voted yes.

VICTIMS — The Senate voted, 49 for and 45 against, to delete a section of the Superfund bill (above) that created a demonstration program of federal compensation for victims of toxic waste projective.

gosoning.

Costing up to \$30 million annually, the program was to have been tried at up to 10 toxic dumps nationwide. Persons with illnesses linked to the site would have been relimbursed for past and present medical expenses.

Ballenger appeal rejected by court

The Michigan Supreme Court has refused to hear an appeal by former Racing Commissioner William Ballenger.

Bellinger had sought to appeal the decision of the Michigan Court of Appeals. The appellate court said Ballenger was not entitled to serve as racing commissioner and the job rightfully belonged to William Cahalan, appointed to the job by Gov. James Blanchard on Sept. 1.

The high court refused Ballenger's bid for an infunction barring Cahalan from taking the racing chief job. It refused to consider the case of Ballenger.

ciner job. It retried to consider the case of Hal-lenger. "Until Triesday, both Cahalan and Ballenger have been eccupying offices in the suite in the May-lower Meeting House building in Plymouth." Ballenger claimed be was legally supposed to serve a full four-year term of office after he was appointed by then Gow. William Milliten to replace the deceased commissioner, Frederick Van Tiem. "Burchard, with the backing of Michigan Attor-bey General Frank Reliey, claimed Ballenger was only serving out the remainder of the term of Van Tiem.

only serving out the remander or to the control of the control of

rollcall report

Amendment sponsor William Roth, R-Del., said the pilot program would evolve into a costly enti-tiement program at a time when "the Treasury simply cannot afford the potentially very large ex-

Senators voting no wanted to create a den stration program of compensation to victim toxic waste poisoning. Voting no: Levis, Riegie.

LIMIT — By a vote 15 for and 79 against, the Senate rejected an amendment to cut the five-year cost of the new Superfund legislation (above) from \$7.5 billion to \$5.7 billion.

In its first five years, 1981-85, the Superfund en-vironmental cleamy budget was \$1.8 billion. Supporter Jesse Heims, R-N.C., quoted the Envi-ronmental Protection Agency as saying a \$7.8 billion program is too large for it to adequately adminis-ter.

Opponent Max Baucus, D-Mont., called the amendment "a smoke-and-mirrors proposal (that) does not provide the funding needed to address the problem."

sought to lower the present milk price aupport level of

