Memories of racial prejudice rekindled

THANK YOU, GERALD Rudolph Ford, 38th president of the United States. Thank you, Carol Teegardin. Thank you, Detroit Free Press.
Let me quote the 62nd and 63rd paragraphs of Teegardin's question-and-answer interview with the former president, conducted recently at the Ford home in California.
Teegardin: "Are you the kind of hushand who helps Betty around the house?"
Ford: "Oh, I used to. My principal re-

house?"
Ford: "Oh, I used to. My principal re-sponsibility was picking up dishes and cleaning up the kitchen after a family meal. That's not so bad."
Gentlemen and ladies, just savor that

Gentlemen and ladies, just savor that reply for moment. Granted that the Fords probably now have a domestic staff to handle the mental chores, the king of the castle remembers that in the old days scraping garbage off the plates and defusing the kitchen clutter was his household speciality and his alone.

I would like to think that he said this for my benefil. Or at least for my wife's.

Prior to publication of the Teegardin piece, quite a few students of Bifocals and told me they got several chuckles out of my Sept. 11 essay about our home cookbook library and how Mother Goose rules our kitchen. I went so far as to say, "I eat, but I don't cook."

WHAT I DIDN'T write, fearing perhaps my lot in life is unique, was the fact that once the victuals have been eaten, it's good of Fred who turns bus boy and mops up the remains. Now comes a man who ascended to the presidency of the greatest nation in the world who seems to affirm that this is one avenue to marital bliss.

The Ford archives at Grand Rapids may never give this statement top billing, but selfishly I consider it Jerry's second best. The first best, if you will permit such phrasing, came when he addressed Congress and the nation for the first time as our president, in August 1974.

That's when he expanded on his belief in "basic decency and fairness" and told us he would be president of "black, brown, red and white Americans alike."

He preached "communication, conciliation, compromise and cooperation". . and "reasonableness and fairness" for the whole world, con-The Ford archives at Grand Rapids

JOIN US AT A Bradford Exchange

OPEN HOUSE



ម្ទី through bifocals Fred DeLano

cluding, "We have a lot of work to do; let's get on with it."
You may have forgotten, or may not have known at all, that Ford had firsthand evidence of the toll a lack of decency and fairness can take. The evidence came from the most racially shameful moment in the University of Michigan's athletic hisotory.
It was in Ford's senior year when he was first-string center on the Michigan football team. A classmate named Willis Ward was the best end and halfback, equally capable in either role. Also, he was black, the first of his race to win a berth on the Wolveriae varsity since the turn of the century. turn of the century.

THE OPPONENT on Oct. 20, 1934, at

THE OPPONENT on Oct. 20, 1934, at Ann Arbc. was to be Georgia Tech, and Ward was sacrificed at the altar of racial prejudice when Michigan's athletic hierarchy acquiesced to George Tech's demand that the black man be kept out of the Moize and Blue lineup.

I talked with Ward 40 years later, the week Ford became president. By then he was Wayne County Probate Judge and he had this to say:

"Jerry Ford was the first one to make me feel welcome at Ann Arbor. We were close all the way from freshman orientation week together to our graduation together. From a colored-white standpoint, he is one of the finest, most decent sort of guys I know.

"The Georgia Tech game hurt Jerry, it hurt the whole team; it split the team spiritually. I understand indirectly that Jerry called his father to talk over whether he should quit the team because of it."

To report that the Wolverines beat Georgia Tech, 9-2, even without Ward, seems ludicrous. It was their only victory of the 1948 season and was achieved before a "crowd" of 20,901.

Sure, as a student I sang the "victors." But looking back on that week, I think it may have been one of the first times many of us, Gerald Ford included, learned that not all garbage originates from the dining room.

SUNDAY

OCTOBER 5, 1986

12-5 p.m.

Farmington readers' forum

Letters must be signed, orginal copies and include the address and telephone number of the writer. None can be returned. Names will be withheld from publication only for sufficient reason. Letters should be limited to 300 words in most cases. We reserve the right to edit them. Send letters to Readers' Forum, Farmington Observer, 33203 Grand River Ave., Farmington 48024.

Protection act is move ahead

To the editor:

In November of this year, President Reagan is expected to sign into law the McClure-Volkmer Firearm Owners Protection Act. Finally all the unnecessary restrictions on the sale of firearms, and the harmful bureaucratic authority might be federal expeditors. thority given to federal regulators will

thority given to federal regulators will be gone.

Many stipulations of this law were long overdue. Eight provisions will change the 1986 Gun Control Act, all of which are good news for gun owners. With the possibility of two new conservative jurists to the Supreme Court, one would think our sacred Second Amendment is beyond defilement.

These recent victories, however, should not be an excuse for complacency. We should not forget the dark days of California's Proposition 15 in 1982. This issue was to place a freeze on the number of existing handguns.

IF PASSED, no more could be bought

This issue was to place a freeze on the number of existing handguns.

IF PASSED, no more could be bought or brought into the Golden State. Knowing that "gun control" or "anti-gun" would sound bad to the electorate, the measure was cailled "anti-crime."

Large contributions from celebrities and large corporations gave generously to the coffers of Proposition 15. The liberal bias was apparent when various media gave free advertisements in the newspapers, radio and television all supporting Proposition 15. The \$2 million spent by pro-gun groups seemed to little too late. Surprisingly, when the voters went to the polls in November, Proposition 15 was shot down by a 2-1 margin. How did this happen?

The single person whom private citizens respect most on the issue of crime and gun control is the local police chief, and California's police were overwhelmingly pro-gun.

Do not expect the media to publish the fact that each year a quarter of a million Americans use firearms to defend themselves and their families. Nor will they mention that law-abiding gun owners shot nearly three times as many criminals as police.

DISPUTES regarding the ownership

of drugs, or who will be the seller of drugs in a certain area, account for the greatest number of firearm homleides. These deaths would occur if guns were never invented.

Journalists who try to convince their readers that a home is safer without a firearm are doing the public a serious injustice.

If you are a gun owner, a hunter, or a shooting sports enthusiast, write a nice letter to your police department. Tell them you support a pro-gun group, and you respect and appreciate the fine job the lawmen are doing in your commu-

Roger A. Warda, Hunter Safety Instructor Sterling Heights

Make spending for courts fair

Fair is a simple concept.

District courts provide services to all our citizens. Unfortunately some courts are presently funded by Oakland County taxes and others by local government

The result is that people in Southfield, Oak Park, Farmington, Birmingham, Berkley, Bloomfield, Huntington Woods, West Bloomfield, Lathrup, Pontiac, Royal Oak and Waterford pay twice for

district court operations.

In the past 14 years, the county subsidy for its district court operations has exceeded \$7 million plus millions for new court houses. And the deficit grows larger each year. Our county ex

larger each year.
Our county executive and his party
leadership on the Board of Commissioners say that they cannot and will not
figure out a method to spend our tax
dollars fairly in the funding of district
courts.

dollars fairly in the funding of district courts.

We elect people to treat us all equal-ly. If the present officials say they are not up to the task, then let them stand aside and let others do the job. Lawrence R. Pernick.

county commissioner District 20

Host law - It's a valuable tool

To the editor:

To the editor:

I was incensed by the editorial by Steve Barnaby entitled, "Party laws miss the mark." It's a shame that Mr. Barnaby wrote about an issue on which he seems so completely uninformed.

His filippant "supposed" quotes by supporters and pollticlans had no foundation and were very derogatory. From the beginning, the party law was meant as a deterrent to the "keg parties" that were so prevalent, especially around graduation time.

Many times, parents were pressured into throwing these parties by children who insisted "everybody does it."

With the enactment of this ordinance, parents are now able to defend their stand with, "It's lliegal." Police now have an effective tool to often break up these parties before they begin. The success of this ordinance has not been on the number of arrests, but on the great lessening of these types of parties.

Contrary to Mr. Barnaby's statement that the reaction by police is "less-than-enthusiastic," from the beginning it has had the complete support of police. At initial Farmington Hills City Council hearings regarding this ordinance, police officers testified to the value of this ordinance.

Several months ago, a motion was brought up in Farmington Hills City Council by Councilwoman Dudley to dute said ordinance; and after testimony and debate, it was voted by all but Ms. Dudley to keep the ordinance exactly as it is. Why? Because it is working. The reason so many neighboring communities are adopting similar ordinances is a very carefully thought out law that is extremely effective as a deterrent.

I agree with Mr. Barnaby that the sale of alcohol to minors by party store owners is a serious problem that should be dealt with, but the "keg parties" is also a serious problem, and the suburban communities that have adopted this ordinance, and came and communities are adopted this ordinance should be applauded for their action. I for cae am very glad that it is on the books.

ordinance should be applauded for their action. I for cue am very glad that it is on the books.

Susan Dolesh Farmington Hills





