By Joanne Maliszowski
staff writer

Everyone seems to have an ophion about in-home child day care.
Some oppose it allogether. Others oppose it under certain conditions.
And then there are those who support in-home day care tender day care threatens the residential port in-home day care and are urgive ing Farmington Hills officials to didlebelt, south of 13 Mile. Reidents opposed to Feldman's operation maintain her in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the proposed to provide the provided have to make a proposed to Feldman's operation maintain her in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the practice of the med and garleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the practice of the provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the practice of the provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided by Jarleno Feldman on Mingelewod in the in-home day care provided

property.

Opponents also believe the media has given too much coverage to Feldman's side of the issue. They have demanded equal time.

FELDMAN ADMITS her opponents have a right to offer their opinions. But she maintains that their criticisms and concerns are not based on factual information.

Glenbrook residents consistently maintain that traffic — cars of parents picking up their children from

parked along the road and take up one lane of traffic."

Traffic becomes more of a problem when it snows because the plows use a part of the road to pile up the snow, while Feldman's parents take up the other portion of the road with their parked cars.

"The parking is very dangerous," said resident Vertle Wilson, adding cars are lined up on Minglewood.

Minglewood residents, such as Horreall, say they feel vulnerable because they are concerned about hitting a small child as they pull their car into Minglewood.

"What is going to happen to me? I'll spend the next year fighting a lawsuit. And I'll have to live with myself if, God forbid, someone is burt. I don't think that is right," Hereall said.

A PETITION circulating in Feldman's neighborhood refers to traffic in front of her house — the second from Middlebelt.

"A particular serious traffic hazard is caused by the cars parked in front of the business. There has been as many as five-to-seven at one time, which interferes with inflow and outflow of traffic to and from Middlebelt," according to the petition.

and outflow of traffic to and from Middlebelt," according to the petition.
Feldman disagrees and calls much of the information in her neighbors' petition "a pack of lies."
Most of the children she cares for in her home are dropped off and picked up one at a time.
"The petition says that five to seven cars are there at a time. That's not true. There are no cars parked in front of my house 90 percent of the time," she said, belong to neighbors. Parents park in the driveway when she said, belong to neighbors. Parents park in the driveway when the bluthcer who came to a propose the bluthcer who came to a propose the bluthcer who came to a propose of the time," Feldman said.

FELDMAN MAINTAINS that the

FELDMAN MAINTAINS that the traffic generated from her in-home child care scryice is no greater, and in fact, less than what is generated

by the average family in the subdivi-sion. She also argues that many of those who have signed the petition and live on other streets, such as Summerwood and Rollcrest, don't even drive by her bouse.

Nonetheless Eddman and in-

reidman's nouse — creates a naz-ard.

"The main problem is traffic," said Carol Herreall, a Minglewood resident, "Minglewood is just big enough for two cars. The cars are parked along the road and take up one lane of traffic."

Summerwood and Rollerest, don't even drive by her bouse.

Nonetheless, Feldman and inhome child care supporters maintain that traffic is not and should not be the issue. Inhome child care in a residential area is the sole issue. The opposing residents' petition, titled, "Reasons for stopping zoning change request by Darlene Feldman of Minglewood Lane," addresses the residential issue.

"We, the undersigned, representing a majority of property owners on Minglewood Lane and other streets in Glenbrook subdivision, see no hardship or unique circumstances applying to this property.

"One property owner should not be permitted to obtain a zoning change against the will of the majority," according to the petition.

BUT FELDMAN is not seeking re-

BUT FELDMAN is not seeking re-coming of her property or of her neighborhood. And planning com-nectioners and city council mem-hers are not discussing zoning changes to accommodate in-home day care.

"I don't want to change RA-1 (sin-gle-family residential). I don't want businesses on my street," Feldman said.

gle-family residential). I don't want businesses on my street," Feldman said.
Feldman is urging city officials to change the zoning ordinance — not a specific land use zone — that prohibits her and others from offering inhome child care.

She has suggested a proposed ordinance change calling for "state-certified quality home day care" as a "permitted accessory use of land in an RA-1, or single-family residential zone" and that such use is not subject to special conditions.
City zoning ordinances provide for child care centers on main roads but do not address in-home family or group day care.
Planning commissioners Jan. 22 hammered out a proposed ordinance that would allow child home care in single-family residential areas. The proposed ordinance — with few restrictions — covers both family day care homes and group day care homes.

UNDER THE commission's pro-posal, family day care homes would be permitted for a maximum six

children and the provider must be a resident of the home and licensed by the state. The same restrictions would apply to group day cate homes except the provider could care for seven to 12 children. A public hearing is schouled for 7:30 pm. Thursday, Feb. 19, to receive public comments.

comments.

Though some are allogether opposed to in-home day care in residential areas, others maintain they can live with family day care homes. Glenbrook resident fonds Ward in some who says she woulder than the release children. "With six and under, you can let a woman take in a few kids."

you can let a woman take in a diverse fields. The state licenses held by many and maintain that the state sanctions such child care. If the state didn't approve of it, there would, he no licensing procedures.

But Jean Fox, Board of Zoning Appeals member, disagrees. "They make a big point that they are licensed by the state and that should supersede local ordinances. But the state slab licenses and repair shops and liquor stores. We don't have the have those in the areas except for where they are zoned."

FELDMAN AND other in-home

FELDMAN AND other in-home child care advocates refer to a 1982 Michigan Court of Appeals case that they believe upholds in-home care as part of what takes place in a residential area. "They (appellate judges) decided that in-home child care was residential in nature," said attorney Richard Small, a member of Parents to Legalize In-Home Care. Small admitted that the appellate case, Bevery Island Association vs. Zinger, "did not directly deal with zoning specifically, But the nature of that use — caring for children — is what's similar," he said.
"You're caring for children in that's the crucial thing of residential area," adding that traffic aphrofit — two issues raised in the Zinger case — were found to be irrelevant.
Small said he would like city officials to adopt the state statute as an ordinance. The city could apply the state regulations and require providers to register with the city, Any trouble with in-home care could be handled under the city's nuisance ordinances, he said.

## Opponents fear commercialization

Continued from Pago 1

not a woman who is baby-sitting and taking care of the next door neighbor's child and making a little extra money."

Other Gleabrook neighbors echo Hearrell's sentiments.

This business is a business. I door "This business is a business. I door believe it's airrustic or benevolent. It's for profit." Summerwood resident Planuer Politagement of the future Politagement of the its of home occupations is because it was considered different future for the sum of the property of the proper

cise."

The issue, according to Feldman, is that in-home child day care is a necessary service growing in demand. A neighborhood is where parents raise their children. And inhome child day care, it follows, is an appropriate use of a single-family bouse, she said.

"It's not a business like most business. It's an appropriate use of a home," ahe said. "No. I am not bringing business onto their street. I am keeping the normal use of the neighborhood going."

YEARS AGO, when mothers stayed home to care for children, child care was free. Today, when both parents work, because more often than not two incomes are needed, child care is no longer free, Feldman

ten than not two incomes are needed, child care is no longer free, Feldman said.

"It (both parents working) has created a different atmosphere. People now have to pay for the services that used to be free. And a residence is an appropriate place to raise children," Feldman said.

"We are filling a need. We are the only ones at home caring for the children. Prest, there is a business aspect here. But it is not the primary interest," she continued.

One of the parents whose child is cared for "confider what the is confider of the parents whose child is cared for "confider what the is configured." To confider what the is configured a vital service. A business of the word," said Dr. Steve Nugent of West Bloomfield Township.

Feldman and her supporters cite a 1982 Michigan Court of Appeals case, (Beverly Island Association vs. Zinger) to buttress their arguments that whether in-home child care is a business on to its basically irrelevant.

"The court said it doesn't matter if

vant.

"The court said it doesn't matter if she's accepting money for this service," said attorney Richard Small, a member of Parents to Legalize In-Home Care.

**CLASSIFIED'S** 



## WHEN THE WEATHER IS AT ITS WORST...

Even when you're tucked away indoors waiting for better weather, we're still churning out effective advertising in the classified department. Buyers and sellers alike find our services are particularly helpful during bad weather, since they can complete sales over the telephone, then finish their transactions when they're finally dug out! Forget the snow and call us with your ad today!

Diserver & Eccentric
CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

844-1070 Oakland County 591-0900 Wayne County

852-3222 Rochester/Rochester Hills



Come to our February ( clearance sale. You're going to love the savings.

You're going to love buying yourself and your home a special gift or two at prices that are up to 50% off. Look around our showroom—all in-stock lighting fixtures. table lamps track lighting, outdoor lighting, even

CENTER ELECTRIC Fixtures for light. Light for you.

14501 West Eight Mile Road