points of view

nnecessary 'courtesy' titles raise ire

Following other national trends that have carried us back to the Dark Ages — kicking and screaming — a decision has been made at two news publications that is simply prehistoric.

Just when I thought we could call ourselves progressive, the Atlanta Journal and Atlanta Constitution announced they will begin using 'courtesy tiltes' once again. Aithough the papers are not main sources of news here in Michigan, the change should still be a concern.

This has been a pet peeve of mine since I started reading newspapers,

This has been a pet peeve of mine since I started reading newspapers, even before I started writing for them.

Courtesy titles label a news source on second reference, usually with a Mr., Mrs., Ms., or Miss. The Observed & Eccentric and most other modern papers treat everyone equally on second reference — by using last names only.

Exceptions are sometimes made in obliuaries in respect to the deceased.

in oblituaries in respect to the de-ceased.

THE ATLANTA newspapers are taking this step backward, as most other publications are moving ahead, by ridding themselves of this bail-and-chain tradition. While Atlanta officials boast should their city's revival and urban progress. The state of the city's revival and the progress of the city's revival and the city's



The proper "courtesy" calls for treating news sources equally

Bill Kovach, editor of the Journal and Constitution, arrived in his present position from the New York Times where courters names on second reference have always been a tradition.

"For some time, we have been troubled by the limited use of courtersy titles in our news columns," he said in a front-page editor's note, announcing the change.

It troubles me that Kovach (or shall I say, Mr. Kovach) would force a label on his news sources.

The proper "courtesy" calls for

NOW COME the cool days of Sep-tember. Our minds are relieved from the burden of heat.

We watch as children, in great anticipation and a sense of renewal, pack off to school with new books, new clothes and (we hope) new and more mature attitudes.

Just days earlier, the children were bored and bewildered; the par-ents were frustrated.

But all is forgiven, maybe even forgotten. Peace has returned to the American subdivision — well, almost.

With Labor Day comes a sense of renewal and a hope that life will, in-deed, be better for our children.

children, you know, are once-again fashionable. You must bave noliced the contrast from the late '70s and early '80s when most every-body was more concerned with get-ting his, being in the fast lane and climbing the corporate ladder.

Newspapers are full of stories concerning the educational system — some hopeful, some not so uplifting.

ON THE DOWN SIDE, we are seeing some things that make us question whether we really are getting shead.

Southfield, a city battling to maintain its sense of community, is in upheaval over its highly paid school su-

treating all news sources equally. Using such titles is not only stodgy and out-of-date but creates choppy sentences that are difficult to read. A "Mr." or "Ms." interjected throughout a news or feature story simply interrupts the reader.

IT ALSO interrupts a more im-portant flow in our society toward treating men and women equally in the eyes of readers. This change is not only a step backward for every-one, it is truly a glant leap in that direction for womankind.

For men in this debate, the cour-tesy title only adds a "Mr."

Schools asking for loyalty

decide whether to define their mari-tal status — which may be totally irrelevant to the story — or to labe themselves as "Ms." which in man-readers' eyes plants them firmly it the threes of radical feminism.

Those who have earned the title o "doctor," either in medical or aca demic worlds, will have that referred to in a news story when ap propriate.

propriate.

As a reader, I expect my newspapers to give me the "courtesy" of good writing, a good mix of news and not to use titles on second reference for those they interview.

Don't malign day care

SOME OF THE self-appointed ex-perts who write about day care have done nothing to disprove the theory that intelligent life is impossible in a vacuum. These people must live in a vacuum to be so dumb.

I have read suggestions that day care may be responsible for the spread of serious contagious dis-eases, that children in nations with a eases, that children in nations with a heavy reliance on day care suffer from mental illness and suicidal tendencies, and that low-income, sin-gle mothers don't really need day care belp because they can usually rely on a relative or a neighbor.

I would like to take the authors of these comments and stick their heads in my kid's used diaper basket. Ditto for those who kindly point out that even the best day care is no sub-stitute for mother's love.

Exposing reality to such deranged people is usually a futile exercise, but if I could meet these people, I would crouch down at their level andtell them a thing or three: • Day are is not a percequiste to villainy. Charles Manson, Richard Speck and Adolf Hitler all made their black marks on history without the benefit of day care.



Children got sick before the advent of day care. There is no proof that polio, malaria and the bubonic plague started at day care centers.

• There is no law that children can only get parental love from a mother. Fathers can love children, too. Few media types recognize this. I have yet to read a story or column where the writer suggests a child's development might be enhanced if the control of the column to the co

Businesses don't deal adequate-ly with day care because businesses are run by men and men have a vest-

ed interest in keeping women in sole possession of child care responsibilities. It has been said that if men got pregnant, abortions would be as controversial as tonsilicetomies. Similarly, if men had to equally divide child care responsibilities, day care as a benefit would be as common as lunch breaks.

(Here's a revealing story: A suburban businessman felt that his male colleagues would ridicule thin if he said that he had to leave a meeting early to pick up his child from day care. He thought they would say something like, "Don't you have a wife for that?"

(So he said instead that he had to pick up the laundry. "Don't you have a wife for that?" someone asked.)

a wife for that?" someone asked.)

• In short, it should be realized that few parents like to leave their children every day, but that men have been doing it for years without having to suffer well-aimed but unjustified guilt attacks. It also should be noted that for, all the election year talk about family values, the government and businesses of the context of t

Steve Barnaby

perintendent's move to neighboring Farmington Hills. Under normal circumstances, no one would notice. Lots of education chiefs live in towns outside their dis-

But Southfield is going through a tough time. Facing an ever-increas-ing black population, it is struggling to make racial integration work. This is certainly a monumental task in a metropolitan area that has been labeled as one of this country's most segregated.

Many believe that the city needs all the foot soldlers it can find — especially its leaders.

In reaction to the superintendent's nove, the school board has implemented a residency policy, requiring future top administrators to live within the district. Present Superin-tendent Carl Hassel is excluded.

He defends his right to live any-place he chooses. And being one of the highest-paid school administra-

tors in the state, he can afford to move where he wants. It's a tough call all the way around. But under the circum-stances, any sensible person has to ask why he wanted to move in the first place.

You've got to believe many residents are asking themselves if their school leader thinks he's too good to live in their community.

ON A MORE UPLIFTING note, several items show we are making progress.

Child day care is one of the top issues of this presidential campaign. Quality of education has got to come in a close second, with report after report coming out saying that once again we have to pay closer atten-tion to how our children learn.

Heavy emphasis also is put on a higher standard for those who are doing the teaching.

doing the teaching.

Not since the late '50s when the
Russkies scared us with their sudden
leap forward in the space race, hat
he public been so concerned with
education. But this time, instead of
chasing the elusive and illusory Red
scare, we are stalking ourselved
schallenging our own system to rid liself of abuse and neglect.

Like that long-ago cartoon figure, Pogo, told us, "We have met the ene-my, and he is us."





