# Reprimand expected — mayor

Farmington Hills city attorney larold Larson is expected to receive a role of the role of the receive a role of the role of th

the city council at tonight's regular 7:30 meeting.
"Tm not sure it's going to be a firm reprimand. What I indicated to the council — because I'm not sure what they're looking for — is I would draft a letter Indicating our displeasure with his judgment," Sever said.
In late February, Sever said he was satisfied with Larson's written

explanation to the city council about the trees he had removed on six acres off 12 Mile, east of Halsted. Sever said he didn't feel Larson ravaged the land in violation of the new ordinance protecting the city's remaining trees.

But Halsted resident Bernard Ludwig is challenging Larson's explanation and the lack of any type of reprimand.

Lodwig said he would be satisfied with a city council-authorized latter to Larson. 'I think that's the best answer. It would put the city on record as not approving of what he (Larson) did the city of the

beyond what is reasonable."
Sever said he do-sn't fully agree with Ludwig. "I thought the facts were out. But I recognize Bernie Ludwig is a clitten and it's a sincere concern of his. I do not feel any differently about it. I would prefer not to write the letter."

SEVER SAID he feels the issue "is really a difference of opinion and concern. I really don't think we'll know the full story on it."

with the mayor's and council's lack of action after Larson wrote his letter of explanation in late February. "The mayor defended him. He asked for a letter. He wrote a letter. The mayor commended him for being so open," Ludwig said.

Ludwig also is upset because Larson was not reprimanded, but councilman Ben Marks was criticized because he made the tree cuttings public at the end of the Feb. 20 city council moeting. Sever said he would have preferred to have all the facts before the matter was publicized. Larson told the Observer that tree removal began about two weeks and was completed about one week before the ordinance's Feb. 13 effective date.

"HE DIDN'T start until the ordinance was approved (enacted Jan. 23). "He got around the ordinance. It's like inside trader information,"

23). "He got around the orunnance. It's like inside trader information," Ludwig sald.
Larson's Farmington Hills firm, Larson, Harms & Wright, became the city's legal representatives last year when longtime city attorney Paul Bibeau disbanded his firm, Brennan, Bibeau & Pochiman, and joined Larson's.

The city's contract with Larson's Irm will be up for renewal placer this year. At that time, the city council may consider whether the tree issue has an effect on on the city's relationship with the firm. Sever said.

Desolte the controversy, Sever

Despite the controversy, Sever said he still supports Larson. "Harold is a person I know I can trust. If not, I wouldn't politically risk myself. I feel he is an honest person. But I want to face the issue headon," Sever said.

Some city council members were surprised by Larson's actions be-cause his firm wrote the tree ordi-nance and he was aware when it would take effect. Larson began re-moving the trees about two weeks before the ordinance's Feb. 13 effec-tive date.

Ludwig said he was disappointed

# **Board releases** attorney's report

Wittenberg said Tuesday, minutes before trustees agreed to release the

The board's decision to release

don't think it seed a proceed any way."

Wittenberg and fellow company economist Patrick Anderson requested the legal report from district administrators March 3 under the state Freedom of Information

Act.

On March 8, district administrators refused the request from Autorson and Wittenberg, after the two had twice requested the report verbally.

The district claimed the report was privileged information between the district and its attorney. The denial was based on portions of the Freedom of Information Act relating, to attorney-ellent privirelating to attorney-client privi-

In granting the request, school trustees said they supported the manner in which administrators handled the request. Trustees said attorney opinions aren't released lightly. Trustee Jack Inch was concerned about exactly how the report would be released. "It object to it being available to one corporation before anyone else."

The report was released immediately, with copies available at the meeting to anyone who wanted one. THREE DAYS after obtaining

legal report.

The board's decision to release the report was not without discussion or opposition. Concernd that the release would set a precedent, rustee Janice Ronke opposed the board's motion to make the legal report public.

"I would be against that motion because all of our attorney's time dealing with district problems and issues... is paid with tarpayers' money, And we do have attorney-feltent privilege," she said.

TRUSTEE SUSAN Rennels disagreed. "I see no reason not to release this particular opinion. I don't think it sets a precedent in any way."

Wittenberg and fellow company economist Patrick Anderson requested the legal report from district administrators March 3 under the propertion of the properties of the

before it was released by the board?

• What was the actual date on the letter?"

Both board president Cotton and school district attorney J. Kingsley Cotton Friday denied any known alteration to the document.

"There's no subterfuge," John Cotton said.

Attorney Cotton said, "This is ridiculous. Mr. (Michael) Flanagan (deputy superintendent) has the original letter. I resent having to say this to you, I have a tissue and a carbon copy of the letter."

# Resident blasts attorney's order to cut down trees

Continued from Page 1

Continued from Papp 1

More than 19 trees were removed because equipment brought in to do the Job destroyed many others. As the season of the Job destroyed many others, and the Job destroyed the Job destroyed in Job destroyed in Job destroyed in Job destroyed in the Landmark trees are described in the city ordinance as those notable because of size, form or species. They are those larger than 24 inches in diameter. Most of the trees cut were 38-42 inches in diameter. Most of the trees cut were 38-42 inches in diameter and some as large as \$6 inches.

". We sincerely believed that rather than creating any negative impact, we were, in fact, assuring the future health and vitality of the woods," Larson wrote.

the future figure and vicinity of su-woods," Larson wrote.

LUDWIG DISAGREES.
"It's not a matter of doing what other people on Halsted (with simi-lar wooded lots) would — thinning a woodlot — or woodlot management. What he did was commercial logg-ling."

What he did was continued to be legal in g."
Ludwig also questions two large clearings at the rear of the acreage. In late February, Larson said the two clearings are where the former house, in which he and his family lived approximately 20 years ago,

used to be, including a detached garage, yard, chicken coop and septic and drain field.

"In that open area, there might have been one of the trees cut from that general area," Larson said.

That area, Ludwig said, "was totally benumed in by trees." Aerial photos show there were no clearings as there are today.

"The house was very tightly surrounded by the trees."

WHAT BOTHERS Ludwig is the public and media perception "that all Larson had to do was wait two weeks for the ordinance to go into effect and it would be all right."

Even if Larson had waited for the ordinance to take effect, the city atterney would have been unable to remove the trees, Ludwig said, would not be covered by any of the ordinance's reasons for issuing a permit to remove trees. The trees cut were not a safety hazard and did not completely prevent access to a lot, as cited by the ordinance.

LARSON SAID his goal is to sell

LARSON SAID his goal is to sell the property for cluster housing, which the planning commission ap-proved as an option for the acreage. One site plan for cluster housing was

denied by planning commissioners in November.
The removed trees would not have unreasonably prevented development of the lot, Ludwig said. Unreasonably preventing development of a lot is one of the reasons why a tree emoval permit could be granted under the ordinance.
"He is making it attractive to builders because they are not going to be bothered with removing the trees," Ludwig said.

You are invited to a

# FREE DECORATING SEMINAR

AT OUR BIRMINGHAM STORE 855 South Hunter

Between 14 Mile Rd. and Maple Rd. - near Woodward turn-off

Wednesday, March 29 - Noon



### **Meet and Hear** Janice Hall, ASID

Janice Hall, Senior Stylist for Allied Fibers (Anso V Worry-Free carpets) will discuss color, carpet and decorating topics with

- Trend-setting colors for the coming year
- · Selecting color schemes that best suit personalities and family needs
- How to use color in your home

Coffee and Cookies will be served





#### Can Chiropractic Help Me...?

HOW MUCH WILL IT COST?

We are often asked, "What's the best way of finding out whether or not a doctor of chiropractic can belp my problems?

We believe the answer can be found in a complete chiropractic consultation and examination, including X-rays.

And, to help you find out for sure, we will do a complete chiropractic examination, including X-rays (procedures that normally cost \$125 or more) for \$25.00.

We will make this special program available for the next two weeks. The only exception to the offer involves personal injury cases (worker's compensation and auto accidents) in which case there is no charge billed directly to the patient for the first visit.

**\$25**00

Regular \$128 Program Offer Expires April 10, 1989

SE CONDITIONS ARE SOME OF THE DANGER SIGNALS OF PINCHED NERVES

Headache
Arthritic pain by stiff neck
Loss of sleep
Scollosis
Log pain and numbness
Leg pain and numbness
Leg pain and numbness

If You Are Experiencing Any Of These Symptoms, Call Our Office Today For An Appointment

OFFICE HOURS:

Sat. 9-12

McCarty Chiropractic Center 31170 Haggerty Rd. (Just south of 14 Mile Rd.)

661-8500

AWYORK CARPET

855 S. Hunter Blvd.

258-6140