

My Favorite Stories

by Ervin J. Coble

Appertaining to Finance

MOST of us are familiar with the M-1 savings plan, which is the best plan we can bring forward to the public, because it must make extensive deposits immediately in order that his drawing account might not decline, made easier as follows:

"Say, here! I'm getting good and tired of having you folks bring me about these petty financial details. If you begin pestering me again I'll be forced to not take my overcoat off of your hand and trust to the Second National, across the street."

There's a complicated story for this, not quite so old:

A gentleman in a southern town, well known as brilliant but careless, was persistent in his applications for loans and extremely rarely about repaying them. He had induced a local bank to let him have forty dollars, and gave his note therefor.

At the end of each month, a portion of his application was asked that the note be renewed; and as there was nothing else to do, the bank always renewed it.

One day he appeared and said to the cashier:

"I'd like to renew that paper of mine you have here."

"Certainly," replied the cashier; "I'll do it."

The cashier made the proper preparations for the renewal, and then he said:

"Say, the directors were talking about this paper of yours the other day. They decided that they wouldn't charge you interest on it any longer."

"That's very considerate of them," was the reply, "I'm mighty glad to hear it. I certainly am under obligation to them."

"Yes," continued the cashier, "we're not going to charge you interest, we're going to charge you storage!"

E. A. Fink, Attorney, Toledo, Ohio.

MORTGAGE SALES.—Detroit, Mich., having been made in the terms and conditions of a certain mortgage, executed by JOSEPH C. FEEHAN and ETHEL M. FEEHAN, his wife, of the City of Detroit, Michigan, on the sixteenth day of October, A. D. 1928, for the sum of one hundred and forty-five thousand dollars, or thereabouts, to the plaintiff, VICTOR L. COOPERSON, defendant, plaintiff, vs. Ida M. Brown, defendant, and returned that defendant cannot be found, it appears by affidavit that it cannot be ascertained where the defendant resides, it is ordered that the said defendant appear at 221 Washington Street, Farmington Hills, Michigan, on the TWENTY-SECOND DAY OF JANUARY, 1929, and defend the complaint filed in this suit, or judgment will be entered by default, and that this order be served or published as required by statute.

The foregoing suit involves title to Lot 141 of the Meyers Land Company's Birmingham Subdivision, an addition to the Village of Birmingham, Oakland County.

Dated: December 18, 1928.

GEORGE B. HARTZICK,

Circuit Court Commissioner.

Dec. 27

GEORGE B. HARTZICK,

Circuit Court Commissioner.

Jan. 3

GEORGE B. HARTZICK,

Circuit Court Commissioner.

Jan. 27

GEORGE B. HARTZICK,

Circuit Court Commissioner.

Jan. 3

GEORGE B. HARTZICK,

Circuit Court Commissioner.