#### **POINTS OF VIEW**

## LETTERS

# 'Yes' to site improvements

We encourage Clarenceville District
We encourage Clarenceville District
residents to vote yes in the upcoming
Sinking Fund Election. The administrators
and school board members have demonstrated their conviction that a quality education is of primary importance for our
children. We need to support them in this
belief by making funds available for much
needed site improvements so that money is
not taken out of the general fund which is
used to educate our children. We are very
happy to be members of the Clarenceville
family and support this program.

Bob and Sharon Simpson
Farmington Hills

#### Much already spent

After reading recent articles pertaining Chareneville School District), one could almost think no renovations have ever been done to our schools in past years. Back in June 1985, the voters of Clareneville approved 1/2 mill for the purpose of improving facilities in the district. It would keep our buildings going for the next 30-nlus years. next 30-plus years.

In April 1989, the Wayne County Alloca-tion Board was told we had started the pro-jects to take our present buildings, equip-ment and facilities into the year 2015.

ment and ractifices into the year 2019.

In June 1995, the voters passed two ballot proposals. The first was a 10-year
(2005) \$4.5 million dollar bond issue. The second was a building and site sinking fund which would raise \$2.5 million over a

tund which woud or raise \$2.5 million over a 10 year period. We only have four schools. Since 1990-91,\$456,352.25 has been spent on roofs. Since 1996 we have spent \$200,335 for heat. Of that over \$80,000 was at Boteford. Another \$738,301 has been spent on elec-trical and now you tell us we are still using fuses?

We were told the board represents us and does what we ask. If that is true, who asked to do away with the tennis courts? Then there is the question of the money

still owing for the removal.

Last, but not the least, I resent it when I go to a board meeting and I am asked,

What are you doing bere? What are you doing here?

Denna Bam

## Old days were safer

There was a time, not too long ago, when being a teenager was one of the best times of a person's life. Over the years, I felt I had been deprived of potential fun on a larger scale compared to other generations. The radio was no match for the TV, arguments were settled by fisticuffs or wrestling and settled promptly. Beer, alco-hol and cigarettes were usually taken from the parents' storage place. Paper routes did not earn enough money to buy a car or other luxuries. We had the highest respect for the authority of our parents, the police, teachers the elderly and even some politi-

Today, many students will receive a car

Today, many students will receive a car or pickup truck as a graduation gift from proud and affluent parents or grandparents. There are always "friends" who are old enough (and willing) to make purchases of items unlawful for juveniles to purchase. Recent events tell mo I was really VER fortunate to have had my youthful teenage years back when they were more fun and much safer. Any classmate who died, actually died of natural causes or a rare automobile accident. Are we going to make the future generations safer or are we not? The future generations safer or are we not? The responsibility is OURS today!

Anthony B. Brehler

#### A vote of confidence

plan to vote for Linda Enberg in the upcom-ing Farmington Board of Education elec-

sing rarmington Board of Education election.

I have known Linda for many years, and
had the privilege of working with her at
Farmington Families in Action, and on the
Advisory Banda at Power Middle School and
Farmington High. My husband and I attended her class, Talking With Your Kids About
Alcohol. Linda is talented, dedicated, energetic, articulate and caring. She is a positive
force on any committee.

Linda's efforts toward the bond improvement projects will benefit our district for
years to come. Linda also led the initiative toreturn 31 percent of the Durant funds to the
taxpayers. She recognizes the importance of
character development in the schools.

Even though Linda is running unopposed,
Iplan to give her a "voto of confidence" on June
14.

Debbie Read Farmington Hills

# University funding: Behind the scenes

t's June and, right on time, the annual tussle over funding for Michigan's universities has emerged from legislative cloakrooms in Lansing. Last week, the state Senate passed a bill to increase funding for Michigan's universities by 8.4 percent, while rejecting Gov. John Engler's proposal to equalize university spending. The House previously went along with the governor's plan, so the two contending bills will go to a conference committee where some heavy-duty traging and hauling

two contening in list will go to a conterence committee where some heavy-duty tugging and hauling will eventually result in an agreement. Here's a peck of what's really going on behind the scenes in this year's version of Michigan's longest-running competitive battle. Much of it has to do with the close relationship

Much of it has to do with the close relationship between Gov. Engler and Michigan State University President Peter McPherson. McPherson is an old buddy of Engler's (both are MSU alumni) and was the governor's hand-picked candidate for the MSU presidency. Ever since McPherson arrived in East Lansing, ho's been all over the state Capitol looking for money and effectively preaching the doctrine that MSU has been short-changed for years when legislative appropriations are handed out. It's true. Michigan State is in a financial bind these days. But some of it is self-inflicted. McPherson got a lot of favorable publicity back in 1995 when he announced that MSU tuition increases would be indexed henceforth to the rate

increases would be indexed henceforth to the rate of inflation. That sounded great to Michigan families who were sore at seeing tuition bills that regularly exceeded the cost of living. But it also put

larly exceeded the cost of inving. But it also put MSU's income stream (a big part of which comes from tuition fees) in a straight jacket. Moreover, MSU has been increasing undergrad-uate enrollment. Over the past three years, some 4,000 additional students have been admitted to 4,000 additional students have been admitted to MSU with what amounts to a guarantee that their tuition bills will not exceed the rate of inflation. Assuming the guarantee still holds, that means MSU tuition bill increases this fall will be no more than 1.7 percent, the current rate of inflation. To make things worse, Michigan State's faculty is getting restive over low salaries. Pay for MSU full professors is dead last among Big Ten schools, while associate professors rank eighth and assistant professors rank eighth and assistant professors rank eighth and sasis-

tant professors come in ninth. And even the most other-worldly professor gets grumpy when it's explained that the reason faculty salaries are so low is because the university has decided to link come from tuition to a low rate of inflation

So the big question facing McPherson has been how to get some extra money out of the legislature so he can get the faculty off his back and relieve SU from its increasingly tight financial bind. Enter Gov. Engler and his budget director, Mary



noye, who concocted a controversial scheme that would classify state universities into four tiers that would classify state universities into four tiers according to size and mission and equalize per stu-dent payments for each university within a given classification. For the coming fiscal year, each school would get an appropriation ranging from \$4,500 to \$8,500 per student, with the lowest fund-ed schools in each tier receiving the largest increas-

Clumped together in the "research universities" tier would be the University of Michigan, MSU, Wayne State University and Michigan Tech University, And (what a surprise!) under the Engler funding plan Michigan State would have received

infining plan inclination increase of 4.5 percent, while everybody else would get 3 percent.

Now the MSU folks have a point, Michigan State gets less money per in-state student than U-M, WSU or MTU. And it's been one of McPherson's

highest priorities to make up that difference.

But Sen. Joe Schwarz, a U-M aluminus and the powerful chair of the Senate appropriations subittee that deals with university funding,

committee that caus with university lunding, "It's robbing Peter to pay Paul to fund the consequences of the Michigan State tuition guarantee at the expense of other schools," says Schwarz.

The Senate agreed with him, thus setting up the coming showdown between Senate and House ver-

coming showdown between Senate and House versions of university appropriations bills.

You'll read about the results in your regular daily newspaper, but now you know what's really going on behind the scenes.

Phil Power is the chairman of the company that owns this newspaper, A veteran observer of university funding wars, he is also a former Regent of the University of Michigan and member of the Governor's Commission on the Future of Higher Education that measured in 1982 nonther under for multiple to the contract of the Covernor's Commission on the Future of Higher Education that measured in 1982 nonther under formula. nor's Commission on the Father of Ingher Educ tion that proposed in 1982 another funding forn for Michigan universities. He can be reached at ppower@homecomm.net or at (734) 665-4081.



ewish Community Center of Metropolitan Detroit

6800 W. Maple Rd. West Bloomfield, MI 48322

15110 W. Tan Mila Rd. Oak Park, MI 48237

