## POINTS C /IEW

# Election bound to produce plenty of ticket-splitters.

before election day in what cer-tainly will go down in the histo-ry books as among the most bizarre elections in Michigan political history I'm obliged to warn readers of this

column: I've got more than an acade nic interest this time around. I'm on the ballot myself, running for re-election to the University of Michigan Board of Regents.

Earlier in the campaign, when it became clear that Geoffrey Fieger was making no headway against Gov. John Engler, lots of politicos were thinking in terms of a historic Repub lican tidal wave sweeping the ballot

from top to bottom.

But things can change quickly in politics. The GOP leadership in Congress overreached in trying to milk the impeachment proceedings against President Clinton. To their surprise, the national news media discovered most folks beyond the Beltway were more interested in appropriations and policy — the stuff of governing — than in Monica Lewinisky. And Figured out how to quit shooting himself in the foot every time he

opened his mouth.
So my guess is this: After people get through doing whatever they're going to do for governor at the top of the ballot, they'll go back to being the kind of sane, rational, ticket-splitting voters that Michiganders nave always

been.
For example, even though Gov.
Engler has a big lead, Democrat Jennifer Granholm is running a very nier orannom is running av running av strong race against Republican John Smietanka to succeed "eternal" Attorney General Frank Kelley. I've seen no evidence that any incumbent mem bers of Congress, Republican or Democratic, are in trouble. With Democratic, are in trouble. With term limits retiring two-thirds of the old members, nobody knows much about anybody who's running for the State House of Representatives. So voters are going to be scanning down their ballots, looking for sensi-ble candidates from either major party and splitting their tickets accordingly. Por competent candi-

party and abitting their tickets accordingly. For competent candi-dates of both parties, who find them-selves condemned to relative anonymity, the challenge is to find ways to cut through the information



vacuum to find ways to inform voters

vacuum to find ways to inform voters that there are pockets of sanity and competence well worth searching out. It was ever thus in Michigan, at least since the 1964 election, when Democratic President Lyndon Johnson carried Michigan by more than one million votes over Republican Sen. Barry Goldwater, while Republican Gov. George Romney trounced Neil Staebler, his Democratic opponent, by nearly 400,000. That's a nent, by nearly 400,000. That's a swing of 1.4 million votes, a veritable orgy of ticket-splitting! In fact, the Michigan data were so

remarkable as to form the basis of an influential book, "The Ticket-Split-ter," written in 1972 by V. Lance Tar-

rance Jr. and Walter DeVries. They argued that American voting patterns, historically oriented toward straight ticket voting, had changed in the 1960s, giving rise to wholesale ticket-splitting.

A just-published book by Tarrance, "Checked & Balanced: How Ticket-Splitters Are Shaping the New Balance of Power in American Politics."

ance of Power in American Politics," confirms the trend. Nearly 70 percent of voters surveyed told Roper Center pollsters that they "typically" shun straight-tiket voting.

There's another factor, too, in the rise of ticket-splitting: The mechanism of the way people cast their votes. In the old days, when people voted with machines; it was easy to voted with machines, it was easy to vote a straight ticket. You pulled the vote a straight ticket. You pulsed the lever at the top of your party's column on the ballot. Not only did this east your ballot for all candidates of your party, but the mechanics of the voting machine physically prevented you — locked you out — from voting for any candidates from the other party.

Tadava machines, either the out-

Today's machines, either the optical scanners or the punch card, subtly promote the process of working down the ballot — line by line, page by page, office by office — scrutinizing the candidates and voting back and forth between parties. Sure, with either machine, you can vote a straight ticket by marking the box at the top of the ballot.

the top of the ballot.

But with both punch card and optical scanner machines, you can vote straight and then vote for one or two, candidates from the other party and have those votes count.

I'd guess this election will, once again, produce a lot of split tickets, just like most Michigan elections, where a basically sane electorate searches down the ballot for sensible searches down the binder of searches condidates, regardless of party. But, whether you vote straight or split your ticket, it's very important you remember to vote!

Phil Power is chairman of Home Town Communications Network Inc., the company that owns this newspaper. He welcomes your comments, either by voice mail at (734) 953-2047, Ext. 1880, or by e-mail: ppowcr@oconline.com

#### LETTERS

#### A bad law

It is sad to see the Observer take the delitorial position that bad law is acceptable if it gets rid of a touchy subject such as Dr. Kevorkian and the assisted suicide debate.

The Observer does this in its editorial endorsement of Proposal B in a roundbaut way.

roundabout way.

roundabout way.

The Observer admits that a part of
Prop B is seemingly unconstitutional
in that it would fine and jail doctors
who refuse to refer their patients to
doctors who administer death, out of

That being so, the Observer seems to be willing to let these conscientiously objecting doctors suffer court proceedings and their overwhelming expenses when they express and defend their constitutional religious and/or ethical rights. Rights that should not be brokered or tampered

with.

This part of the law under Prop B is nothing but coercion and seems to be designed to force doctors who do not want to take any part in assisted suicide into doing so against their will. Plain and simple, it is Big Broth-

While admitting constitutional doubts regarding doctors in Prop B, why didn't the Observer mention other constitutional or law negating doubts in the proposal such as falsifi-cation of official records? The closing of the doors (press freedom) to the Open Meetings Act. No avenue of review or oversight of the practice or abuse of the Prop B law by any gov-ernment body, not even by the branches of law enforcement includ-

ing the state attorney general.

That the only authority to have any sort of control of the Prop B law in sort of control of the Prop B law in any manner is to be a panel made up of selected people. People who must by law be advocates of assisted suicide and thus perhaps biased about exposing any short comings in the law and its enforcement, or in it sue. Just how this law is used and executed is paramount and any transgressions must be known. Under Prop B, this could not hone.

Could not happen.

Under Prop B, even the freedom of the press would be abridged in that the Observer itself could not get information if it heard rumors or reports of the abuse of the law and wanted to write about it. Will the Observer settle for this

abuse of its press rights when that time comes as surely it will? What

subject would be next?

Regardless of where one's sympa-thies are in regard to assisted suicide, Prop B is just a bad law and should be defeated on that basis alone. The way this law has been thought out and written makes it an example of why laws of this sort should be written by governmental lawmakers and not zealots from all walks of life. In seekzeatots from all walks of inc. In seek-ing what they consider a right, they trample other rights and laws to reach their goal. Vote NO on Prop B. Wait for a good law. A bad law will take forever to correct.

Tom Murphy Farmington Hills

### Saying thanks

What a wonderful way to end my work week - to receive the Whole Foods Market check for \$910.39, representing Marian, Oakland-West's 5 percent bonus from

land-West's 5 percent bonus from their Sept. 30 sales. As a nonprofit organization, it is difficult for us to realize the necessary income required to maintain our facility because our staff is limited. The wonderful sponsoring of these customer appreciation days is an unexpected bonus, for which we are very crateful. grateful.

Our hats are off to Merchant of Vino-Whole Foods Market manage-ment for this community support pro-

Elaine McDermott Marian, Oakland-West



# With Ameritech Multi-Line Packs. the value of sharing really is a value.

Ameritech Multi-Line Packs can save your business time and money.



- · Have up to five phones on one account
- Share minutes between plan users
- · Receive one monthly bill for all lines
- · Includes a host of free calling features
- Can provide significant savings



## FREE CLEARPATH DIGITAL PHONE

MONTHLY MINUTES 545/mo. First Line Dive Additional Lines at \$18/n

1200 MONTHLY MINUTES \$99/mo. First Line Plus Additional Lines at \$29/mo



LEARPATH

CALL 1-800-MOBILE-1

Ask for your business sales consultant.

Text Pagers as low as \$69.99

Ameritech. In a world of technology, people make the difference



Get up to 50% back with Toro's S'no Risk Guarantee.