

CC's Ross named Mr. Hockey

BY PAUL BRAUDY
STAFF WRITER
pbraudy@oehomecom.net

Jared Ross might have the singular distinction of being the best hockey player in two states. There's his native state, Alabama. And then there's Michigan — the state where the Redford Catholic Central senior player was named Mr. Hockey on Saturday.

"I found out on the way up to the (finals on Saturday)," said Ross, who actually tied for the award with Cranbrook-Kingwood's John Kim. "I knew I was nominated and everyone

was telling me I'd probably get it. When I found out, I didn't think about it that much; I was thinking about the game."

There's no doubt the game is in his blood. His father, Doug, was an All-America selection for Bowling Green and played for the United States in the 1976 Olympics. And he knows what Michigan high school hockey is all about, as he's the head coach at Division I University of Alabama-Huntsville.

"I mainly wanted to play hockey and get recruited and play with the best," said Jared, who had 68 points this year with

30 goals and 38 assists. "I wanted to keep my education intact, so I went the high school route."

Michigan was the place to do it since his father grew up in Dearborn and still has several family members in the area. And Gordie St. John was the coach to play for.

"My dad used to play with Gordie, so I was familiar with the school," said Jared. "I knew it was a good team, and I knew it was a great academic school."

Sharing the award with Kim wasn't a problem for Ross — the first tie in the nine-year history of the award. He's the third Shamrock to win the award.

"He deserves it as much as I did," said Ross, who joined Scott Curtin (1997) and Keith Rowe (1999) as CC winners of the award. "There are so many guys that are good. I've played against him a couple of times and am glad to share with him."

Ross wasn't the only Shamrock to win state honors. Defenseman Donnie Genrich joined him on the state's "Dream Team," and the two, along with Brett John, Jim Spiewak and Sean Genrich were named first team in Division I. St. John also was named the coach of the year.

As far as the senior forward's next step, junior teams in Lansing, Cleveland, St. Louis and Compuware in Plymouth are possibilities. The college team that has contacted him so far is his dad's.

"It's been a great season and this is a special honor," said Jared. "But what means the most is setting a record and winning a third-straight state title."



State-final action: Catholic Central's Andrew Eggert splits Port Huron Northern defenseman Mark Pack (21) and Dennis Newberry (22), causing them to collide.

ALL-STATE HOCKEY TEAMS

MICHIGAN HIGH SCHOOL HOCKEY COACHES ASSOCIATION ALL-STATE TEAMS

DIVISION I

Goalies: Kevin Martow, senior, Livonia Stevenson; Aaron Vitell, senior, Grandville; Tony Dryer, senior, Trenton.

Defensemen: Nick Chalkley, senior, Trenton; Bill McEwen, senior, Redford Unified; Andy Frennon, senior, Reganway; Derek Genrich, senior, Redford Catholic Central; Sean Genrich, senior, Redford Catholic Central; Bobby Martin, senior, Allen Park.

Forwards: Justin Jensen, senior, Trenton; John Hazzard, senior, Trenton; Mark DeSana, senior, Trenton; Brad Dionne, senior, Marquette; Roy Galar, senior, Livonia Churchill; Jon Bernie, senior, Clawson; Brett Johnson, senior, Redford Catholic Central; Jared Ross, senior, Redford Catholic Central; Mark Patis, senior, Brighton; Steve Nagy, senior, Ann Arbor Pioneer; Frank DiCristofano, junior, Allen Park.

HONORABLE MENTION

Goalies: Matt Krug, Adam Jakubowski, Aaron Jakubowski, Ryan McDoom; Steve Sosa, Bobby English, Josh Letzeman, Dave Bonello, Mark Blazek; CC: Andrew McCoy; Redford Unified: Cody Barlett, Brad Johnson, Kevin Moore.

DIVISION II

Goalies: Chris Garbutt, senior, Livonia Franklin; Colin Chase, sophomore, Grosse Pointe North; Chris Epper, senior, Birmingham Brother Rice.

Defensemen: Brad Napierkowski, senior, Warren DeLaSalle; Ben Poucher, senior, Portage Northern; Ryan Buckley, senior, Muskegon Mona Shores; Todd Loranget, senior, Grosse Pointe South; Trevor Malon, sophomore, Grosse Pointe North; Jarek Genco, senior, Ionia.

Forwards: John Cahill, senior, Livonia Franklin; Ryan Anderson, senior, University of Detroit Jesuit; Brandon Shurtz, senior, Warren DeLaSalle; Jason Day, senior, Redemptorist; Bryan Wilkinson, senior, Portage Northern; Regal Hawley, Muskegon Mona Shores; Craig Keane, Muskegon Mona Shores; Dan Socie, senior, Grosse Pointe North; H.J. Richardson, senior, Grosse Pointe South; Alex Chapman, senior, Grosse Pointe North; Brad Zaveris, senior, Novi; Justin Kocis, senior, Birmingham Brother Rice.

HONORABLE MENTION

Franklin: Sam Diamuke, John Nichol; Jason Robinson, Grosse Pointe.

FARMINGTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS INVITATION TO BID

Farmington Public Schools will accept sealed bids for additions and remodeling to Farmington Community School and Farmington Training Center until 1:30 P.M., Monday, March 19, 2001 at the Lewis Schulman Administration Center, 32505 Shiloh, Farmington, MI 48336, addressed to Paul Han at which time they will be publicly opened and read for presentation to the Board of Education at their next regularly scheduled meeting. The Board will not consider or accept a bid received after the date and time specified.

The Board of Education reserves the right to accept or reject any or all bids or to waive any informalities therein; or for reasons of establishing uniformity, to award the contract to other than the low bidder. Fax proposals will not be accepted.

Bid documents will be available at the office of the Construction Manager, MCB/EV, 26001 W. Five Mile, Redford 48239, (313) 635-1140. Bidders are encouraged to attend a prebid meeting on Monday, March 12, 2001 at 3:00 P.M. at the Farmington Training Center, 33000 Thomas, Farmington 48336.

This project is to be bid at the prevailing wage rate.

Public: March 15 and 16, 2001

PUBLIC NOTICE CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS Community Development Block Grant Program Program year 2001/2002

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development has advised the City of Farmington Hills that it is entitled to receive \$428,000 for the 2001/2002 Community Development Block Grant Program Year. These funds have been authorized by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development under Title 1 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 and 1977, as amended. In addition, the Housing Rehabilitation Program will receive an estimated \$100,000 in program income from the Housing Rehabilitation activities. Notice is hereby given that on Monday, March 26, 2001, at 7:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, in the Council Chambers of the City Hall, 31855 Eleven Mile Road, City of Farmington Hills, Oakland County, Michigan, at which a public hearing will be held. The City of Farmington Hills will conduct a Public Hearing to discuss the Five Year Consolidated Plan and the proposed use of Community Development Block Grant Funds (Action Plan One Year Use of Funds).

The purpose of the Consolidated Plan is to moderate the Housing and Community Development needs of low- and moderate-income persons in Farmington Hills. The plan will then be used to guide decision making in the distribution of federal grant funds received by the City. Included in the plan will be a Five Year Strategic Plan and the proposed use of the City's annual community development Block Grant Funds.

In summary, the Five Year Strategic Plan has identified the following priority of housing needs:

1. Housing Rehabilitation - to maintain existing housing stock
2. Affordable Senior Citizens housing
3. Rental subsidy programs
4. First-time home buyer programs

The proposed statement of Community Development Objectives and Projected Use of Funds for the 2001/2002 CDBG Program year is as follows:

PROPOSED STATEMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM 2001/2002

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Activity | Amount |
| Housing Rehabilitation | \$200,000 |
| Capital Improvements | 245,000 |
| Administration | 20,000 |
| Total | \$465,000 |
| *Includes program income (\$100,000) | |

Capital improvement projects recommended for consideration include:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Stockton Drain Improvements (Section 26) | \$65,000 |
| Alcocky Drain Improvements (Section 11) | 100,000 |
| Eight Mile P.O.W. Improvements (Section 35 and 36) | 30,000 |
| Inkster sidewalks (Section 36) | \$0,000 |
| Total | \$295,000 |

Please note that only a portion of these projects could be completed due to the funding levels. It is recommended, however, that an opportunity for public discussion should be given on these projects, and other possible projects.

All projects proposed with the use of the 2001/2002 CDBG funds, as noted above, will benefit low and moderate income areas or persons defined in the 1990 U.S. Census.

All CDBG activities have been designed to avoid the displacement of persons. In the event displacement becomes necessary, the city will assist displaced persons in accordance with the Federal Uniform Relocation Act.

The 2000/2001 CDBG Program, the Final Statement of Community Development Objectives and Projected Use of Funds for 2000/2001 Program Year, included the above distribution of funds. It is anticipated that on June 30, 2001 the end of the Program Year, the status of the projects will be as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| HOUSING REHABILITATION: ONGOING | (Approximately \$225,000 will be expended for rehabilitation activities.) |
| CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS: | Spring Valley Storm Drain - Under Construction (Cost: \$100,000) |
| | North Storm Drain - Completed (Estimated cost: \$65,000) |
| | Eight Mile Road, Improvements Phase I - Under Construction (Estimated Cost: \$50,000) |
| | North Ravine Storm Drain - Under Construction (Estimated Cost: \$80,000) |
| | San Bonet Storm Drain - Cancelled (Estimated Cost: \$50,000) |
| | Inkster Road Sidewalk in Design (Estimated Cost: \$100,000) |
| ADMINISTRATION: | 100% EXPENDED (\$20,000) |

Also, no persons will be displaced by the above activities.

The public is invited to comment on the Consolidated Plan, the proposed use of Block Grant funds and the City's participation in the Community Development Block Grant Program. If representatives of your organization are hearing-impaired or non-English speaking, please contact the Community Development Office, or proper arrangements can be made. Further information regarding the previous and proposed CDBG Program may be obtained at the City of Farmington Hills, Community Development Office, 31855 West Eleven Mile Road or by calling (248) 478-9641. For the hearing impaired call the Farmington Hills TDD number at (248) 478-9663.

Public: March 15, 2001

State champs from page D1

son, so I wasn't concerned," St. John said, referring to his team's five deficit. "In fact, because we were behind, I think it made our players push a little harder."

Northern had a golden opportunity to double its lead four minutes later when CC's Bryan Genrich was sent to the penalty box for holding. However, it was the Shamrocks who cashed in when senior forward Jared Ross controlled a loose puck at his own blue line and

skated the length of the ice before depositing a back-handed razer Huskie goalie Steve Robbins' outstretched glove for a short-handed, game-tying goal.

Officially, there was no assist awarded on the play, but Ross handed an unofficial helper out to teammate Brett John, who skated stride for stride with Ross on the wing and steered the attention of the lone Northern defenseman away from the puck-handler.

"Their only guy back went to cover Brett right away," Ross recalled. "When I saw that, I decided to keep it myself. Brett didn't get an assist, but he deserved one."

Ross sent Northern a defensive message with seven seconds left in the period when he sandwiched Huskie forward Jason Gray into the boards, sending the hundreds of Catholic Central supporters into a frenzy.

CC, which outshot Northern 10-7 in the opening 15 minutes, turned up the heat in the second period, peppering Robbins with 16 shots, while allowing three.

A John writer in front of the net at the 9:43 mark was the only shot to find the net, but it put the Shamrocks up for good, 2-1. Ross and Brandon Nauwoks picked up assists on the game-winner.

Four minutes before John's goal, it appeared the Shamrocks had taken the lead, however, senior defenseman Ryan Leseski's goal was disallowed when the officials ruled that a crease-violation-induced whistle was blown seconds before his slapshot found the mark.

"Between the second and third periods, coach (St. John)

told us to use more stop-and-start moves and to pepper their goalie with more shots," Ross said. "He was making a lot of great saves, but we knew if we kept firing, he couldn't stop everything."

CC padded its lead — and its place in Michigan high school hockey history — when a one-timer off Leseski's stick found the center of Robbins' five hole with just over 11 minutes to play. Senior captain Jim Spiewak and Mike Ratigan assisted on the goal.

Northern made it interesting less than a minute later when Dennis Newberry beat McCoy with a high shot to make it 3-2. However, that's as close as the outmanned Huskies would come.

"I was never really gets old," Spiewak confirmed moments after his final high school hockey game. "This one was probably a little more special than the first two because we went undefeated. This was a great group of guys to play with."

The Shamrocks outshot the Huskies 31-16.

CC's penalty-killing unit turned in a stellar effort, blanking the Huskies on all three of their power-play opportunities.

CITY OF FARMINGTON HILLS OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN NOTICE TO CUT WEEDS

It shall be the duty of all owners within an area of 100 feet or less from a platted subdivision, or a single family residential condominium; or within 100 feet of any major road, to keep noxious vegetation or long grass growth to a maximum height of no more than eight (8) inches above ground level or a level which prohibits a flower bearing state, whichever level is less. Noxious vegetation and lawn grass growth not appropriately cut by June 1, 2001, and thereafter for the remainder of the year may be cut by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and costs of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in Article II Section 17-29 of the Farmington Hills City Code. This may be done as many times as is necessary during the growing season. In addition to the above cost assessed pursuant to Article II Sections 17-29 through 17-31 for each cutting of noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth by the City or its agents, any owner who has refused or otherwise failed to cut noxious vegetation or lawn grass growth as provided for by the City and the owner will be charged with the expense and cost of each cut as provided in