# The Farmington Enterprise

FORTY-FIFTH YEAR-No. 4

THE FARMINGTON ENTERPRISE, FARMINGTON, MICHIGAN THURSDAY SEPTEMBER 21, 1938.

Бс a copy; \$1.50 a Year.

### Urges Tax Strike If State Board **Boosts Values**

Township Official Favors Fight Against Wiping Out Of

Against Wiping Out Of Cuts

A most vigorous battle, and if necessary threats of a movement for a 100 per cent taxpayers strike against rumored increases in the against rumored increases in the control of the control

that instance.

Protested Before

When the State Tax Commission visited Farmington Township and City last, crowds filled the Town Hall throughout the sessions, vehemently, protesting rainst unreasonably high valugans. Similar objections were caused everywhere, and in some places the situation came close to actual disturbance.

Justice Walter Headerle com-

Justice Walter Headerle commented on an instance in which homes are being offered for sale for one fourth of the valuation on them now. Mr. Eagle pointed out that if valuations are increased, it will bring tax-collections near to the zero point, since it will add to the burden of those remaining property-owners who still are able to pay their taxes. He declared he would favor, and would consider justified a vigorous expression on the part of taxpayers to the effect that they would positively not pay any taxes whatever if the State Commission makes an increase in assessments: increase in assessments.

The dates on which the Tax Commission will be in Farmington have not been announced, but it is expected to be soon.

## FIRST ACTIVITY TAKES PLACE AT

While thousands of war veterans were storming registration headquarters in Detroit Monday morning for jobs promised at Ford Motor Co. Farmington had its own rush for employment. A hundred men gathered in front of the old power house at Farmington Junction, where the new winery is to be established. Only a few were from this community. Farmington men obtained their first work Tuesday when architects for the new firm came out to investigate the foundation of the building. Several men received a few hours' work each, excavating for the architects requirements.

Ernest Clark, director of the

ments.

Ernest Clark, director of the company, has not yet come to Farmington, but is expected short-

In addition to those seeking work a number of grape growers have been in town to offer their product, it is probable now that the company will take the grapes from vine to storage, it being reported that the delays will make it impossible to get the equipment in time to avoid storic, for a short period at least.

# O. ப். S. Is Sonsoring Card Party Tuesday

O. E. S. will have a card party in the Masonic hall, Tuesday, September 26 at 8 p. m. Progressive bridge and pedro will be played. Prizes and refreshments are planned.

The public is invited to attend Mrs. T. H. McGee and Mrs. Reed Webster will be hostesses.

#### Bill Of Complaint Filed In Peoples Bank-Blanchard Case

Few court actions in this section in recent years have stirred as much interest as the suit instituted by John M. Daley, Receiver of Peoples State Bank of Farmington, against Ernest V. Blanchard, former chairman of the board of directors of the Bank, and Evar P. Hedberg, Gusta Hedberg. Volney Blanchard, Yera L. Blanchard and Genevieve Forsythe. In view of the widespread interest manifested in the case The Enterprise prints herewith in full the bill of complaint filled on behalf of the Receiver by A. Floyd Blakeslee, attorney; the answer of the defendants appears in adjacent columns.

That he is Receiver of the Peoples State Bank of Farmington, a Michigan Corporation, duly appointed by the Circuit Court for the County of Oakland, in Chancery, and is now in the performance of

That the Peoples State Bank of Farmington by its directors, on the 25th day of June, A. D. 1931, caused the ninety (90) day clause-so-called, to become effective as to savings deposits and on the same date the officers of the bank were authorized to close the doors of the bank; in the event it became necessary to preserve the assets of the bank; that said defendant, by its directors, on the 2nd day of February, A. D. 1932, suspended payments on all deposits of ninety (90) days and that thereafter, on the 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, a custodian was appointed by this court, by virtue of Act No. 8 of the Public Acts for the year 1932- which custodian acted in his official capacity until a permanent receiver was appointed on the 18th day of August, A. D. 1932.

18th day of August, A. D. 1982.

III

That defendant, Ernest V. Blanchard, was a director of said bank and chairman of the Board thereof at the time the bank closed its doors and for a long time continuously prior thereto; that on the 25th day of June. A. D. 1931 the directors of said bank knew that shid bank was in an insolvent condition and that every effort must be made to liquidate every possible indebtedness to the bank to increase the percentage of liquidity.

The second of the second control of

That on the 17th day of January, A. D. 1931, defendant, Ernest Blanchard, Charles H. Ely and Addie M. Ely, in order to secure he payment of a loan of Three Thousand Nine Hundred (\$8.900.00) tollars, executed a note and mortgage to property in the City etroit, County of Wayne and State of Michigan described as: Lot Number Seven Hundred Sixty-one (761) of the East Lot Number Seven Hundred Sixty-one (761) of the East Detroit Development Company's Subdivision of P. C. 370, lying North of Mack Avenue, according to the plat thread recorded in Liber 36 of Plats, pages 19 and 20, Wayne County Register's Office, better known as house number 3950 Buckingham Road, which said mortgage was recorded in the office of the Wayne County Register of Deeds on February 4, A. D. 1931 Liber 2567 of Mortgages, page 248; that said property subsequeny and on or about the 11th day of September, A. D. 1931 became dwas the sole property of defendant, Ernest V. Blanchard.

That the defendant, Ernest V. Blanchard.

V Blanchard as director and as an officer of said bank, had a duty to perform toward said bank and that duty was to help increase its percentage of liquidity and to in every way preserve the institution for the depositors and stockholders, but that the said defendant, Ernest V. Blanchard. instead of performing his duty toward said banking institution, either directly or indirectly withdrew large sums of money, as hereinafter set forth.

That on the 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, one Evar P. Hedberg was the owner of savings account No. 1, in the amount of Five Hundred five dollars and seventy-nine cents (\$505.79) in said bank; that said Evar P. Hedberg, who is also made a defendant herein, was and is a farm hand employed by the defendant Ernest V. Blanchard.

peted to be soon.

That Gusta Hedberg, who is also made a defendant herein, was a housekeeper working for the defendant. Ernest V. Blanchard, and on the 11th day of September, A. D. 1931 owned savings account No. 55 in said bank, in the amount of Seven Hundred Seventy-nine Dollars and Fifty-eight Cents (\$779.58).

VIII

That Ernest V. Blanchard, defendant herein, on September 11;
A. D. 1931, was the owner of savings account No. 497, in said bank, in the amount of Two Thousand Three Hundred Forty-five Dollars and Ninety Cents (28:245:50) and also owned a certificate of deposit No. 3813 issued by said Peoples State Bank of Farmington in the sum of Eight Hundred Fifty-four Dollars and Fifty-five Cents (8854.55) with interest due on the same in the sum of Twenty-five Dollars and Sixty-five Cents (825.55).

(3804.55) with interest due on the same in the sum of Twenty-five Dollars and Sixty-five Cents (\$25.65).

That on September 11, A. D. 1931, the defendant, Ernest V. Blanchard, knowing the insolvent condition of said bank and as a part of the scheme on his part to reduce his deposit in the said bank, and say well as that of other friends, withdrew from his savings account above mentioned the sum of One Thousand Eight Hundred Fortysis to Dollars and Forty Cents (\$1,846.40), wrote checks on the account of Eventy-Hedderg in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars and against the account of Gusta Hedderg in the sum of Seven Hundred Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars, which, with the certificate of deposits above mentioned, made a total of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy-six Dollars and Sixty Cents (\$3,976.60) being the ballance due for interest and principal on said mortgage and thereby traudently obtained a discharge of said mortgage and thereby traudently obtained a discharge of said mortgage and thereby reference of one creditor of said bank over another.

That the said defendant, Ernest V. Blanchard, having obtained his creditors and particularly the Peoples State Bank of Farming Con, conceyed said premises on June 1, A. D. 1933 to his father, Voley on the particularly state Peoples State Bank of Farming Con, conceyed said premises on June 1, A. D. 1933 to his father, Voley Bankhard, his sister, Vera L. Blanchard, his sister, Genevieve Persythe, his housekeeper, Gusta Hedberg and farm hand Ever P. Hedberg, all of which parties are hereby made defendants. Herebo which warranty deed was recorded July 28, A. D. 1933 in the office of the Register of Deeds of Wayne County in Liber 3,998 of Deeds on Page 239.

Zi Plaintiff further shows that on November 9 A. D. 2001.

Plaintiff further shows that onNovember 9, A. D. 1981 the defendant Ernest V. Blanchard was indebted to said bank of his promissory note in the sum offTwo Thousand Thirteen Dollars and Thirty-sight Cents (\$2,013.8) and that defendant, Volney Blanchard, was the owner of a savingsdeposit in said bank being No. 49 (Continued on page seven)

Answer Filed By Defendants In Blanchard Case

Few court actions in this section in recent years have stirred as much interest as the suit instituted by John N. Daley, Receiver of copies State Bank of Farmington, against Ernest V. Blanchard, or the case of John N. Daley, Receiver of Peoples State Bank of Farmington, against Ernest V. Blanchard or the case of John N. Daley, Receiver of Peoples State Bank of Farmington against Ernest V. Blanchard and eneviewe Forsythe. In view of the widespread interest annifested the case of John N. Daley, Polymer Blanchard, Vera L. Blanchard and eneviewe Forsythe. In view of the widespread interest annifested in the case of John N. Daley, Polymer Blanchard, Vera L. Blanchard and eneviewe Forsythe. In view of the widespread interest annifested in the case of John N. Doley, Polymer Blanchard, and eneviewe Forsythe. In view of the widespread interest annifested or attempt to obtain a preference over other creditors of the bank, or fits creditors, or that there were any actification. It is that he is Receiver of the Peoples State Bank of Farmington, a length of the State Bank of F

Replying in detail to charges made, the answer of defendants of in the case of John M. Daley, Receiver of Peoples State Bank of the State State State of the State State of the State Sta

and the same but leave plaintiff to his proofs of each and every allegation in said paragraph contained.

VI

Evar P. Hedberg, one of the defendants in answer to the allegation in Paragraph Six, admits that he had a savings account in the stand hank. Further answering, this defendant, says that he is not a farm hand and he is not employed by the defendant, Ernest V. Islanchard, but that he is an electrician and that he has at times performed other work of various kinds when he has not been able to both work of various kinds when he has not been able to both work as an electrician.

Answering Paragraph Seven, Gusta Hedberg, named as a defendant, Answering Paragraph Seven, Gusta Hedberg, named as a defendant, and his father, Volney Blanchard for a number of years, and admits she owned a savings account in said bank; and admits she owned a savings account in said bank; and successful to the said paragraph seven, which is the same of Sed-36.90, and there was a certificate of deposits to the said paragraph seven, and in fact the moneys represented by the said certificate were the moneys of Genevieve Forsythe, my slister.

Answering Paragraph Nine, this defendant Ernest V. Blanchard, admits he was the owner of a savings account No. 1497 in said bank in the amount of \$2,246.90, and there was a certificate of deposits the said said fact the moneys represented by the said certificate were the moneys of Genevieve Forsythe, my slister.

Answering Paragraph Nine, this defendant Ernest V. Blanchard, denies that the said moneys as set forth in Paragraph Nine were withdrawn in the manner, form, and sense as alleged in said paragraph. Further answering said paragraph, this defendant denies that he said moneys as set forth in Paragraph Nine were withdrawn in the manner, form, and sense as alleged in said paragraph. Further answering said paragraph, this defendant denies that he farudently obtained the discharge of any morthistic that the said moneys as set forth in Paragraph with the paragraph of the properties of the paragraph

Officials Ponder On Problem Of Thefts By Boys

dmissions Of 17 Lads Uncover Long Record Of Stealing