



"Doc! He says, if it's all the same to you, could he have an aspirin tablet?"

## WEEKLY CROSSWORD PUZZLE

**Here's the Answer**

**City Planner**

**HORIZONTAL**

13 Pictured  
14 French city  
15 Dwell  
16 Rescue  
17 Operative solo  
18 Cut  
19 Indian  
20 Indian  
21 Takes away  
22 Greek letter  
23 Pronoun  
24 Exits  
25 We  
26 Bone  
27 Amphibians  
31 Map  
33 Falsehood  
34 Indistinct  
35 Tint  
37 Pitchers  
40 Height (ab.)  
41 Mountain (ab.)  
42 Steamship (ab.)  
43 Near  
44 Eaters (ab.)  
46 Fence steps  
51 Unit  
52 Merit  
54 Mouthward  
55 Incite  
56 Sulfur  
58 Tangled  
60 Birds of prey  
61 Sorrow

**VERTICAL**

1 Monk  
2 Yellowish-red dye  
3 Small bay  
4 Quebec (ab.)  
5 Not (prefix)  
6 Habitat plant form  
7 Dry  
8 Metric unit  
9 Ament  
10 Warm  
11 Climbing  
12 Reviser  
13 Meal  
18 18d est (ab.)  
19 States  
22 He has won much  
25 Dialect  
27 Displays  
30 Every one  
32 Intimate  
33 Dairy food  
34 The capital of Canada  
35 Nothing  
36 Vase  
37 Compass point  
38 Roams  
39 Horses  
45 Rough rock  
47 Throw (symbol)  
48 Scottish girl  
49 Girl's name  
51 Shield bearing  
53 Nothing  
54 Vase  
57 Compass point  
58 Roams  
59 Horses  
60 Rough rock

**HAVE MORE TIME FOR FAMILY AND HOME!**

Don't let wash-day drudgery rob your family of your companionship, which means so much more to them than the pennies-per-day it costs to have our speedy, efficient laundry service.

**MASTER SERVICE Laundry**

27565 Grand River  
1 BLOCK EAST OF 8 MILE  
Phone 1100.

**DRY CLEANING**

We Do All Our Own Work In Our Modern Plant



## LEGAL NOTICES

**CHASE, GOODENOUGH & HUSSEY**  
Attorneys, 1101 Fennell Bldg., Detroit  
IN THE PROBATE COURT  
FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

In the matter of the estate of Leman W. Goodenough, Deceased.  
At a session of said Court, held at the Court House in the City of Pontiac, in said County, on the 9th day of April, A. D. 1953.

Present: HON. ARTHUR E. MOORE, Judge of Probate.

Daniel W. Goodenough, Trustee of said estate having filed in said Court his Will Account covering service from January 1, 1952, to December 31, 1952, inclusive, and petition praying for the examination and allowance thereof.

It is ordered, that the 11th day of May, A. D. 1953, at nine o'clock in the forenoon, at said Probate Court in the Court House in the City of Pontiac, Michigan, be and is hereby appointed as the time and place for hearing said petition.

It is further ordered, that public notice thereof be given by publication of a copy of this order, once each week for three weeks, in the Farmington Enterprise, a newspaper printed and circulated in said County, and that notice be given to all interested parties, whose addresses are known by personal service, or by registered mail with return receipt demanded addressed to their respective last known addresses.

ARTHUR E. MOORE,  
Judge of Probate,  
April 9 - 10 - 11

**PAUL A. PARE, Attorney**  
Ministerial Bldg., Farmington, Mich.  
STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE PROBATE COURT  
FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

In the matter of the estate of Harry H. Winter, also known as Harry H. Winter, Deceased.

At a session of said Court, held at the Probate Court in the City of Pontiac, in said County, on the 23rd day of March, A. D. 1953.

Present: HON. ARTHUR E. MOORE, Judge of Probate.

ON APPOINTING TIME  
OR HEARING CLAIMS

Notices hereby given and it is hereby ordered that the time and place for hearing claims against the above estate shall be the 1st day of June, 1953, at nine o'clock in the forenoon at the Probate Court in the Court House in the City of Pontiac, Michigan.

Creditors or claimants against the above estate are further notified and ordered to prove their claims at said time and place, and to file written claims with the clerk of said Court, with the fiduciary of this estate, under oath, containing sufficient facts to enable the court to inform the fiduciary of the nature and amount of the claim.

ARTHUR E. MOORE,  
Judge of Probate,  
April 2 - 16

**WILSON R. SEVERANCE, Attorney**  
National Bldg., Farmington, Mich.  
STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE PROBATE COURT  
FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

At a session of said Court, held at the Probate Office, in the City of Pontiac, in said County, on the 23rd day of March, 1953.

Present: HON. ARTHUR E. MOORE, Judge of Probate.

In the matter of the estate of Suzanne (Anna) Tienken, Deceased.

Extra Copies. Administratrix with Will annexed, of said estate having filed in said Court a petition, praying for license to sell at private sale the interest of said estate in certain real estate therein described.

It is ordered, that the 11th day of May, 1953, at nine o'clock in the forenoon, at said Probate Office, be and is hereby appointed for hearing said petition, and that all persons interested in said estate appear before said Court, at said time and place, to show cause why a license to sell the interest of said estate in said real estate should not be granted.

It is further ordered, that public notice thereof be given by publication of a copy of this order, once each week for three weeks consecutively, previous to said day of hearing, in the Farmington Enterprise, a newspaper printed and circulated in said County.

ARTHUR E. MOORE,  
Judge of Probate,  
April 2 - 16

**FLOYD H. NICHOLS**  
2216 Oakland Rd., Farmington, Mich.  
STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE PROBATE COURT  
FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

At a session of said Court, held at the Probate Office in the City of Pontiac, in said County, on the 3rd day of April, A. D. 1953.

Present: HON. ARTHUR E. MOORE, Judge of Probate.

In the matter of the estate of Grace P. Nichols, Deceased.

Floyd H. Nichols having filed a petition praying that an instrument filed in said Court be admitted to Probate as the last will and testament of said deceased, and that administration of said estate be granted to petitioner, the executor named in said will, or some other suitable named.

It is ordered, that the 11th day of May, A. D. 1953, at nine o'clock in the forenoon, at said Probate Office, be and is hereby appointed for hearing said petition.

It is further ordered, that public notice thereof be given by publication of a copy thereof, once each week for three consecutive weeks, previous to said day of hearing, in the Farmington Enterprise, a newspaper printed and circulated in said County, and that notice be given to all interested parties, whose addresses are known by personal service, or by registered mail with return receipt demanded, addressed to their last known address, at least ten days prior to said day of hearing.

ARTHUR E. MOORE,  
Judge of Probate,  
April 9 - 10 - 11

**John Clappison Sells Insurance**

## THE MICHIGAN MIRROR

By GENE ALLEMAN

(Continued from Page Two)

The state would then possess clear title to 38,450 square miles underlying the Great Lakes, more than any other state from an area standpoint. There is general belief that beneath the Great Lakes lie rich mineral deposits of the same general nature as oil, gas, salt and brine already tapped from adjoining land. The areas were not developed previously because of legal questions about title, but should the bill, which clearly makes the land state owned, becomes law, the way would be cleared for the state to grant rights and collect royalties from commercially backed ventures. Although the Great Lakes area can be important to Michigan and other bordering states, it is only a side issue when compared to the money involved from oil pumped from the oceans off southern state coast lines.

Tourists along highway route US 12 may notice new signs denoting "Red Arrow Memorial Highway." These signs are placed at nine points along the road's course from Detroit to the Indiana state line near New Buffalo. They indicate that the highway is dedicated to the dead of Michigan's famous 32nd army division, also known as the Red Arrow division. This army unit consisted mainly of Michigan men and earned glory in both World Wars. The dedication was ordered by Road Commissioner Charles M. Ziegler following the request of the Red Arrow Memorial committee.

Another highway will soon be posted with memorial signs. It is US 112, which also runs from Detroit to the Indiana line near New Buffalo (but south of US 12). This road was named in honor of General Casimir Pulaski, a Polish hero of the American Revolution. The state legislature voted to mark this dedication and the governor signed the bill into law last week.

These two additions bring to 17 the number of memorial highways in Michigan. Others include: US 16 in honor of Arthur Vandenberg; M 95, for Left Erickson; part of US 223 for Vili Carleton; part of US 131 for Philip T. Colgrove; M 53 for Howard S. Earle; M 43 for Frank D. Fitzgerald; part of M 28 for Frank Foster Rogers; US 131 for James Whitcomb; US 28 for Frank P. Fitzgerald; part of M 24 for Teddy Roosevelt.

parts of US 23 and US 2 for United States War Veterans; US 83 for World War II veterans and M 73 in honor of World War I heroes.

While many a trout fisherman shies up his equipment for opening day, May 1, other outdoor people are concerned with the question of deer population. The

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.

problem is too many deer in the lower part of the state; too few in the U. P. Suggestions of cap-

turing deer in the southern peninsula for release is not greeted enthusiastically.