Abuse Report Completed

Continued from Page 1A

and compensation shall be granted to those teachers who will be actively engaged in programs relating to substance abuse.

• • •

THE FARMINGTON public schools shall provide parent education in the area of substance abuse. These programs may be provided in these ways:

A. In conjunction with the substance abuse coordinator, each building principal shall be responsible for providing yearly parent education programs relating to substance abuse to those interested persons within their school community.

B. In conjunction with the coordinator, each building principal shall be responsible for providing the parents with the opportunity to peruse and analyze the materials used in relation to the substance abuse programs in their school.

C. Farmington public schools shall provide a substance abuse course in conjunction with the adult education program.

• • •

THERE ARE also three recommendations in the guidelines for the secondary program:

1. The secondary program in substance abuse shall be instituted and divided into two sections. The first section, seventh and eighth grades, shall continue a unit type approach of substance abuse. The second, grades nine through 12, shall be composed primarily of seminars for students, parents and faculty:

A. Substance abuse topics – alcohol, tobacco and drugs, shall be given critical emphasis within the seventh grade health program.

B. Substance abuse topics – alcohol, tobacco and drugs, shall be further emphasized within the eighth grade science programs.

C. Each secondary school shall provide a minimal student program of two seminar experiences per year for grades nine through 12.

2. Farmington schools shall provide in-service training in the area of substance abuse for all secondary teachers. This training may be provided in these areas:

B. In conjunction with the coordinator, building principals shall be responsible for providing in-service training workshops in the area of substance abuse (drugs, tobacco, alcohol)

A. A substance abuse coordinator shall be appointed and be responsible to the superintendent for implementing all phases of the program.

C. Appropriate release time and compensation shall be provided to those teachers who will be actively engaged in programs relating to substance abuse.

3. Farmington schools shall provide parent education in the area of substance abuse.

A. In conjunction with the coordinator, each principal shall be responsible for providing yearly parent education programs relating to substance abuse.

B. In conjunction with the coordinator, each principal shall be responsible for providing parents with the opportunity to peruse and analyze the materials used in the substance abuse programs in their school.

• • •

THE JOB OF substance abuse coordinator would be innovative in Farmington schools, and any other school district. As the task force sees it, the job would call for tight coordination between the coordinator and the school principal.

TV Sex Ed Series Slated

FARMINGTON

"Sons and Daughters," a series of programs directed toward an understanding of human sexuality, will be presented on Channel 56 for six consecutive Wednesdays at 7:30 p.m.

"What Life is All About" is the first presentation scheduled for April 22. It deals with the generation gap – is it myth or reality?

With a mixture of role playing, music, dance, and just plain argument, sex is put in its place by the conclusion that it is an important part of life, but not what it is all about.

• • •

"MIRACLE," session two on April 29, is concerned with the birth of man, the biology of life mingled with reverence for its miraculous quality.

"Who do You Think You Are?" on May 6, asks questions that young Americans ought to be asking themselves such as: What is the role of the teenager in today's society? **What is the place of sex on a date? What are the bases for a sound boy-girl relationship? How important is popularity?**

Things that matter most in life are sometimes the most fragile. This forms the thesis of "The Tightrope" on May 13. It explores relationships of parent to child, boy to girl, man to woman. Several unwed mothers-to-be consented to be interviewed and express their views of their predicament.

• • •

ON THE FINAL hour, the first half hour will suggest some guidelines for the young. A gynecologist, a minister and a behavioral scientist will serve as discussion leaders addressing themselves to the topic "The Decision is Yours."

During the second half, on location interviews with key people in Michigan will be combined with studio discussion, summations and next-step [illegible]

As a series, these programs will bring into focus the sex education question as it stands now, at this time, in this state, and in the Farmington area.

Pupils Write

FARMINGTON

Young writers at Shiawassee School whose work was selected for the annual Young Authors' Fair held April 16, included Donna Gilewski, and Bobby Childress, grade one; John Miller and Steve Wiese, grade two; Michael Jurmu, grade three; Jim Vassallo, grade four; Terry Zuhorski, grade five; and Janice Landon, grade six.

Need Workers

FARMINGTON

The City of Farmington needs election workers for the August primary. Interested city residents should contact Mrs. Elizabeth Brines, city clerk, at the city hall. The telephone number is 474-5900.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS

A regular meeting of the Farmington City Council was held on April 6, 1970

Meeting called to order at 8 p.m. by Mayor Brotherton

ROLL CALL Allen, Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert, Yoder present.
Absent: None

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT City Manager Dinan, City Clerk Brines, Attorney Kelly and Chief Deadman

CM-4-107-70 **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

Motion by Seibert supported by Richardson to approve minutes of regular meeting of March 16, 1970 with the following amendments

"DECISION REGARDING LOTS 14 ASSESSOR'S PLAT NO. 1 FROM R 1 SINGLE FAMILY DISTRICT TO R 2 MULTI-FAMILY DISTRICT

Motion by Allen supported by Richardson to deny the request to rezone Lots 14 Assessor's Plat No. 1 from R 1 Single Family District to R 3 Multi Family District. Motion carried, all ayes."

Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-108-70 **DECISION ON ADOPTION OF PROPOSED CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT ORDINANCE**

City Manager Dinan told the Council that the Planning Commission had an opportunity to review the revised Central Business District Ordinance and had made the following recommendations:

Under Section 5.32 Paragraph E B. Residential Dwelling or Use

Delete Paragraph B Section 5.52 The wording "Over 20 ft in length" should be deleted

Under Schedule B Paragraph 4. The wording "Population Density of Residential" should be deleted

Motion by Yoder supported by Allen to adopt and enact the Central Business District Ordinance as amended, to wit

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO C-276-70

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 30 OF THE FARMINGTON, CITY OF FARMINGTON ZONING ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT

ARTICLE 7 SCHEDULE OF REGULATIONS (Schedule A) is amended by adding the following

THE CITY OF FARMINGTON ORDAINS

Section 5.31 DISTRICT AND INTENT

(B) CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT

The regulations of this district are intended to encourage, protect and regulate Central Business District of the City of Farmington generally located in the environs of the intersection of Grand River and Farmington Road and as more specifically delineated by the Zoning Map of the ordinance. The Central Business District is intended to be characterized by a concentration of retail stores and services usually associated with the downtown or Central Business District of a community. As differentiated from suburban commercial development, this district is intended to facilitate the close proximity of stores one to another, and to exclude commercial and other uses and operations that tend to be detrimental to the development of a concentrated shopping area because of land area requirements, noise, appearance and other characteristics. Such excluded uses can either operate or operate successfully in commercial districts. There is a limited amount of land area suitable for Central Business District development, uses that are better served by other districts, for example, industrial, residential, warehousing and storage uses are excluded from this district. Because of the unique problems of the Central Business District related to fire protection, traffic control, off-street parking, pedestrian safety in vehicular highway conflicts, and the necessity of grouping retail stores among themselves as a result of this district having developed as a shopping area prior to the conception of highway oriented shopping centers, the area, height, bulk and placement of any building, structure or sign hereafter constructed, reconstructed or enlarged, or the layout of any use of land hereafter established in the Central Business District shall be subject to site plan approval by the City Planning Commission within the limitations of and based on the criteria provided for in ARTICLE 7, Schedules A and B, hereunder

Section 5.52 **PERMITTED PRINCIPAL USES**

1) Medical and dental clinics, photographic studios with only incidental photographic processing facilities, and offices from the following occupations: executive, administrative, scientific, scholarly, artistic, architectural, engineering, accounting, secretarial services, drafting, designing, sales representatives without sales of goods on premises, electronic data processing center, indoor swimming club, health club, social club and other similar community and social uses, Municipal uses, State or Federal uses, Post Office, Public Library, Public Museum, and other occupations highly similar in physical characteristics or activities. There shall be no outdoor storage of goods or materials and there shall be no warehousing or the indoor storage of goods or material beyond that normally incidental to the permitted use

2) Food stores, bakery and candy shops, drug store, book store, florist, liquor store, candy store, radio and or television sales and or service store, restaurant, tailor, laundry or dry-cleaning plants provided that such plants are developed and operated only for the servicing of the retail trade at the location in which such plants exist, automatic or so called self service laundry and dry-cleaning establishments provided that no such use be permitted in a building near business that no use be permitted without the presence of an attendant on duty at all times during business hours, shoe repair shop, department store, hotel without rooming accommodations on the ground floor, bank and similar financial institutions, theater, apparel store, shoe store, hardware store, home furnishings and equipment store, camera store, travel agency, stockbroker, insurance agency, liquor by the glass where total gross sales of food exceeds 50% of total sales, and other commercial and service establishments designed, intended, and operated for the merchandising of goods and services similar to the aforementioned except as otherwise prohibited hereunder.

3) The following uses are prohibited

a) Any establishment, process, use, or operation that requires the parking, placement, garaging, or servicing of the customer's motor vehicle on the premises, such as but not limited to automotive sales (new and used) muffler sales, tire sales, transmission service, bump shop, automobile or truck rental, motorcycle services, rental or sales, automobile service station, garage, car wash, and similar uses

b) The sales, rental, servicing, display, or storage of mobile homes, trailers, travel trailers, camper trailers, recreation vehicles, or boats.

c) Any establishment, operation, or use involving the sale of ready-to-eat food, that is, not consumed within the building, such as drive-in eating places or restaurants that substantially have the physical and operational characteristics of drive-in restaurants even though the customer is not served while in his vehicle. Provided, however, that where the carrying out of ready-to-eat food is an incidental part of the operation, such as by way of illustration, the carrying out of packaged ready-to-eat food from a food market, or a restaurant primarily characterized by the serving of food to the customer at the table, such incidental carryout operation is permitted as part of a permitted use, it being the intent of this paragraph to prohibit operations that characteristically generate considerable vehicular ingress and egress from the premises and in effect have traffic characteristics similar to those of drive-in restaurants.

d) Wholesale establishment, warehousing or storage establishments, industrial and manufacturing operations, truck terminal, bowling alleys, roller skating or ice skating rinks, lumberyard, animal hospital, miniature golf, trampoline, funeral home.

e) The outdoor sales, storage, display of servicing of any commodity such as, but not limited to, automobile, swimming pool, camper, trailer, boat, play equipment, boats, and snowmobiles, nursery stock and landscaping materials.

f) Residential dwellings or use

Section 5.53 **PERMITTED ACCESSORY USES**

1) Any use customarily incidental to a permitted principal use provided that the intent of the district is satisfied, except any use otherwise prohibited hereunder

Section 5.54 **USES REQUIRING SPECIAL EXCEPTION PERMIT**

1) Any use not specifically provided for in the Central Business District Zone and any site plan including front yard, rear yard or side yard setbacks, building heights and other conditions of construction not specifically prohibited in such zone shall be permitted on approval of the City Council after a finding that the use, site plan, setback or height would not be injurious to the surrounding neighborhoods and not contrary to the spirit and purpose of this ordinance.

ARTICLE 7 SCHEDULE OF REGULATIONS (SCHEDULE B) is amended by adding the following:

District - CBD Central Business District

Section 5.55 **Maximum Lot Coverage of Principal and Accessory Buildings** (g)

Section 5.56 - Section 5.57 - Section 5.58. **Required Setback in Feet:** Front (g) Side Yard Least One (g) Total of Two (f) (g)

Section 5.59 - Section 5.60 - Section 5.61. **Maximum Building Height In Feet** 35 - In Stories 3

Section 5.62 and Section 5.63. **Minimum Lot Size**

Area in Square Feet (g) Width in Feet (g)

See Note (g) below for Area, Height, bulk and Placement Regulations and Site Plan Approval Requirements of CBD Central Business District.

Notes

(g) Area, Height, Bulk, and Placement Regulations and Site Plan Approval Requirements for Permitted Principal and Accessory Buildings and Uses in CBD Central Business District are as follows:

Site plan approval application shall be filed in conformance with Article VI Planned Projects, Section 5.42, Filing of Application Regulations and shall contain the following:

1) The legal description of the property in question.

2) A certified survey map of the property.

3) A proposed tentative site plan showing the proposed location and land uses, and all the principal and accessory buildings, parking lots including pattern of traffic circulation, and designation of open land areas. The site plan shall include existing environs within three hundred (300) feet of proposed site showing the existing uses of the surrounding and adjacent properties.

4) On the site plan there shall be indicated a tentative schedule of usable floor areas, land areas, building height and setback, net land coverage, number of parking spaces, and such other information which is reasonably necessary for a clear picture of the proposed use and its characteristics in reference to zoning regulations.

5) A written statement describing the specific Special Exceptions which are desired, as well as the purposes for which the Special Exceptions are desired.

6) If deemed necessary by the City Planning Commission for an adequate review of the proposed development, the application shall include data on existing and proposed ground elevations, and a simple scale model of the proposed development.

There shall be a site plan application fee of $25.00.

The proposed site plan of every building or structure to be constructed, reconstructed, or enlarged in the Central Business District or the layout of any land use to be initiated in the Central Business District shall be approved by the City Planning Commission in regard to the area, height, bulk, and placement of such building structure, and exterior design. Such site plan shall be prepared at a scale of 1" per 20' or larger scale and shall clearly designate the boundaries of the land in question and shall show the proposed layout and uses of principal and accessory buildings and land, parking, pattern of traffic circulation, and designation of open land areas. The site plan shall designate all setbacks of buildings from property lines, the proposed height of buildings, a schedule of estimated floor areas by type of use, gross land area, land area to be covered by buildings, and designation at least in a general manner of all outdoor signs and the placement and method of storage of rubbish and garbage, screen walls, and screen landscaping. The site plan shall show the exterior design to assure harmonious relationship with adjoining developments.

All site plans and all setbacks, front, rear and side, as well as maximum building height, shall be considered with due regard for accessibility for fire and police protection, and absence of vehicular access for pedestrian loading and unloading, pedestrian safety in view of the higher concentration of pedestrians in this zone than in other zones, sanitation and the effect on adjoining properties.

The Planning Commission shall not require a front yard setback or a rear yard setback except where such setback is specified by the City Planning Commission as necessary to satisfy any of the above considerations. In each case, the specific basis for requiring a setback shall be set forth as a finding of fact by the Planning Commission.

The City Planning Commission shall not require a side yard setback if the side walls are wholly without windows, doors or other openings and are of fireproof construction and where a side yard setback is not otherwise necessary to satisfy any of the foregoing considerations and where the absence of a side yard setback would not be detrimental to adjoining buildings. If a side yard setback is required by the Planning Commission, the basis for such determination shall be specifically set forth as a finding

The maximum building height in the Central Business District shall be three stories and 35 feet.

All buildings and uses in this district which require loading and unloading shall provide adequate space for maneuvering and ingress and egress shall be so located as to not interfere with pedestrian traffic by causing excessive crossing of pedestrian walkways by motor vehicles

Effect of Site Plan Approval

After a review of the site plan by the City Planning Commission, the applicant shall be notified of approval or disapproval. In the event of approval, the City Planning Commission shall furnish approved copies of the site plan to the Chief Building Inspector and the applicant. The Chief Building Inspector shall authorize the approved site plan as well as the other documents required under Article 12 as the basis upon which a Zoning Compliance Permit shall be issued.

Appeal

The City Council is authorized upon application to modify any ruling by the City Planning Commission on the applicant's site plan, conditional upon a finding that the City Planning Commission's ruling is interpreted as in conflict in its effect with the intent of this ordinance. In hearing an application for such modification, the City Council shall refer relevant portions of the Planning Commission or its duly authorized representative. The record of the hearing shall record the basis for the City Council's decision.

Nonconforming Uses in CBD District

The lawful use of any land or structure exactly as such existed at the time of the enactment of this Ordinance may be continued although such use or structure does not conform to the provisions of the Ordinance. A nonconforming use or structure may be altered, remodeled or modernized provided that such alteration, remodeling or modernization does not increase the size of such nonconforming structure or the area devoted to nonconforming uses or the extent or area of use of a nonconforming use. No extension or enlargement of a nonconforming use or structure shall be permitted except with the approval of the City Council after a finding that such extension or enlargement would not be detrimental to this district, injurious to surrounding neighborhoods nor contrary to the spirit and purpose of this Ordinance.

A nonconforming building or structure which is damaged or destroyed by fire or other natural calastrophe or calamity, may be restored, and the occupancy or use of such building or structure may be continued or resumed provided that such restoration is started within a period of one year from such date and is diligently prosecuted to completion.

The building structure or premises where nonconforming use has ceased or been abandoned for more than six consecutive months or for the effective date of this ordinance or has been changed to a use permitted in the district in which it is located shall again be devoted to a nonconforming use and the continuance of any such building structure or use in connection with such nonconforming use is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Council is hereby authorized and empowered to proceed to abate such public nuisance

This ordinance was introduced at a regular meeting of the Farmington City Council on December 7, 1969, and was adopted and enacted at a regular meeting of the Council on April 6, 1970, and will become effective on April 30, 1970, ten days after publication.

WILBUR V. BROTHERTON, Mayor
ELIZABETH BRINES, City Clerk

ROLL CALL

AYES: Allen, Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert, Yoder.

NAYS: None.

ABSENT: None.

ORDINANCE ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF OTHER BOARDS

CM-4-109-70 **PLANNING COMMISSION PROCEEDINGS, MARCH 23, 1970.**

Motion by Allen supported by Richardson to receive and file Planning Commission Proceedings of March 23, 1970. Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-110-70 **BEAUTIFICATION COMMITTEE MINUTES**

Motion by Seibert supported by Yoder to receive and file Beautification Committee minutes of March 12, 1970. Motion carried, all ayes.

BOARD OF REVIEW, MARCH 3, 1970

Receive and file

CM-4-111-70 **HISTORICAL PRESERVATION COMMITTEE MINUTES, FEBRUARY 26, 1970**

Motion by Richardson supported by Allen to receive and file. Motion carried, all ayes.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

CM-4-112-70 **LETTER FROM MR. D. GLINTMANN, 15344 W. PARKWAY, DETROIT, MICHIGAN REQUESTING PERMISSION FOR CONNECTION TO FARMINGTON SEWER AND WATER SYSTEM**

In his request to the City Council, Mr. D. Glintmann asked permission to extend sewer and water service to property on Gill Road which is partially in the City and partially in the Township, south of Woodcroft Subdivision.

City Manager Dinan stated that when the City of Farmington entered into a contract with the Oakland County Department of Public Works on servicing the newly annexed areas with sewer and water, it was agreed that the City would furnish sewer and water on the west side of Gill Road north of Freedom Drive in Farmington Township, as this was the most feasible and logical way to service the area. The City would collect $200 for each sewer tap and $100 for each water tap per residence, to defray the capital cost to the City of Farmington Water and Sewer System.

Motion by Allen supported by Richardson to adopt the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED:

That the City Council approve the request of Mr. D. Glintmann and extend sewer and water service to 23677 Gill Road, this property on the west side of Gill Road, south of the Woodcroft Subdivision, and which is partially in the Township and partially in the City.

Roll Call

AYES: Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert, Yoder, Allen.

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None.

Resolution declared adopted April 6, 1970

ELIZABETH BRINES, City Clerk

LETTER FROM SENATOR KUHN REGARDING CREATION AND SUPPORT OF STATE WATER BOARD

Clerk read letter from Senator Kuhn stating he strongly supports the City of Farmington's position on the creation of a State Water Board to review all charges for water and sewage disposal by the Detroit Metropolitan Water Department. He states he believes he is a co-sponsor of a Senate Bill relative to that subject. He also states that a number of Senators have been trying for the past two years to pass such legislation and maybe this year it will be possible.

This letter was in answer to the City's resolution supporting the City of St. Clair Shores proposed legislation enacting a State Water Board that would establish water and sewage disposal rates for the Detroit Metropolitan Water Department to its suburban customers, to insure unbiased and equitable rates.

Letter received and filed.

CM-4-113-70 **LETTER FROM VIRGIL CORNWELL REQUESTING DIVISION OF LOT 50, FRED M. WARNER'S GRAND RIVER SUBDIVISION**

Clerk read request from Virgil Cornwell, Builder, requesting the City Council's approval of division of Lot 50, Fred M. Warner's Grand River Avenue Subdivision, located on the northwest corner of Powers Road and Nine Mile Road. He states that he has a frontage on Powers Road of 150 feet and depth on Nine Mile Road of 277.6 feet and he would like to divide this large tract into four lots, two lots fronting on Nine Mile Road 75x150, two lots fronting on Powers, one lot 80x177 with residence and another lot 80x177.

City Manager Dinan stated it would be necessary for Mr. Cornwell to receive the approval of the Council to divide this lot to more than two parcels in accordance with the State Plat Act. The new subdivision of lots would meet the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and would be a good utilization of the vacant property in question.

Motion by Allen supported by Yoder to adopt the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED:

That the Farmington City Council approve the division of the following described property:

West 75 ft. of Lot 50, East 75 ft. of the West 150 ft. of Lot 50; North 80 ft. of the East 127.4 ft. of Lot 50; South 70.5 ft. of the E. 127.4 ft. of Lot 50; – all of Fred M. Warner's Grand River Ave., Subdivision.

Roll Call

AYES: Richardson, Seibert, Yoder, Allen, Brotherton

NAYS: None.

ABSENT: None

Resolution declared adopted April 6, 1970.

ELIZABETH BRINES, City Clerk

CM-4-114-70 **REQUEST FROM OUR LADY OF SORROWS CLASS OF 1960 FOR USE OF CITY PARK LIGHTED BALL DIAMOND JUNE 21, 1970 FOR CLASS REUNION AND PICNIC**

Motion by Richardson supported by Seibert to approve the request from Our Lady of Sorrows Class of 1960 to use the City Park for their class reunion and picnic on June 21, 1970. Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-115-70 **REQUEST FROM FARMINGTON BASEBALL FOR BOYS, FROM COMMISSIONER WILLIAM COMETTO FOR USE OF CITY OWNED BASEBALL DIAMONDS FOR LITTLE LEAGUE BASEBALL FOR 1970 SEASON, APRIL 13-JULY 31, FROM 5:00 P.M. UNTIL DARK**

Clerk read letter requesting permission from Little League of Farmington to use the City owned baseball diamonds in the City Park from April 13 to July 31, 1970. Games will start at 5 p.m. and are concluded at dark. They also stated their annual Little League Parade is scheduled for May 16th at 12 noon at Farmington Road and Grand River. The parade route is Grand River to Warner and across Shiawassee to the ball park. They would appreciate having a Police escort and the City's cooperation on this event.

Mayor Brotherton stated he had received some complaints in the past, to the effect that the Little League Baseball games sometimes ran well over their time, and the teams scheduled to play after them were forced to wait until quite late before they could start their game. Therefore, the City Council recommended a break-off time to be agreed upon by the City Manager and Commissioner William Cometto of the Little League.

Motion by Yoder supported by Richardson to approve the request from the Farmington Little League Baseball team – to use the City Park from April 13th to July 31, 1970 from 5 p.m. until dark, and to grant permission for the annual Little League Baseball Parade for May 16, 1970 at 12 noon. Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-116-70 **REQUEST FROM SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION TO PROCLAIM THE WEEK OF MAY 10-15, 1970 SMALL BUSINESS WEEK**

Motion by Seibert supported by Richardson to proclaim the week of May 17 thru May 23, 1970 as Small Business Week in the City of Farmington. Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-117-70 **REQUEST FROM FARMINGTON MUSICALE TO PROCLAIM THE WEEK OF MAY 3-10, 1970 NATIONAL MUSIC WEEK**

Motion by Allen supported by Yoder to proclaim the week of May 3 thru May 10, 1970 as National Music Week in the City of Farmington. Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-118-70 Motion by Seibert supported by Richardson that the following Proclamation be adopted and entered into the record:

PROCLAMATION

On Friday, May 1st, the nation will pay tribute to the law and its indispensable role in the lives of all our citizens. The day has been set aside as Law Day USA by joint resolution of Congress and by official proclamation of the President.

The benefits that have accrued to each of us as individuals and to all of us as Americans, through our system of government of law are too numerous to record. Without law, no citizen can enjoy the rights, freedom, opportunities and protection which we take for granted. And, without law administered by independent courts, no government of a free nation could endure as a free society. The theme for Law Day USA this year is "Law – Bridge of Justice." It avers that the goal of law is to serve as a unifying influence in preserving the rights of individuals and correcting injustices. A century ago U.S. Supreme Court Justice David Davis reminded us: "The Constitution of the United States is a law for rulers and people equally in war and peace, and covers, with the shield of its protection all classes of men, at all times, and under all circumstances."

The theme for the 1970 observance of Law Day USA on May 1st is an appropriate reminder that without the framework of law, social problems would become unmanageable and justice unattainable.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, WILBUR V. BROTHERTON, Mayor of the City of Farmington, do hereby designate Friday, May 1st, 1970 as LAW DAY USA in the City of Farmington and call upon all organizations, schools, churches, the media of information and businesses to recognize this special day and join in its observance.

Dated this 6th day of April, 1970 in the City of Farmington, Oakland County, Michigan.

WILBUR V. BROTHERTON, Mayor

Motion carried, all ayes.

REPORTS FROM CITY MANAGER

CM-4-119-70 **SUBMITTAL 1970-71 RECOMMENDED BUDGET GENERAL FUND AND WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT**

Motion by Richardson supported by Seibert to establish a Public Hearing on May 18, 1970 at 8 p.m. to review the intergrated Budget for fiscal year 1970-71. Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-120-70 **REQUEST FOR ACCEPTANCE OF DEDICATION OF BLANCHARD BOULEVARD JAMESTOWN APARTMENT PROJECT**

City Manager Dinan reported the City of Farmington has made it a practice to recommend acceptance of dedications of equipment for the Selective Traffic Enforcement and Investigation Unit project has been disapproved. Originally the City Council had approved the City's share of 50% expenditures in the amount of $2,100 for the following: Computer Units at $2,000; a 16 mm Projector at $650.00; a Polaroid Camera at $150.00; a 35 mm Slide Projector at $200.00; an Overhead Projector at $400.00; a Portable Power Rescue Kit at $400.00; and Instructional Tapes for the Lawyer at $400.00 – making a total amount of $4,200.00.

The Department of Public Safety is now requesting City Council to allow them to purchase some of the requested equipment without Federal aid, to wit:

1 Vascar Unit; 1 16 mm Projector, and 1 Polaroid Camera, amounting to $1800.00 which would have been requested in their 1970-71 budget had they not anticipated Federal cost sharing of these items.

Motion by Yoder supported by Richardson to adopt the following resolutions:

BE IT RESOLVED:

That the Farmington City Council authorize the following expenditures, to be paid from the Contingency Fund, for the Department of Public Safety:

1 Vascar Unit	$1,000.00
1 16 mm. Projector	650.00
1 Polaroid Camera	150.00
Total expenditures	$1,800.00

ROLL CALL

AYES: Yoder, Allen, Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

Resolution declared adopted April 6, 1970

ELIZABETH BRINES, City Clerk

CM-4-122-70 **REPORT REQUEST PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT ADDITIONS TO CODIFICATION OF CITY CODE**

City Manager Dinan reported he has received a request from the Department of Public Safety requesting additions to our present City Code as part of the codification procedure. Therefore the Department recommends the adoption of several new ordinances that can be accomplished through the codification and approval of a revised City Code by the City Council.

The Public Safety Department feels there are four major categories that need to be strengthened to provide them with proper enforcement legislation. These regulations would give the Department better enforcement tools in an effort to control these local problems.

1. **Drive-In Restaurants**

The Department has experienced problems in controlling the activity of persons in areas contiguous to drive-in restaurant property. This regulation would give the Department authority to control the conduct of persons on property adjacent to drive-in restaurants. The City Attorney and District Judge have commented the present ordinance as it now stands needs some clarification.

2. **Adoption of new ordinance to control the use of narcotics and Dangerous drugs and Instruments adopted to their use.**

The Public Safety Department has had an opportunity, in the past to discuss with the City Council the drug problem in relationship to establishing legislation prohibiting the use of narcotics. The Department's position at that time was that the City does not have the authority to enact legislation making a serious crime by State law a minor crime by City ordinance. This does not prohibit the City from enacting ordinances that control acts not presently controlled by State law. The Public Safety Officers are finding implements adapted for the use of narcotics and dangerous drugs in possession of persons who do not have a legitimate use for them. Presently hypodermic needles and syringes can be purchased and possessed without prescription by anyone. The Department therefore is recommending local legislation prohibiting the possession of such implements and paraphernalia that may be used in the drug abuser.

3. **Disorderly persons in and around School buildings**

Presently the Department has been required to use our trespass ordinance to eject unwanted guests in and around school property. The Department recommends that an ordinance that clearly states that persons, not on school building, low guests may receive permission to be in a school building and defines the term "student" would be a more effective tool in assuring the peace and quiet and good order in and around school buildings. Therefore, the Department is recommending a new ordinance that will control unwanted guests in and around school property.

4. **Traffic Ordinances**

Since the adoption of the City's Uniform Traffic Code for Cities, Townships, and Villages, there have been several amendments made by the State of Michigan and the Department of Public Safety request these amendments be added to our City Code when codified.

City Manager Dinan told the Council that this method of adopting these amendments to the City Code through the codification process is the least expensive to the City as it cuts down on the necessity of long legal advertisements, and can be incorporated through the adoption of the City Code by reference after it had been brought up to date through codification.

Motion by Allen supported by Seibert that the City Council authorize the following amendments to be part of the codification of the City Code, to be adopted by reference when the City Code has been finally codified

1 Drive-In Restaurants

2 Adoption of a new ordinance to control the use of narcotics and dangerous drugs and instruments adopted to their use

3 Disorderly persons in and around school buildings

4 Traffic Ordinances

Roll Call

AYES: Allen, Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert, Yoder

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

Motion carried, all ayes

MISCELLANEOUS

CM-4-123-70 **COUNCILWOMAN RICHARDSON REGARDING FORMATION OF COMMITTEE TO STUDY FEASIBILITY OF AN ARTIFICIAL ICE RINK IN THE CITY**

Councilwoman Richardson told the Council that as long as he has lived in the City of Farmington Ice has heard people comment on the fact of how fine it would be to have an artificial ice rink. He said he did not think there had ever been a study made as to the feasibility of having such an ice rink. He stated that Mr. Russell Ellis, who was a booster of Little League Hockey in Farmington, and who is a resident of Woodcroft Subdivision has volunteered to do some studies of other artificial ice rinks in the Metropolitan area and come up with some sort of idea as to how much it would cost and whether this type of thing could be put on a basis where it would pay for itself, or whether it would be possible for the City to get behind it and sell bonds for the money which would be repaid by the operating income from the facility.

Motion by Richardson supported by Seibert to authorize Mr. Russell Ellis to work with the City Manager and Parks and Recreation Director in the feasibility of an artificial ice rink in the City of Farmington to be a part of Parks and Recreation Department, and to report back to the Council on this as soon as possible.

Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-124-70 **CITY COUNCIL TABLED OAKLAND COUNTY RESOLUTION REGARDING REDUCTION TAX RATE TO OFFSET INCREASES IN STATE EQUALIZED VALUATION**

Motion by Seibert supported by Richardson to adopt the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED

WHEREAS While it was the intent of the Legislature in enacting Act No. 100 of the Public Acts of 1963 to carry out the mandate of the Constitution Article IX, Section 3 and provide a ceiling thereon, it was not the intent of the Legislature that the tax rates on property should continue to be applied without some reductions therein, and

WHEREAS Many local and county officials and School Districts, while raising the property tax assessments to the 50% factor as provided by Act No. 100 of Public Acts, 1963, have not adjusted their rates accordingly, and as a result many local government units and school districts are reaping increased amounts of general property taxes without a careful scrutiny of their budgets and that downward trend in the tax rates which should have occurred when the assessed valuations increased, and

WHEREAS the State Legislature has before it a comparable resolution of which the City of Farmington is supporting

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of Farmington that the Michigan Legislature did not intend when they enacted Act No. 100 of the Public Acts of 1963 that this would be provided a mandate for local officials to spend, but rather it was a ceiling to be imposed on the assessed valuation factor and it was assumed that the local and county tax officials and School Districts would reduce their tax rates accordingly to bring in only the necessary taxes needed to effectively maintain essential governmental services

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Farmington City Council urge local units of government to reexamine at the earliest time possible their property tax structures and the tax rates being imposed on local property of their taxing units, and urge the taxing officials and School Boards to reduce the rate of taxation being imposed on real estate property thereon

Roll Call

AYES: Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert, Yoder, Allen

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

Resolution declared adopted April 6, 1970

ELIZABETH BRINES, City Clerk

COMMENTS

Councilman Seibert asked the City Manager if he received the booklet mentioned in Michigan Municipal League entitled "Municipal Salaries, Wages and Fringe Benefits for Cities and Villages." The City Manager told him yes, he did receive it.

Councilman Seibert cited a case in Wixom where the City of Wixom attempted to prevent Detroit Edison Company from putting high tension power lines on public right of ways, and lost the case in Court. He was of the opinion that perhaps the City of Farmington should reexamine its Zoning Ordinances with respect to essential services exceptions. The City Manager told him this would be reviewed by the City Attorney.

Councilman Seibert stated he had read in the Detroit News about a decision by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court which held unconstitutional a Township's refusal to zone land for apartments, and he was of the opinion that this decision would have a lot of ramifications if this philosophy would prevail. He said he doubted the pressure that would be brought to bear on the City to have a type of zoning that would not be good for the community and actually would not be something the people want.

RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES

CM-4-125-70 **ADOPT RESOLUTION PAYING AGENT FOR BONDS $50,000 G.O. and $950,000 G.O. Street Improvement Bonds**

Motion by Yoder supported by Allen to adopt the following resolution

BE IT RESOLVED

That National Bank of Detroit, Detroit, Michigan, be and is hereby appointed Paying Agent for $50,000 City of Farmington, Oakland County, General Obligation Street Improvement Bonds, dated January 1, 1970

$950,000 City of Farmington, Oakland County, Special Assessment Street Improvement Bonds, dated January 1, 1970

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That National Bank of Detroit shall be paid as compensation for its services, fees on the following basis

Annual Maintenance Fee – to be billed semiannually

$50.00 per annum

For Payment of Interest Coupons – $0.14 per coupon

For Payment of Coupon Bonds At Maturity or on Redemption Prior to Maturity When All Outstanding Bonds are to be Redeemed

$1.50 per bond

On Redemption Prior to Maturity When Less Than All Outstanding Bonds are to be Redeemed

$1.75 per bond

Plus Out-of-Pocket Expenses, (e.g. postage, registered mail, surcharge etc.)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all statements of account and unless cremation has been authorized by separate agreement, all canceled obligations shall be forwarded by the Paying Agent to

City of Farmington, 23600 Liberty Street, Farmington, Mich. 48024

Roll Call

AYES: Allen, Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert, Yoder

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

Resolution declared adopted April 6, 1970

ELIZABETH BRINES, City Clerk

CM-4-126-70 **INTRODUCTION ORDINANCE TO AMEND ZONING MAP FOR REZONING WEST SIDE OF FARMINGTON ROAD FROM FREEDOM ROAD TO ALTA LOMA DRIVE, LOTS 1-13, ASSESSOR'S PLAT NO. 1**

Motion by Allen supported by Seibert to introduce Ordinance No. C-277-70 – Amendment No. 22 to the Zoning Map.

Roll Call

AYES: Brotherton, Richardson, Seibert, Yoder, Allen.

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

Motion carried, all ayes.

CM-4-127-70 **MONTHLY BILLS**

Motion by Allen supported by Richardson to approve Claims and Accounts Motion by Allen submitted, General Fund $6912.56 and Water and Sewer $280.83.

Roll Call

AYES: Richardson, Seibert, Yoder, Allen, Brotherton

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Seibert supported by Richardson to adjourn.

Meeting adjourned at 10:30 p.m.

WILBUR V. BROTHERTON, Mayor
ELIZABETH BRINES, City Clerk

Publish April 19, 1970