# Let's get Woodland Hills back on city's tax rolls

### KEN PERRE

Guest Schumer armington Hills' Woodland Hills Park has become an un-necessary burden on the Farm-ington Hills since it has acquired the old sod farm on Eight Mile Road and the nine acres at Orchard Lake and 1-696 696

City Council should sell the Wood-land Hills Park property for RA-1 de-velopment and get it back on the tax

rolls. The Woodland Hills Park, as envi-sioned by the Ad Hoc Committee, would benefit only a few neighbors who live to the south and west of the prop-erty and a few people who enjoy walk-ing through such natural areas as cur-rently provided by the site. The city has already spent more than \$1 million dollars on this proper-

ty, and is considering spending an ad-ditional \$600,000. The expenditure of this much tax money to fulfill the de-sites (not the needs) of as few people is not wise public policy. On the other hand, the youth and family sports park which will be devel-oped on the old sod farm site stand to benefit thousands of residents of the community. This will be an excellent use of our tax dollars. The purchase of the Orchard Lake I-696 property may.or may not be a good

696 property may or may not be a good use of our tax dollars, depending upon use -the e

use of our tax dollars, depending upon the eventual use of the property. Selling the Woodland Hills Park area to a developer would not have an adverse effect on the neighbors. This area already has the most restrictive zoning used by the city. The magnificent new subdivision just to the west of the site shows what

beauty will be retained by this zoning, where wonderful large homes are nes-tled within the forest. Neighboring res-idents would be assured that their property values would remain high be-cause of this zoning. It will be argued that the property was purchased through a DNR grant for use as parks and recreation, and that the grant money would have to be returned to the DNR if it is not used for such purposes. for such purposes. So he it. We must assume that a fair

So be it. We must assume that a fair price for the property, and that a fair price would be received on the sale of the property from which the city could reimburse the DNR. Some will object that the sale of the property would deprive the community of a nature area. We only have to look less than a mile south to Heritage Park for such an area, or directly east across

Farmington Road to the nature trails at OCC.

Farmington Road to the nature trails at OCC. Each of these areas is already sup-ported by our tax dollars. How much is enough? Certainly no one could claim that the existing areas are even mon-derately used. Some will argue that Woodland Hills acts as a density control measure for the community. The current RA-1 zon-ing is so restrictive that such an argu-ment carries very little weight. The city is having ever greater diffi-culty in paying for the services it is al-ready providing its residents. Expendi-tures must be prioritized based on the needs of the community. Development and maintenance of a parcel of land as on any list of prioritics. It certainly cannot be justified on a "need" basis. The Ad Hoc Committee will present

its recommendations to City Council on Monday, Oct. 5, at City Hall. At that time, city council will also be asked to consider the following alternative proposal:

It proposan: No additional tax funds will be spent on this site at this time. City council will review possible uses of the area which would benefit the entire commu-nity (a library site, for example). If no such use is identified, the property will be sold for RA-1 development.

As a result of this alternative pro-posal, the property would be no longer, a financial drain on the city. Indeed, it would becme a revenue-producer as the private owner(s) would then pay taxes.

Ken Perrin, a longtime Farmington Hills resident, is a former member of the Farmington Board of Education.

fter reading in the Sept. 21 issue that bad drivers were at fault in

the bus stop safety problem, it strikes me that this response is anoth-er red herring used to disguise the real

## **Resents critics**

deeply resent the fact that you would provide Joe Derek and some foreign tourists with a platform used for criticizing and challenging the

used for criticizing and challenging the efforts and time that many Farmington Hills logalists have devoted to the Working with assistant City Mana-ger David Call and environmental planning consultant Todd Holloway, the Woodland Hills Park Ad Hoc Com-mittee reviewed, revised and commit-at concrebe to plang for developing A ted ourselves to plans for developing a park passive in nature, yet active in pride.

The unconscionable reference to the mitigated acreage on the Woodland Hills Park site as being a hole in the ground is a slap in the face to everyone who shared their time, ideas, vision and expertise.

The Upper Thames River Conserva tion Authority of London, Ontario, and its sponsors should find new locations to tour outside of our city. Better yet,

### outside of our country, eh? Jack Rajkovich, Woodland Hills

Park Ad Hoc Committee member

#### No Barnaby fan

teve Barnaby, in his editorial S "This 'Choice' Has Destructive Consequence," masquerades re-ligious bigotry to argue against schools of choice and for women playing football with men

He helieves that schools of choice rie neneves that schools of choice would imprison students in environ-ments featuring prayer in school, wor-ship of a Christian Deity, and education as the effect of women controlling their bodies on the controlled fetus. Note the nation has embraced these principles throughout most of it existence.

He also ascribes male domination, capital punishment, and heartless gov-ernment as additional tenets of the Christian perspective, but offers no do-

mentation He trivializes his position by showcasing an event of discrimination in which a Christian school declined to allow a young woman to play football

LETTERS

were she injured in a game, how long would it take before the school and ev-eryone else in sight was sued? Barnaby fails to focus on any poten

tial benefits of a fully developed school of choice system which would empower the consumer of educational services to e a school and a district. This provides freedom to choose

what one perceives as the best academ-ic environment while, at the same time it promotes a competitive and consumented educational system. For from imprisoning students, choice frees students and pressures

chools to become the best they can be. What could be less free than the exist-ing system of public education? Barnaby's editorial does logic and

the community a disservice. Jeffrey P. Jorissen Farmington Hills

s one councilman who was in-A solic contention who was a proint-ing Bob Deadman as city mana-ger 20 years ago, I certainly endorse the council's recent decision to name Frank Lauhoff to the position of city nanager

A good choice

He has successfully advanced along the same career path as his predeces-sor. While on the city council, I worked with Lauhoff during his eight years as public safety director. I know first hand of his leadership abilities, his concern for the city and the respect he has earned from the community.

Certainly the right choice was made 20 years ago and 1 am confident that this decision to promote from within will be heneficial to the residents of the city of Farmington.

> Ralph Yoder. Farmington

The Farmington School Board is abdicating its responsibility for the place-ment of bus stops on major thorough-fares. It is the board and its agents who have elected to put students at risk.

issue.

**On bus safety** 

Accidents do happen and they hap-pen to cautious, good drivers. It is this type of convoluted reasoning that has been the typical response that parents and taxpayers have received as the board's response to this issue.

It is also interesting to note that the reason for the unsafe stops was to save money. Yet on the same page as this article were the salary increases for school administrators.

For whom is the money in this district being spent? Is it for the students

or for the employees? Marianne Weiss, Farmington Hills

