### The Observer/ THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1993

# lawmakers say pay raise is small, reasonable

# BY RALPH R. ECHTINAW STAFF WRITER

Braff White Risking significant political damage in the process, three area state representatives voted for a 5 percent pay raise last week, but said the raise is justified because it's really small.

percent pay raise last week, but said the raise is justified because it's really small. "There's never a good time for a pay increase when you're a public official, but we ought to get them now and then," said Rep. Maxine Berman, D-Southfield, who helped block the move by Rep. Greg Kaza, R-Rechester Hills, to refuse the raise, which was pro-pensation Commission. SOCC's pols is to suggest a pay increase for elected state officials every two years. In 1991 the Leg-islature refused to accept a 13-percent raise suggest ab ySOCC. Kaza and others opposed the studyet is tight and it would look bad for representatives to take a votes. Below inflation

## LANSING

raise while cutting the budget in raise while cutting the budget in other areas. The new annual selaries, which go into effect Jan 1, 1994, are: \$112,000 for governor, \$84,000 for leutenant governor, \$112,000 for supreme court judges and \$48,000 for tegislators. The vois to overturn SOCC's suggested raise would have passed on a two-thirds majority, but missed that mark by five voices.

for people who are

1700 N. Woodward, Suite B • Bloomfield Hills, MI • 258-5300 18720 Mack Avenue • Grosse Pointo Farms, MI • 882-6400

Now playing

heartland. There's something in it for everyone.

Don't miss this brilliant, colorful, thumbs-up

performance!

Substantial penalty for early withdrawal.

not out of line," said Rep. Jan Do-lan, R-Farmington Hills, who voied two years ago to turn down 13-percent raise, but voied last week to retain the latest raise. Rep. William Keith, D-Garden City, voted for the raise, arguing that "for the effort required to be agood state legislatory." Opponents of the raise, howev-er, see the issue differently. Because the state's budget is so tight, with little wiggle room for discretionary spending and more cuts and freezes ahead, they feel that taking a raise, any raise, sends the wrong message to vote: "Dan Dobergh Wiwman E.

Rep. Deborah Whyman, R. Canton, is a good example. "Were going to be asking other people to hold the line and take cuta," she said. "Until (the budget is bal-anced) I don't think we can take luxuries with our pay." Pay raise opponents don't quib-

Beiow inflation The new raise will go into effect four years after the last raise, which works out to a 1.25-percent increase per year, not cough to keep up with inflation. So in real aponding power the representa-tives will make less in 1994 then they did in 1990. "Five percent over four years is

ble with the argument that 6-per-cent over four years is reasonable, but rather they object to the sym-bolism. Didn't earn it "(The raise) may well be de-screed," said Barbara Dobb, R-West Bloomfeld. "It may be in line. The numbers may be reason-able. But 1 don't think collective-ly we carred the raise." Unlike some other state offi-

cials, though, who have said they'll give their raise to charity, Dobb will use hers to pay the bills, "Maybe I'll use it to pay for inv property-tas increase," the lakefront homeowner said. Rep. Richard Young, D-Dear-born Heights, also voted against the raise." I folt that the general public was overwholmingly op-posed to it," he said, noting that the fiscal 1993 budget has to have

nother \$400 million carved out o

It. Young said that his constitu-ents are asying, "We want you to, pull your belt tight the way we're pulling ours." Rep. John Jamian, R-Biom-field Hills, opposed the pay raise, too. "I think we're sending the wrong message out when we vote. for a pay raise," he said. "That to mu is almast conterminues."

me is almost contemptue

