Banker supportive

Banker supportive

I was pleased to read in your
Jan 11-edition that the Farmington Planning Commission
took a positive step to ensure the
health and stability of the Farmington Central Business District
by approving the rezoning of
property at \$3432 Oakland for
use by Baker Street Draperies.

It was a plus for Farmington
when Shelley Raymond, the
owner of Baker Street Draperies,
these to move her business here
from Livonia in 1892. The
uniqueness of her business and
its product offering has drawn
from a wide geographic area.
Her success over the past nine
years indicates the business'
staying power and its prospects
for the future.
It would be a shame to lose
this business to another community. I amplied the foreight of

for the juture.

It would be a shame to lose
this business to another community. I applaud the foresight of
the Planning Commission in taking action to prevent that from
happening.

Robert P. Heinrich, Farmington Hills

■ Strongly disagree

My wife, Sandra, and I, along with countless other concerned Farmington neighbors, have attended two meetings to oppose the rezoning of a beautiful Arts and Crafts home from the 20s at 33432 Oakland Street on the lime-warmed, all residential

33432 Oakland Street on the time-warmed, all revidential, historic street of Oakland between Farmington Road and Grand River. We live next door to this proposed change.

Although our numbers alone should have sent a strong message to these board members that, despite their loyalty to the Town Master Plan, our neighborhood preservation must come first. Businesses are not traditional neighbors, particularly in bistoric homes on a well maintained residential street.

The owner of the property spoke to the zoning board and said he could sell his home residentially. The business owner, Baker Street Draparies, says she olly has four or five customers for day (according to her informal survey) with most of the work being done in people's homes and at her factory. She basically has a consulting busthomes and at her factory. She basically has a consulting business on the marketing side which requires space rather than becation because she says walkins are rare. So what is the problem?

The city has spent many hours The city has spent many hours developing their plan and we all greatly respect their efforts and hard work. We also appreciate all business owners (of which I am one) who service our community or draw others to frequent Farmington, but, we do not want the historic district compromised



Sprint.



Editor's note: e have received sev-al letters to the editor on the subject of Baker Street

Draperies going into a house on Oakland

Street in downtown Farmington. We have published as many as

space would permit. to accommodate business or any other special interests to get

to attempt to increase the size of the Central Business District at the expense of the historic Old Village neighborhood. However, what they do not realize is that they are eating up all their bread and butter.

It is the old-world charm of the residential neighborhood which attracts people to the city. It is the charism of history that makes people want to live in, visit and shop in Farmington.

When we tell people we meet (from all over the metro area) that we live in Farmington's Old Village, they know about it and speak positively about it. They have driven by to admire the houses.

Some of them drive been and

houses.

Some of them drive here and park so that they can walk through the picturesque neighborhood. And they shop on their

STOREWIDE

Up To 40% off

FOR THESE

COMPANIES..

TOYS'A'US'

Sprint PCS*

They Are Hiring Now!

Quest Diagnostics

WEST BLOOMFIELD SCHOOLS

FLAGSTAR

M polstad general hospital

TOTAL STORY

1022

Continue

LOOK IN TODAY'S OBS AND CAREERS



way in and out.
Without the uniqueness of the quain told neighborhood, Farmington and Grand River is little more than another strip mall. One might asy that the historic charm of the area brings home the backen.

charm of the area brings home the bacon.

For this reason, and for many others, historic houses in resi-dential neighborhoods should not be re-zoned. It is bad for business. It is bad for the resi-

business. It is bad for the residents.

The city council should turn down the re-zoning request, and the Master Plan, recently revised to include 33432 Oakland and its western neighbor in the CBD, should be corrected.

Keep business on the main streets, not in neighborhoods. The City of Farmington needs to realize it can't have its cake and eat it too.

Lance and Holly Giannola, Farmington

A treasure

There is a house for sale — a home— at 33432 Oakland Street, in Farmington's Historic District. Oakland Street is lined, with beautiful, historic homes. This one is nestled in that little section of Oakland that runs between Grand River and Farmington Road. It's a beautiful brick home with a big front porch. The neighborhood is a treasure. So are its people. And it looks like they're going to ruin everything. This Tuession gift at 8 p.m., Farmington City Council may ro-cone that quaint Oakland Street strip from Resi-

Council may re-zone that quaint Oakland Street strip from "Resi-dential" to "Central Business District."

Jakinand Street strip from 'Residential' to 'Central Business District. The though it's not in the Central Business District. And a business owner is champing at the bit to turn that brick homein that residential neighborhood into a store. With a parking lot. And traffic.

And declining property values for all the caring homeowners who have pumped their hard-carned money into their historic homes to keep them beautiful. And if Farmington residents don't speak up, it's gonna happen. Tuesday.

So why didn't the homeowners complain about putting a business into that home in the first place? They did. They went to a Farmington Zoning Board Appeals meeting and they complained. So ... the the ZBA listened to the residents, right?

Nope. They granted the business owner allowances for parking and landscaping. The residents were so angry and upset that the next planning commission meeting was packed with angry neighbors. Standing room only. The people spoke to the commission one by one. They said they didn't want their

neighborhood re-zoned. They didn't want a business showed into that beautiful house in their historic neighborhood.

So, the planning commission listened to the citizens this time, right? Nope.

The commissioners practically tripped over themselves passing motions to recommend to City Council that the area be recomed to *Central Business District,* and that the business owner's site plan be approved.

The packed roomful of neighbors was stunned. They spoke, they pleaded, they asked nicely, they did everything except get down on their knees and beg and their pleas fell on deaf ears. It was a riddeulous and embarrassing moment for our beautiful city. However, dear readers, this thing is far from over. The planning commission is a spopleted. The city council is elected. The city council is elected seal that looks shadder and shadder by the minute.

Farmington City Council will

shadler by the minute.
Farmington City Council will listen to the planning commission's recommendations Tuesday

Farmington City Council will listen to the planning commission's recommendations Tuesday night, Jan. 16, at 8 p.m.

That's where you come in. You are needed. Your voice is crucial. The residents of Farmington's Historic District need you to tell city council what you think — what you want – for your city. Do you want your own neighborhood re-zonced into a commercial area? Probably not. Do you want special treatment given to businesses at the expense of your city's beautiful Historic District?

What happens when this business owner turns the home over to a new buyer? If the area is commercially zoned, we may very well have to kiss that home good-bye and say hello to a parking lot. Or worse. Tell city council what you think on Tuesday. They have to listen, and listen carefully. You voted for them.

Jim Pfaifer.

■ Opposed

I want to voice my objections to Baker Street Draperies' proposed zoning change for 33432 Oakland from Residential-1 to CBD. I live at 33300 Oakland and I am admantly opposed to this request. Such a change may provide Baker Street Draperies some benefit - though it's hard to see what this might be in light of all the appropriate retail business property airendy available—but its cost will be borne by those of us who live in the neighborhood.

Let me point out that we already experience serious parking and traffic problems. Commercial traffic and parking is bad enough in the summer but

when the street is narrowed by winter snow it is sometimes nearly impossible to get in and out of one's own driveway thanks in part to overflow parking from the funeral home that stands less than a hundred yards east of the property in question. Adding another commercial establishment will only make matters worse.

establishment will only make matters wore insportance is the issue of the character of the neighborhood and the property values that reflect that character. Those of us who choose to live here do so because we appreciate its distinct charm. We don't want businesses slowly infiltrating what is a unique and irreplaceable residential area. Having Baker Street Draperies in Farmington undoubtedly brings benefits to all of Farmington but it is unfair and unnocessary that the costs associated with that business be borne by our residential neighborhood.

Dana Newhouse, Farmington

■ Backs Raymond

Backs Raymond

I own the property in question. I would like to address the opposition to the proposed resoning:

Mrs. Raymond has approached the City with her intentions to relocate her business within the city, and has expressed her concern that there is no commercial property available in the downtown area. It was the City that encouraged her to stay in Farmington, and to explore the possibility of relocating to my property on Oakland Street.

People in the neighborhood have expressed their opposition to commercial use on this site. I think it ahould be noted that the City of Farmington Master Plan designates commercial use proposed for this site. To attempt to implement that use, therefore, should come as no surprise to the residents of the neighborhood.

There are three neighbors adjoining my house: to the east and most of the rear is the Salem Church parising lot, at the rear has a view of the back of my garage. As a result of the rezoning, his view will now be of

a row of evergreens, after demo-lition of the garage. I canned, imagine that evergreens will be more offensive to any neighbor. The church to the east has opposed the proposed rezonate, with concerns about commercial users parking on their lot, and about drainage issues. I find it difficult to believe that one off-what is arguably a commercial institution, namely a church, can object to having commercial institution, namely a courter, can-object to having commercial neighbors. The Salem Church' parking lot, accommodating some 50 cars, is by no stretch of the imagination a residential

the imagination a residentialuse.

The neighbors to the westhave been very vocal in their
objection to commercial use on
this property.
They argue that they are being
violated, having moved to the
neighborhood two years ago to
eajoy the residential character of
the area, and that this zoning
change would compromise their
privacy. What I have not heard
from them is the fact that their
from them is the fact that their
from them and has been for some
40 years that I know of, adjacent
to commercial property, namely 40 years that I know of, adjacent to commercial property, namely the real estate office next door to the west. If they have such an objection to commercial neighbors, why then did they knowingly buy a home next door to a business in the first place?

These are clearly very aware and intelligent people, and they knew, by their own admission at the last Zoning Board meeting, that their property is and has been Master Planned for commercial use. It seems it is some-mercial use. It seems it is some-

mercial use. It seems it is some-what disingenuous for them to come to the City and oppose the prospect of having a commercial neighbor.

prespect of having a commercial neighbor.

In conclusion, Mrs. Raymond of Baker Street Drapories has invested time, money, and energy into this project, with the encouragement and support of City officials.

To abandon her now would be to send a message to current and future business owners that the City of Farmington is not interested in attracting new business, or even in keeping the good businesses that are already here, and that the City of Farmington is not a business friendly environment.

Ken Johnson.



800-356-0820





DINING FURNITURE Ltd.

ROSEVILLE 26151 Gratiot - Just S. of 9-696 (810) 775-6310

PLEASANT RIDGE 23716 Woodward + 1.2 mile S. of 1:699 (248) 544-3322

A Flagstar Bank Statement Plus Savings Account And You'll Get This:

For 12 Months

FLAGSTZ

Sterling Heights Livosis Farmegion Hills \$18-248-3330 734-753-6890 244-324-0115 27918 John R R4. 42200 Ford R4. 1001 N. Mais St. Maßlew Heights Centre Rockester	\$151 Corporate Dr.	3915 Telegraph R4.	1131 W. Warren
1.00 1.00		Bloomfold (1884)	Detroit
Surfing Height	245-312-5400	348-963-3613	313-832-7971
#38-268-3339 734-953-6890 248-324-0013 #9101 John R Rd. 42200 Ford Rd. 1001 N, Main St. Mailleus Heights. Custon Rochester	LM27 15 MHz RA.	37655 See Male Rd.	28177 Orchard Lake Re
29101 John R Rd. 42200 Ford Rd. 1001 N. Main St. Madford Helphia Custon Rochester	Sterling Heights	Livonia	Farmangton Halis
Madisou Heights Custon Rochester	E10-365-3130	734-953-6890	248-324-0013
	29101 John R Rd.	42200 Ford R4.	1001 N. Main St.
349-543-1817 734-961-4942 248-652-9792		Canton	Rochester
	248-543-1817	734-961-4942	248-652-9792

CHANGE THE COLUMN TO STATE OF THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE COLUMN TWO