

By MYRA CHANDLER

for Wine's defense of Rev. Robert Eddy against the attacks of many other people.

Brennan's letter reveals large philosophical differences between himself and the two clergymen. But the three men have certain not unimportant similarities. They are ardent; they have strong opinions, and they are obviously willing to express them.

We think intellectual disagreement of this kind is a healthy thing for a democracy. We are happy to have men of the caliber of Rev. Eddy, Rabbi Wine, and attorney Brennan quarreling on our pages.

We just might be tempted into getting in the quarrel ourselves.

ALLEN ROSENFELD

growth of installment payments, the covered arrangement of the insipid relatives. These are hidden until after the honeymoon. The communal life of the young couple is a new beginning. Many a married man did his best to go and enlist in World War II. They felt the peace, and quiet of exploding atomic bombs, in the new life of the young couple. The wedding, wailing, tongues in Middletown, U.S.A.

Before our youth pull the cord that rings out the wedding bells, they had better equate the wedding with the beginning of a new life. The ecstatic tongue of a female scolder when the immature bridegroom wishes to have a night out with the boys.

Marriage bliss or the bliss of marital material—what shall it be? Whatever the decision you can be sure of one thing: old married men don't die, they just fade slowly away as Big Mama twists them around her finger insidiously.

iscal Reform

Are they hopefully awaiting the election of the Democratic Governor in 1966 to bear the brunt of increased taxation or payless paydays for State employees?

Are they awaiting the 1966 elections to four-year terms for State Senators, and the politically Governor so as to insure themselves and incoming legislators a sizeable voters' reaction against a tax overhaul which will give Michigan the revenue it needs for the very services voters need, and want?

Have they lost faith, or do they disagree with the firm declarations subscribing to the principle of fiscal reform adopted by the 1964

Senator Drendzel's position that the Mackinac Island proposals for reform are merely a disguise for increasing State revenues, begs the question. For Democrats have long agreed that there is need both for erasing the inequities of Michigan's patchwork tax structure, as well as for elevating revenues.

While there might be debatable merit in delaying an increase of revenues until the present surplus is exhausted, there can be no reasonable excuse for postponing the overhaul. The time is now—or yesterday.

Although less vocal in recent weeks, Speaker Kowalski has been persistent in placing the responsibility for a reform program in the Governor's Office, while, at the same time indicating that no proposal is likely to get his support or effective action in the House.

To those in the 17th who have loyally supported both the principle of Tax Reform, as well as these gentlemen's political fortunes in Michigan, their performance in the face of fire seems like a fiddlers' duet.

We are fearful that only a crisis reminiscent of 1959 can create an atmosphere in which these gentlemen will act. We also fear that the repercussions will bode no good for the Democratic Party. We cannot afford to wait any longer. God bless the country.

my neighbor
this does not
it: to do the

to no frighten-
-nesship that
to fill our
against such
due.

WALIE HEAD

rs. Please!

take this op-
you for let-

ting us have The Observer of
Redford free of charge. It is
very kind of you. It is nice to
be informed of the happenings
in our township.

I love to read the letters in
the letter box or from the reader,
but they are too long and
that makes them rather boring.
Why not make them shorter and
more to the point? Then I know
I would read everyone of them.

Thank you and best of luck
to you.

MRS. A. C. SMITH
REDFORD TOWNSHIP.

...priorities, compet-

proved
holistic
year—
Health

and see
work

Thurs. & Sat. 9:30-6:00 p.m.
Fri. 9:30-8:00

LTH FOODS
W. OF FARMINGTON ROAD,

Editor: have rights! I have lived in **Neighbors Take Issue**

love rights! I have lived in Farmington a relatively short time, a year and a half.

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, Elver Glen. I love every minute of it and am so happy to be out here, for you see, although a multiple dweller, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature God has given us.

True, I share these "gifts" with other people, but then I've never known anyone who was not for a selected few! Nor have I "Thank God, ever felt a mental sting saying "Keep Out," this is only for us to enjoy.

I've met only friendliness in Farmington, therefore, your letter surprised me and especially the fact that you were aligned with so many, feeling as you

time, a year and a half.

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I love every minute of it and am so happy to be out here, for you see, although a multiple dweller, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature God has given us.

True, I share these "gifts" with other people, but then I've never felt any of this world was for a selected few! Nor have I "thank God, ever felt a mental sign saying "Keep Out" this is only for us to enjoy.

I've met only friendliness in Farmington, therefore, your letter surprised me and especially the fact that you were aligned with so many, feeling as you

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I love every minute of it and am so happy to be out here, breathing the fresh air, and the ample dweller, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature God has given us.

Never, I love these "gifts" with other people, but I have truly felt all of this world was created for a selected few I nor have to "thank God, ever felt a mental burden" and I am "this" "this" only for us to enjoy.

I've met only friendliness in Farmington, therefore, your alleged "friendship" and especially the fact that you were relieved with so many, feeling as you do.

find it curious, that Mr. Duke is selected as the object of your wrath. There are other owners of multiple dwellings in Farmington.

But then I must remember that he will be building on property near you and be bringing you a lot of trouble.

Oh well, you can't have everything - the stores love us.

Sincerely,
WILLIAM MURPHY
Farmington

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I have every minute of it and am so happy to be out here, and I am sure that the whole people dwell, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature God has us with.

Trudy I share these "gifts" with other people, but then I've never felt any of this world was for a selected few. Nor have I ever felt that I was a capitalist sign saying "Keep Out. This is only for us to enjoy."

I've met only friendships in life. I've learned that my feelings surprised me and especially the fact that they were aligned with so much, feeling as you are.

I find it curious, that Mr. Duke is selected as the object of your wrath. There are other people who live in multiple dwellings in Farmington besides him.

But then I must remember that he will be building on property near and to be bringing in all these people.

Oh well, you can't have everything. — the stores love us!

(MRS.) LILLIAN MURPHY
Farmington

•

Spectator Speaks

Random Thoughts of a
Township Board Meeting
Spectator

Editor: I don't hear right? Yes, the Township Board's own Bond Counsel has stated that there is a \$250,000.00 surplus. A CASH SURPLUS of \$250,000.00! Add to this the \$235,000.00 that the Board paid for the so called "new" bond. The Township Board Water Department funds

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I have every minute of it and I don't want to let it go, but for you, although I'm a little dweller, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature.

True, I share these "gifts" with other people, but then I've never felt any of this world was for a selected few. Nor have I ever felt that I was a mental sign saying "Keep Out" to anyone else.

I've met only friendships in Farmington. I've never let anyone surprise me and especially the fact that you were aligned with me was, more, feeling as you do.

I find it curious, that Mr. Duke is selected as the object of the "Keep Out" sign. The owners of multiple dwellings in Farmington besides him.

But then I must remember that I have a right to my property near you and be bringing in all those people.

Oh well, you can't have everything. I hope you stores love us.

Sincerely,
MRS. LILLIAN MURPHY
Farmington

Spectator Speaks

Random Thoughts of a
Township Board Meeting
Spectator

Editor:

I don't I hear right? Yes, the Township Board's own Bond Counsel has stated that there is no way to appeal the decision of the Board. **\$235,000.00** Add to this the **\$28,000.00** for the called bond. **Make a total of \$363,000.00** and we come up with a figure of **\$391,000.00**. I am sure that in Town Hall there is a copy of the Township Board surplus exists now?

I was surprised that the Supervisor was not the least embarrassed for failing to request an extension in the time granted to appeal the Court's decision. With regard to the Burke Building Company and their plans to build an apartment on R-1 land, I am sure that the Township Supervisor's letter to the Court was not written until the last day an extension could be asked for. I am sure that the Court will grant an extension for the following day. The Court ruled that the time to appeal had expired. The previous minutes of the Township Board

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I love every minute of it and am so happy to be out here, that I don't want to be any other place dweller, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature Mr. Duke has given us.

There are these "gifts" with any other people, but I've never felt any of this world was for a selected few! Nor have I ever felt Mr. Duke ever sold a multiple sign saying "Keep Out" this is only for us to enjoy.

I've met only friendliness in Farmington, therefore, your alleged "discovery" is only the fact that you were left with no money, feeling as you do.

Can you find it curious, that Mr. Duke is selected as the object of your wrath. There are other owners of multiple dwellings in Farmington, besides Mr. Duke.

But then I must remember that he will be building on property that is old and be bringing in new people.

Oh well, you can't have everything - the stores love us!

Sincerely,
WILLIAM MURPHY
Farmington

Spectator Speaks

Dear Thoughtful of the Township Board Meeting

Editor:

Did I hear right? Yes, the Township Board of Supervisors and Council has stated that there is a Water Department CASH SURPLUS OF \$285,000.00! I am sure that the Township Board and the so called Main Board Property out of these same Water Department funds have expended all the money they wanted close to \$600,000.00. Any one in Town Hall can do deny that a Water Department surplus exists.

It appeared that the Supervisor was not the least embarrassed for failing to request an extension of time granted to appeal the Court's decision with regard to the Burke Building Company and their plans for the extension of the R-1 zoned land on Joy Road. The Supervisor's letter to the Court was not written until the last of the month. Can he be asked for more and most particularly the following day: The Court ruled that the time to appeal had expired. The previous minutes of the Township Board meeting would be considered at the Sept. 1966 meetings.

Those who were surprised to hear the Supervisor admit that the

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I have every minute of it and am not at all over here, and I am not, although I might be a dweller. I too love the trees and all gifts of nature. I have a lot of them.

True - I share these "gifts" with other people, but then I've never felt any of this world was for a selected few. Nor have I ever felt that I was a mental sign saying "Keep Out" to anyone else, so I to enjoy.

I've met only friendliness in Farmington. I've met only on property near you and be bringing in all those people.

Oh well, you can't have every-thing. I have a store here for you.

Sincerely,
MRS. LILLIAN MURPHY
(Farmington)

Spectator Speaks

Random Thoughts of a
Township Board Meeting
Spectator

Editor: I'd hear right? Yes, the Township Board's own Bond Counsel has stated that there is no Township surplus. There is a \$238,000.00! Add to this the \$235,000.00 of the same Water Department funds and we come up with a figure of \$473,000.00. Anybody who has ever been in the Town Hall can see that the Township surplus exists now?

I was glad to hear that the Supervisor was not the least embarrassed for failing to request an extension in the time granted to appeal the Court's decision with regard to the Burke Building Company and their plans to build an apartment on R-1 zoned property. I was glad to hear the Supervisor's letter to the Court was not written until the last day an extension could be asked for. I was glad to hear that the following day: The Court ruled that the time to appeal had expired. The previous mis-interpretation of the Court's decision should be considered at the Sept. 1, 1965 meetings?

We were surprised to hear that the Board had decided to appeal the bond Bonadeno Property because of the flood plain land on which it was located. I was glad to hear that for a moment, I felt that it was very rare for him to admit to being wrong. It is ever wrong about anything.

The Police and Fire Department will select a Village Precinct. I was glad to hear that the Village Precinct will be located in the North End of the Township. I was glad to hear that the Village Precinct will be located in the North End of the Township. I was glad to hear that the Village Precinct will be located in the North End of the Township.

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I have every minute of it and I don't want to give it up here, for you are, although a multiple dweller, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature.

True, I share these "gifts" with other people, but then I've never felt any of this world was for a selected few. Nor have I ever felt that I was entitled to a sign saying "Keep Out." This is only for us to enjoy.

As for my other friendships in Farmington, and you, your letter surprised me and especially the fact that you were aligned with those who were against me as so much, feeling as you do.

I find it curious, that Mr. Duke is selected as the object of the "Keep Out" signs on the owners of multiple dwellings in Farmington besides him.

But then I must remember that I am a "resident" on a property near you and be bringing in all these people.

Oh well, you can't have everything, the stores love me.

Sincerely,
MRS. LILLIAN MURPHY
Farmington

Spectator Speaks

Random Thoughts of a
Township Board Meeting

Editor:

Did I hear? Yes, the Township Board's own Bond to approve the \$200,000.00 on a Water Department CASH SURPLUS of \$28,000.00! Add to this the \$35,000.00 on a Maxitrol Property out of these same Water Department funds and we come up with a figure to approve the \$53,000.00 on a Town Hall care to deny a Water Department surplus exists now?

Did I hear that the Supervisor was not the least embarrassed for failing to request an extension in the time granted to approve the Board's decision with regard to the Burke Building Company and their plans to build an apartment on R-1 zoned property? And that the Supervisor's letter to the Court was not written until the last minute and that he was asked for and not post marked under the following day: The Court ruled that the time to appeal was over and the previous minutes stated that the Board had been considered at the Sept. 1, 1965 meetings?

Did I hear that the Board's Board of Trustees Lewis said that the Board had erred in rezoning the Bonado Property because the Board had not had the majority of the property stands?

Just for a moment, I felt that Mr. Lewis was almost human.

Did I hear that the Board that he or his Party is ever wrong or anything.

Did the Police and Fire Department support the millage increase on the November Ballot?

Did we strongly urge bipartisanship?

Did we stand? Fire and Police protection can be greatly affected by the money available to do

Farminston a relatively short time ago.

I live in one of Mr. Duke's multiple dwellings, River Glen. I have every minute of it and I am not at all inconvenienced, for you see, although a multiple dweller, I too love the trees and all gifts of nature.

True, I share these "gifts" with other people, but then I've never felt any of this world was for a selected few. Nor have I ever felt that I was being asked to sign saying "Keep Out" this is only for us to enjoy.

As for the other findings of the Commission, therefore, your letter surprised me and especially the fact that they were alleged that I was so money, feeling as you do.

I find it curious, that Mr. Duke is selected as the object of the attack. He is the owner of multiple dwellings in Farminston besides him.

But then I must remember that I am not a resident on property near you and be bringing in all these people.

Do not, you see, have anything the stores love us.

Sincerely,
MRS. LILLIAN MURPHY
Farminston

Editor Speaks

Random Thoughts of a
Township Board Meeting

Editor:

I did I-hat right? Yes, the Township Board's own Board of Health, 1900-1910, was a Water Department CASH SURPLUS of \$283,000.00! Add to this the \$30,000.00 that was very close to 1900-1910. A Maxitrol Property out of these same Water Department funds was used to come up with a figure of \$200,000.00. Add to this one in Town Hall care to deny a Water Department surplus.

It appeared that the Supervisor was not the least embarrassed for failing to request an appeal of the time granted to appeal the Court's decision with regard to the Burke Building Company and their plans to build a new building. I mentioned land on Joy Road. The Supervisor's letter to the Court was not written until the last day of the appeal. I was asked for and not post marked until the following day: The Court ruled that the time to appeal was not granted. The Supervisor stated that an appeal would be considered at the Sept. 1, 1955 meetings.

It was pointed out that the Board stated Lewis said that the Board had erred in renealing the appeal. I was asked for and the flood plain land on which part of the property stands.

Just for a moment, I felt that I was being asked to appeal. It is very rare for him to admit that he or his Party is ever wrong about anything.

As for the other findings of the Commission, therefore, your letter will seek a small millage increase on the November Ballot. We strongly urge bipartisanship in the matter. If it is needed, Fire and Police protection can be greatly affected by the money available to do job.

NATE BANKS,
Redford Township.

DEPENDABLE

Editor:

We neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton's letter published in the Livonian Sept. 8 was unfair.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he bought his home, or couldn't he just afford anything better? He should have been able to see what the neighborhood was like before he bought his home. If he did not like the conditions, he did not have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood. Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken, which is not true.

We also could not understand his remarks about the unsightly

Editor:

We neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton's letter published in the Livonian Sept. 8 was unfair.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he bought his home, or couldn't he just afford anything better? He should have been able to see what the neighborhood was like before he bought his home. If he did not like the conditions, he did not have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood. Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken, which is not true.

We also could not understand his remarks about the unsightly

Editor:

We neighbors of Louise Street in Lyons feel that Mr. Lipton's advertisement in the *Lyonsian* of Sept. 8 was unfair.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he bought his house? Can't he just afford to pay for a new house? Can anything be so stupid as to be able to see that the neighborhood was like before he bought it? Some, if he did not know, but the neighbors would have to buy the house. We feel that there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood. The houses are being built on this slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken, which is not true.

He is making a statement in his remarks about the unsightly outhouses. As far as we know, everyone has indoor plumbing and no one knows how to make what an outhouse is. The out-houses to which he is referring are too shoddy.

His complaint about his high taxes is new ground. If he were to have paid street lights his taxes would be even higher. We are sorry also, but we are not complaining about our taxes.

Editor:

We neighbors of Louise Street in Lyons feel that Mr. Lipton is a very good neighbor. He has been in Lyons for about 10 years and has been in the Lybman's since Sept. 8 was landward.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or in a toxicated when he bought his house? We don't see him just after anything he does. He is not able to be able to see what the neighborhood was like before he bought. Some, if he did not know the color of the house, he would have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood. We feel the color of the house is a little slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken, which is not true.

Mr. Lipton is complaining about his remarks about the unsightly houses. As far as we know, everyone is doing plumbing and painting. He does not know what an outburst. The outburst of houses to which he is referring are too shoddy.

Mr. Lipton is complaining about his high taxes. He has paid a lot of money for his new street, and street lights. He has paid for his street lights his taxes are even higher. We would like to see the outburst of his house, but we are not complaining about our taxes.

We feel that Mr. Lipton is trying to make a lot of trouble for himself which his neighbor peaceful.

People have been living in this neighborhood for many years. We feel that the conditions are good. We suggest it.

Mr. Lipton does not like the

Editor:

We neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton's letter to the Livonian dated Sept. 8 was unfair.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he brought his car to the intersection of Louise and anything better? He should have been able to see what the neighborhood was like before he moved there. He should have known like the conditions, he did not have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements that could be made in the neighborhood. Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is too strict, the people are poverty stricken.

We also could not understand his remarks for the unsightly conditions. Far as we know, the people are not responsible. Maybe Mr. Lipton does not know what an odorous is. The outbuildings which he is referring are too shoddy.

He is complaining about his high taxes in his new home. If he had lived in the neighborhood and street lights his taxes would be even higher. We would like to have those conditions improved. We do not complain about our taxes.

We feel Mr. Lipton is trying to stir up trouble in this area where he has just moved.

Some people have been living in this neighborhood for many years without complaints about the taxes.

Mr. Lipton does not like the neighborhood, maybe he should have moved and move out. We certainly will not pay for his mistakes.

Some people just don't know when to mind their own business. We suggest it to everyone else's.

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Mayhew, Mr. and Mrs. Amos Rutledge, Mr. and Mrs. J. W. Rutledge, Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Love, Mr. and Mrs. Hewitt.

Editor:
We neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton's editorial in the *Post-Tribune* of Sept. 8 was unfair.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he sought his information? He should have been able to see that the neighborhood was like before he moved there. He did not know like the conditions, but he had to have to buy the home. We feel there have been many improvements made in our neighborhood.

Regarding the statement claiming this a slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken, which is not true.

Regarding his remarks about our outhouses. As far as we know, everyone has indoor plumbing. We would like to know what an outhouse is. The out-houses to which he is referring are too shabby.

Regarding his complaining about high taxes in his new street. If he were to leave paved streets and street lights his taxes would be even higher. We would like to have those conveniences also, but we are not complaining about our taxes.

Regarding his complaining to stir up trouble in this area which has been peaceful.

People have been living in this area for many years without complaints about the conditions. We suggest if Mr. Lipton does not like the area, he should move out, sell his house and move out. We certainly will not miss him.

Some people just don't know how to live. They are always niggling, instead of prying into everyone else's.

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Mayhew,
Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Kiediger, Mr. and Mrs. J. J. Wynn,
Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Love,
Mr. and Mrs. Everett
Livonia.

Another Point
Editor:

Editor:

We neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton's letter to the *Livonia Post* of Sept. 8 was unfair.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or in a toxicated state when he brought out the charge that we were "stealing anything better"? He should have been able to tell what the neighbors of Louise Street had done to buy his home. If he did not like the conditions, he did not have to buy the home. We feel that there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood.

Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is not qualified to judge. He is poverty-stricken, which is not true.

We also could not understand his remarks about the unsightly appearance of the street. He is not everyone's indoor plumbing. Maybe Mr. Lipton does not know how to use the house. Is the outside house to which he is referring as tool sheds.

He is complaining about his high taxes. His new home, where he went to have paved streets and street lights his taxes would rise even higher. We feel that the poor and the poor conveniences also, but we are not complaining about our taxes.

We feel Mr. Lipton is trying to stir up the poor and the area which has been spared.

People have been living in this area for many years without complaints about the conditions. We suggest if Mr. Lipton does not like the conditions, he should sell his house and move out. We certainly will not miss him.

Some people just don't know how to live. They are poor in person, instead of trying into everyone else's.

Yours truly, Charles Mar-
wed, Mr. and Mrs. Anzo Rid-
ledge, Mr. and Mrs. Porter,
Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Love,
and Mrs. H. H. Hewitt.
Livonia.

Another Point
Editor:

Should the police and fire de-
partment be integrated or not
is the question that has been
in the past. The argument for
integration is based on the equality
of the police answered
85% of the time.

Editor:

We neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton of the City of Livonia is a very unkind man. He has been in the City of Livonia since Sept. 8 was and said:

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he bought his house? If he was not blind or intoxicated anything he bought. He should have been able to see what the neighborhood was like before he bought it. So many of his neighbors have the color of their skin. They have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood. Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken, which is not true.

Regarding his statement calling his remarks about the unsightly outhouses. As far as we know, everyone has their indoor plumbing. We feel that Mr. Lipton is trying to make an outhouse. The out-houses to which he is referring are too shabby.

Regarding his complaining about his high taxes in his new street. If he were to leave paved streets and street lights his taxes would be less. If he were to leave his taxes, he would like to have those tax-veniences also, but we are not complaining about our taxes.

Regarding his complaining about the City of Livonia is trying to stir up rumors in this area which has been peaceful.

People have been living in this area since the City of Livonia was incorporated. For many years without complaining about the conditions. We suggest if Mr. Lipton does not like the neighborhood, maybe he should sell his house and move to a better place. We certainly will not miss him.

Some people just don't know when to mind their own business and stop prying into everyone else's.

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Mayhew, Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Lye, Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Rutter, Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Rutter, Mr. and Mrs. Hewett, Livonia.

Another Point

Editor:

Should the police and fire departments be integrated or not is the question facing Livonia this year. The argument for integration is that the police on the analysis of the call for 1964 is 83% of the calls for 1964 to the fire department's 17%. The analysis is trying to equate two different departments. The police whose only common denominator is the employer—the City of Livonia. It fails to point out the number of men and the amount of money involved in responding to these calls.

A case in point is the Rose-

Editor: We neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton is being unfairly treated by the Livonia Board of Police on Sept. 8 was found a liar.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he brought his car to the intersection of Louise and anything better? He should have been able to see what the neighborhood was like before he moved there. He should have known like the conditions, he did not have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood. Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken and that is not true.

We also could not understand his remarks about the unsightly houses. As far as we know, there are no unsightly houses. Maybe Mr. Lipton does not know what an oilhouse is. The oilhouse to which he is referring is a garage.

He is complaining about his high taxes in his new street. If we were to have paid street taxes, we would have paid even higher. We would like to have those complaints about not taxes.

We feel Mr. Lipton is trying to stir up trouble in this area. People who have been living in this neighborhood for many years without complaints about anything. We suggest if Mr. Lipton does not like the neighborhood, maybe he should sell his house and move out. We feel he would not regret it.

Somebody should know when to mind their own business, instead of prying into the business of others.

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Mayhew, Mr. and Mrs. Anzo Rutledge, Mr. and Mrs. Porter, Mr. and Mrs. J. L. Lave, Mr. and Mrs. Hewitt.

Livonia.

Another Point

Editor: Should the police and fire department be paid by the city? Is the question facing Livonia this year. The argument for integration is based on the equality of the police answered that 83% of the call for the fire department's 17%. The analysts is trying to equate two widely diversified departments with one another. The mayor is the employer—the City of Livonia. It falls to police out the number of men and the fire department. It is responding to these calls.

A case in point is the Rose-dale bike shop fire last July, which was called by the firemen were called to assist. While the police responded to three calls, the fire department was still at the place all night while the fire department was still at one call plus having a greater number of men and equipment.

If we are so bent on a tax

The neighbors of Louise Street in Livonia feel that Mr. Lipton's business is a detriment to the neighborhood. The Livonian, Sept. 8, was unfair.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he bought his house? He should have known anything better. He should have been able to see what the neighborhood was like before he bought. He should have known the conditions, he did not have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements being made on our neighborhood. Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is saying the people are poverty stricken and we are not.

We also could not understand his remarks about the unsightly houses. As far as we know, the houses are not unsightly. Maybe Mr. Lipton does not know what an outhouse is. The outhouse to which he is referring are the houses.

He is complaining about his high taxes in his new home. If he were to have paved streets, sidewalks, sewers, and trees, he would rise even higher. We would like to have those conveniences also, but we are not content with our taxes.

We feel Mr. Lipton is trying to stir up trouble in this area which has been peaceful.

He is complaining about the conditions. We suggest if he is not satisfied with the conditions in his neighborhood, maybe he should sell his house and move out. We certainly will not miss him.

He is complaining about how when to mind their own business, instead of prying into everyone else's.

Charles Mayhew, Mr. and Mrs. Anzo Redledge, Mr. and Mrs. Porter, Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Love, Mr. and Mrs. Hewitt.

Livonia.

Another Point Editor:

Should the police or fire department be integrated or not is the question that has been asked this year. The argument for integration is based on the analysis that the police answered 83% of the calls for 1954 and the fire department's 17%. The analysis is trying to equate two widely diversified departments with each other. The Detroit Police is the employer of 7,000 men of Livonia. It fails to point out the number of men and the hours spent in responding to the calls.

A case in point is the Rose-bade bike shop fire last July. When all off-duty firemen were called, the 10 Livonia firemen were called at the scene the police responded to the three other calls. Fully-wise the police were called at the scene while the fire department was still at one call plus having a greater number of men and equipment.

If we go back on a tax saving at the expense of the city's services, then why not overcharge one step further to a business that is in the city. The department where the men are paid for each response to duty.

ELAINE M. THEISEN

Editor:—We neighbors of Lousia Street in Livonia feel that the Livonia Police Department is doing a splendid job. On Sept. 8 was a fair day.

Was Mr. Lipton blind or intoxicated when he brought his car home? He could not see anything better? He should have been able to see what the neighborhood was like before he brought his car home. He should have liked the conditions, he did not have to buy the home. We feel there are many improvements being made in our neighborhood. Regarding his statement calling this a slum area, we feel he is in error. The people are poverty stricken, but not a slum.

We also could not understand his remarks about the unsightly home on Lousia. As far as we know, the house is not unsightly. Maybe Mr. Lipton does not know what an outhouse is. The outhouse is the house he is referring to are tool sheds.

He is complaining about his high taxes in his new home. If he had lived in the same house and street lights his taxes would rise even higher. We would like to have those conditions, but we cannot complain about our taxes.

We feel Mr. Lipton is trying to stir up trouble in this area where he has just moved.

Some people have been living in this neighborhood for many years without complaints about anything. We suggest that Mr. Lipton does not like the neighborhood, maybe he should move to his house and move out. We certainly do not want him.

Some people just don't know when to mind their own business, but we suggest that everyone else's.

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Mayhew, Mr. and Mrs. Anzo Rutledge, Mr. and Mrs. Porter, Mr. and Mrs. Wm. J. Love, Mr. and Mrs. Hewitt.

Livonia.

Another Point

Should the police and fire departments be integrated or not is the question facing Livonia this year. The argument for integration is that the police assist that the police answer about 85% of the calls for 1964 to the fire department's 15%. The argument for separate departments is that the two widely divergent departments whose common denominator is the employee—the City of Livonia. It fails to point out the number of men and the amount of hours spent in responding to these calls.

A case in point is the Rosebush area. On July 1, 1964, where all off-duty firemen were called to assist. While the firemen remained at the scene the police responded to all the other calls. Trialwise the police had a total of four calls while the fire department was called to assist. This is a greater number of men and equipment is so.

If we are to be on a tax basis, the City of Livonia is retrogressing one step further to a volunteer police and fire department where the men are paid for their services.

ELAINE M. THRESEN
Livonia

Any "crabs" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite — they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate nuisance taxes as business activity which daily throuches the small business man out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipt instead of profit — some times there is no utter relation.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all

Any "crabs" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite — they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate nuisance taxes as business activity which daily throuches the small business man out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipt instead of profit — some times there is no utter relation.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily throbs the small business man out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipt instead of profit—sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4—To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and methods to cope with the many changes, not fight them to remain as the "good ole days."

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisances as taxes on business activity tax which drives out the small business man, business which has to pay a tax on gross receipt instead of profit—some times there is no utter relation.)
- A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and discrimination.
- To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and methods to cope with the many changes, not fight them but recognize them as "good ole days."

The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible leadership, stable families, viable neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are available to all. It is in search of solutions to social problems, not in the government.

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate so-called nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily throbs the small business man out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipt instead of profit—sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4—to Recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and methods to cope with the many changes, not fighting them to remain as the "good ole days."

"The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible individualism, stable families, vibrant neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations, and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are brought to bear on solutions to social problems," was the Governor's strong statement.

There were other task force points, but even the "crabs" in the party would concur in their innocuous conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Mental Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite — they must be willing to accept:

- 1.—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily harasses the small business man out of business since he has to take it on gross revenue instead of profit — sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2.—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3.—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4.—To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and the broads to cope with the many changes, not fighting to remain as the "good ole days."

"The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible individualism, stable families, viable neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are made available to bear on solutions to social problems," was the Governor's statement.

There were other task force points, but the incoherence of the "crabs" in the party would confer on their inconclusive conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Mental Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18 in all.

It is over the four knotty problems mentioned above that moderates and radicals meet head-on. Therefore, the wholehearted support of the radical is better to have than to be right than win is the question involved. Having backed in the glow of the many my bet is on him.

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such onerous taxes as business activity tax which daily throbs the small business man out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipt instead of profit—sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4—To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and methods to cope with these changes, not fighting them to remain as the "good ole days."

"The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible Individualism, stable families, viable neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are brought to bear on the social problems." This was the Governor's strong statement.

There were other task force points, but even the "crabs" in the party would concur in their innocuous conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Mental Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18 in all.

It is over the four knotty problems mentioned above that moderates and radicals must head-on. Therefore, whether the dissidents in the party feel it is better to be right than win is the question involved. Having basked in the glow of the many my bet is on him.

Where Does He Live?

Editor: This has come to my attention that you are considering the following question: "I am quite sure that any Court would consider the

but upon the conduct of a person. Therefore, in determining my intent, I am quite sure that any Court would consider the

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily throbs the small business man out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipt instead of profit—sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4—to Recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and methods to cope with the many changes, not fight them to remain as the "good ole days."

"The Michigan Republican Party affirms the inviolability of responsible individualism, stable families, vibrant neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are brought to bear on solutions to social problems," was the Governor's strong statement.

There were other task force points, but even the "crabs" in the party would concur in their innocuous conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Mental Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18 in all.

It is over the four knotty problems mentioned above, that moderates and radicals meet head-on. Therefore, whether the dissidents in the party feel it is better to be right than win is the question involved. Having backed in the glow of the many my bet is on him.

Where Does He Live?

Editor: It has come to my attention that recently some comment appeared in your paper as to my eligibility as a candidate for office in the City of Livonia. Frankly, this question never entered my mind as I had never returned to Livonia for the purpose of considering terminating my leave of absence and resuming the duties of my position as law firm of Brashear, Brashear, Miles and Duggan.

but upon the conduct of a person. In this, in determining my intent, I am quite sure that any Court would consider the following facts:

- (1) The fact that I took a leave of absence from my law firm and did not sever my connection;
- (2) The fact that my name was continued in the law firm and on the firm's stationery;
- (3) The fact that I continued as a member of the Detroit and Michigan Bar Associations;
- (4) The fact that I continued my association with the firm.

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily throbs the business man's mind out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipts instead of profit—sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4—To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and change. The party must be able to meet the challenge and strain on the citizen, family and community and find methods to cope with the many changes, not fight them to remain as the "good ole days."

The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible Individualism, stable families, viable neighborhoods, many active religious and private organizations and the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are brought to bear on solutions to social problems," was the Governor's strong statement.

There were other task force points, but even the "crabs" in the party would concur in their innocuous conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18 in all.

It is over the four knotty problems mentioned above that moderates and radicals meet head-on. Therefore, whether the dissidents in the party feel it is better to be right than win is the question involved. Having backed in the glow of the many my bet is on him.

Why Does He Live?

Editor:

It has come to my attention that recently some comment appeared in your paper as to my eligibility for office in the City of Livonia. Frankly, this question never entered my head until I recently read your article. In the course of considering terminating my leave of absence and resuming the practice of law with the law firm of Fink, Branstetter, Mies and Duggan.

As a former three-term Mayor of Livonia and as a former City Attorney, I have been a member of the City's Ordinances and as a member of the City Charter Commission who drafted the Charter of the City of Livonia of the provision of the Charter which requires that any candidate for city office must have been a resident of the City for

but upon the conduct of a person. Therefore, in determining my intent, I am quite sure that I have no ill motive and the following facts:

- (1) The fact that I took a leave of absence from my law firm and did not sever my connection with the law firm. My name was continued in the law firm and on the firm's stationery.
- (2) The fact that I continued to be a member of the Detroit and Michigan Bar Associations;
- (3) The fact that I continued my Michigan license on my automobile;
- (4) The fact that I continued my Michigan driver's license and never applied for an alternate license; (5) The fact that I never voted in any place outside of the City of Livonia nor have I ever registered to vote in any place; (7) The fact that I continued my bank account in Livonia; (8) The fact

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1.—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily throbs the small business man out of business since he has to pay tax on his gross receipts instead of profit—sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2.—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3.—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of the community, to work with all ethnic groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4.—To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and methods to control it. With many changes, not fighting them to remain as the "good old days."

The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible individualism, stable families, viable neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are being brought to bear on solutions to social problems," was the closing thought on the statement.

There were other task force points, but they involved "crabs" in the party would not discuss their inconclusive conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Mental Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18 in all.

It is over the four knotty problems mentioned above that moderates and radicals meet head-on. Therefore, the question is: Is the party feel it is better to be right than win is the question involved. Having backed in the glow of the many my bet is on him.

Where Does He Live?

Editor:

It has come to my attention that recently some comment appeared in your paper to my eligibility to serve as a candidate in the City of the City of Livonia. Frankly, this question never bothered me as a candidate, but I returned to Livonia for the purpose of considering terminating my leave of absence and resuming my duties as a candidate with the law firm of Brashers, Brashers, Mies and Duggan.

As a former three-term Mayor of Livonia and as a former City Alderman who drafted many of the City's Ordinances and as a member of the City Charter Commission who drafted the Charter, I have been fully aware of the provision of the Charter which requires that any candidate for city office must have resided in the City for a period of two years prior to the election at which he is a candidate.

However, the question of residency is based solely upon my intent to move into the City. My intent was six months ago when I left the city but what a Court would interpret my intent and my actual time as a result of my immutable actions. Intent is not based

upon the conduct of a person. Therefore, in determining my eligibility to run for any City would consider the following facts:

- (1) The fact that I took a leave of absence from my law firm and did not serve my notice;
- (2) The fact that my name was continued in the law firm and on the firm's stationery;
- (3) The fact that I continued as a member of the Detroit and Michigan Bar Associations;
- (4) The fact that I continued my law firm's telephone (mobile);
- (5) The fact that I continued my Michigan driver's license and never applied for a new license;
- (6) The fact that I never visited in any place outside of the City of Livonia nor have I ever registered to vote at any other place;
- (7) The fact that I continued my bank account in Livonia;
- (8) The fact that I continued my membership in the club and other organizations of a purely local nature.

It is my opinion that looking at the above facts in an objective manner, any Court would say that the evidence of my intent to remain a legal resident and a citizen of the City of Livonia is unquestionable.

WILLIAM W. BRASHERS

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily throbs the small business man out of business since he has to pay for the goods he receives instead of profit—sometimes there is no other real reason.)
- 2—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of the society to improve individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4—To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain on the citizen, family, neighborhood, community and state. To recognize with the many changes, not fight them to remain as the "good boys."

"The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible individualism, stable families, viable neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources are brought to bear on solutions to social problems," was the Governor's strong statement.

There were other task force points, but even the "crabs" could not find fault with the incisive conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Mental Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18 in all.

It is over the four knotty problems mentioned above that moderates and radicals meet head-on. Therefore, whether the dissidents in the party feel it is better to be right than to be in the majority, or to have backing in the glow of the many my bet is on him.

Where Does He Live?

Editor:

It has come to my attention that recently some comment appeared in your paper as to my going to live in the office of the City of Livonia. Frankly, this question never entered my head until I recently came to Livonia for the purpose of considering terminating my leave of absence and resuming the practice of law with the firm of Brashear, Branch, Mies and Duggan.

As a former three-term Mayor of Livonia and as a former City Attorney who drafted many of the City's Ordinances and as a member of the City Charter Commission who drafted the City Charter, I am well aware of the provisions of the Charter which requires that any candidate for city office must have resided in the city for a period of two years prior to the election at which he is a candidate.

However, the question of residence is based solely upon intent. I do not want you or I might say my intent was six months ago when I left the city but what a Court would interpret my intent to have been at that time as a result of the following table actions. Intent is not based upon one's own interpretation but upon the conduct of a person. Therefore, in determining my intent, I am quite sure that any Court would consider the following facts:

- (1) The fact that I took a leave of absence from my law practice; (2) The fact that my connection; (2) The fact that my law name was continued in the firm and on the firm's stationery; (3) The fact that I continued to be a member of the Detroit and Michigan Bar Associations; (4) The fact that I continued my Michigan license on my automobile; (5) The fact that I continued my Michigan driver's license and never applied for an Arizona license; (6) The fact that I continued to live here outside of the City of Livonia nor have I ever planned to vote at any other place; (7) The fact that I continued my bank account in Livonia; (8) The fact that I continued my membership in many of the clubs and associations of a purely local nature.

It is my opinion that looking at the above facts in an objective manner, any Court would say that the evidence of my intent to remain a legal resident and a citizen of the City of Livonia is beyond question.

WILLIAM W. BRASHEAR
Livonia

Whether you have

Any "crab" present at the conference had to come away with a true picture of the determination of this leader to build unity within the party. The welcome mat is out for the "crabs" to join, but the terms are definite—they must be willing to accept:

- 1.—Fiscal Reform (which would eliminate such nuisance taxes as business activity tax which daily thrives on the small business man out of business since he has to pay a tax on gross receipts instead of profit—sometimes there is no other relation.)
- 2.—A strong civil rights commitment to translate the ideal of equal opportunity into reality.
- 3.—A pledge to labor to work cooperatively with all segments of society and interested individuals and groups toward the elimination of poverty, deprivation and want.
- 4.—To recognize that Michigan along with the rest of the nation is passing through a period of growth and strain in race, religion, family, neighborhood, community and methods to cope with the many changes, not fighting them to remain as the "good ole days."

"The Michigan Republican Party affirms the importance of responsible Individualism, stable families, viable neighborhoods, many active religious and private voluntary organizations and affirms the role of government in insuring that social and economic resources be distributed to benefit the most social problems," was the Governor's strong statement.

There were other task force points, but even the "crabs" in the party would concur in their innocuous conclusions on Crime and Delinquency, Senior Citizens, Mental Health, Education, Traffic Safety. There were 18 in all.

It is over the four radical problems mentioned above that moderates and rightists fight head-on. Therefore, whether the dissidents in the party are right or wrong, be right than win is the question involved. Having basked in the glow of the many my bet is on him.

Where Does He Live?

Editor:

It has come to my attention that recently some one had appeared in your paper as being eligible as a candidate for office in the City of Livonia. Frankly, this person never entered my head until I recently returned to Livonia for the purpose of considering terminating the practice of law with the law firm of Brashear, Brashear, Mies and Duggan.

As a former three-term Mayor of Livonia and a former City Attorney who drafted many of the City's Ordinances and as a member of the City Charter Commission, I am well aware of the provision of the Charter which requires that any candidate for city office must have resided in the city for a period of two years prior to the election at which he is a candidate.

However, if a candidate's residence is based solely upon intent—not what you or I might say my intent was six months prior to the election, but what the Court would interpret my intent to have been at that time as a result of my immutable status. Intent is not based upon one's own interpretation

but upon the conduct of a person. Therefore, in determining my intent, I am quite sure that any Court would consider the following facts:

- (1) The fact that I took a leave of absence from my law firm and did not sever my connection; (2) The fact that my name was continued in the law firm; (3) The fact that I continued my membership in the City of Livonia; (4) The fact that I continued my Michigan license on my automobile; (5) The fact that I continued my Michigan driver's license and never applied for an Arizona license; (6) The fact that I never voted in any place outside of the City of Livonia; (7) The fact that I continued to vote at any other place; (7) The fact that I continued my bank account in Livonia; (8) The fact that I continued a legal residence in many of the clubs and organizations of a purely local nature.

Upon my opinion that looking at the above facts in an objective manner, any Court would say that the evidence of my intent is to continue as a member and a citizen of the City of Livonia is beyond question.

WILLIAM W. BRASHEAR
Livonia

Whether you have less than \$100