Sultan Debates Dirksen's Con Con

who lives in Farmington Town-ship has issued a detailed criti-cism of the so-called 'Dirk-sen Amendment' that would call a federal constitutional convention to nullify U.S. Supreme Court "one-man, one-vote"

Tulings, out-votes
The is Allen Sulan, astisant
professor of law in the University of Detroit Law School
and formerly an instructor at
indiana University's law school.
Sultan reacted to an invitation issued by StateSen, George
Kuhn, R-West Bloomfield, asking Sen. Dirksen Limisels to
law in the sense of the sense of the
proposed amendment.

THE STATUS of the amendment in Mitchigan, according to
the study here in favor of the
proposed amendment.

THE STATUS of the amendment in Mitchigan, according to
the study here in favor of the
proposed amendment are of the
sense grown and the sense of the
support of the sense of the
support of the sense of the
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 34 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 35 states approve such a
support of the 34 states that
it needs.

If 35 states approve such a
support of the 50 states
would be obliged to call a constitutional convention. In its
original form, the Dirksen
would prove that the tope to reapmonomial its to allow states.

The idea behind the Dirksen
Amendment its to allow states.

Amendment is to allow states Amendment is to allow states—
at their option—to apportion,
one house of the legislature
on a basis other than popula—
i.m. (Schweigert says the reso—
i.m. wouldn't touch county
-ards of supervisors, which
and of the county
-and of supervisors, which
and one—vole" basis.)

A LONG SERIES of hurdles s necessary before such a revision of the Michigan Sen-tle's apportionment can become fact, as Schweigert explains

it.
First, enough states would have to ask for the constitutional convention. There is disagreement about whether all the states which have so far approved Dirksen Amendment shouldings have done so prop-

issolutions have done so propairly.

Second, the constitutional convention would have to approve the Dirksen Amendment. Third, the legislatures of three-fourths of the states would have to approve the sound have to approve the constitutional convention in order to make them part of the H.S. Constitution.

Fourth, the Michigan Legislature would have to place on the hallot two questions to this rature would have to place on the ballot two questions to this infect: "1. Do you want the Sen-ate apportioned on a straight population basis?—-Yes or No." \$22. Do you want the Senate ap-portioned on a basis other than population?—-Yes or No."

Dedicate Science Building

Lawrence Institute of Tech-logy will dedicate its new 5 million Science Building day, May 19 at 2 p.m.

The dedication address will e presented by Dr. Leroy G. ugenstein, chairman of the lophysics department of Michan State University and a tember of the State Board of ducation.



One 10° call might save you hundreds of dollars on your car and home insurance.

Call us. Because we're independent agents, we work for you, not for any one company. That's the difference that money when you have a claim.

RERGSTROM INSURANCE
"After we sell we serve"
DEERT H. BERGETROM, C.P.C.U.
28700 ORGHARD LAKE ROAD
Farmington, Michigan

Fifth, the voters would have to approve the second resolution and reject the first [Notes of the policy of the p

up Senate districts.
Well, Alien Sultan opposes
this. Here is what he wrote:

THE AMENDMENT is not only impractical, it is highly

ooly Impractical, it is not made and analysis.

This has been the conclusion of many discussions of the proposed amendment at national meetings of law professors, as well as the conclusion of other interested and informed individuals.

Last June 16, the American Enterprise institute for Public Policy Research in Washington,

ROCKS AND TREES VS. PEOPLE

D.C., prepared a "Special Analysis" of the proposal (Number 5) for the 50th Congress, First Session, It documented and discussed no less than 10 serious ligal questions of the serious of "the proposal. There are not merely problems of "theoretical" in the concern the validity of legality of the proposal as an améndment to the Constitution. Since a few immoderate spokesmen for the proposal do not move (and to respect the dangers resulting from their support, one can envision the possibility of their call to emotion resulting in a deep division of the population, should one or more of the 10 issues be helmother than the control of the serious of candidates each calming they were duly elected to office. Since one groupwould. The result may well be two groups of candidates each calming they were duly elected to office. Since one groupwould not respect the previous determination by the courts, the country may well experience a Latin American type of demo-cracy-where sheer power of force determines who shall or shall not had diffice.

SEN, DIRKSEN FAILED by both force of persuassion and by political pressure to achieve

his goals by means of the method by which all of the 25 ameniments to the United States Constitution to date have been realized. The strength of his embitional attachment to the proposal, as well as that for his supporters like See, Nullin, and Sen. Schweigert who introduced it into the Stateligan Legislation of the control of the con

the American people; this canbest be doig) by guranteeing to fall the people-not rocks, not frees-the greatest possible control of their stake and fed-rail governments, The American, system is predicated real governments, The American, system is predicated from the following the season of the following the fo

incations of voting one way or the other on the Dirksen proposal.

Evaluating these factors, I cannot escape the conclusion that a vote for the Dirksen proposal is a vote for a society we all, with unstalatia, wish we could have; for each of us have, to some degree, gone to school at the feet of Thomas Jefferson. However, in our political society, we must make asic political decisions in accord with our appraisals of the society we expect, will most probably exists.

When I make these and galant to the proposal, whatever its form, because it would mitigate the ability of democratic representation to fully serve the needs of people at the lowest effective lavel of government effective lavel of government.

sequences, further endanger the perpetuation of a meaningful perpetuation of a meaningful meaningful perpetuation of a meaningful perpetuation of a meaningful perpetuation of the perpetuation of the states, will eventually lave to come from Washington thins; fronteally, the opposite of the result intended by the sponsier of this amendment will be realized of this amendment will be realized of the same and the sponsiers of this amendment will be realized. The state of the same and t

to call the convention.

Because many of those 23
states that have already voted states that have aiready voted for the convention are now re-pportioned, the neessary '37 ratifications will most likely never materialize. This is par-ticularly true because, if it should materialize, it would be impossible to keep such a convention from exposure to public opinion.

empty move sents the la an old orde should have



